HOWELL TOWNSHIP BOARD REGULAR MEETING

3525 Byron Road Howell, MI 48855 May 12, 2025 6:30 pm

1. Call to Order

- 2. Roll Call:
- () Mike Coddington
- () Sue Daus
- () Jonathan Hohenstein
- () Matt Counts
- () Bob Wilson
- () Tim Boal
- () Shane Fagan

- 3. Pledge of Allegiance
- 4. Call to the Board
- 5. Approval of the Minutes:
 - A. Regular Board Meeting April 14, 2025
 - B. Closed Session Meeting April 14, 2025
- 6. Call to the Public

7.

- Unfinished Business: A. Wellhead Protection Ordinance and Overlay District B. Howell-Mason LLC v. Howell Township
- 8. New Business:
 - A. Approval of 2025/2026 Budget
 - B. Financial Update Deputy Supervisor Brent Kilpela
 - C. Resolution to Update Poverty Exemptions Deputy Supervisor Brent Kilpela
 - D. Request to connect two City of Howell properties to Township Sewer and Water
 - E. Heritage Square water REU split request
 - F. HR Committee Minutes Changes to Deputy Assessor and Deputy Zoning Admin duties
 - G. Discussion of censure of Trustee Wilson as requested by Trustee Boal
- 9. Call to the Public
- 10. Reports:

A. Supervisor	B. Treasurer	C. Clerk	D. Zoning	
E. Assessing	F. Fire Authority	G. MHOG	H. Planning Commission	
I. ZBA	J. WWTP	K. HAPRA	L. Property Committee	
M. Park & Recreation Committee		N. Shiawassee River Committee		

- 11. Closed Session: Burkhart Ridge v. Howell Township
- 12. Disbursements: Regular and Check Register
- 13. Adjournment

5A

DRAFT

HOWELL TOWNSHIP REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES 3525 Byron Road Howell, MI 48855 April 14, 2025 6:30 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Mike CoddingtonSupervisorSue DausClerkJonathan HohensteinTreasurerMatthew CountsTrusteeTim BoalTrusteeShane FaganTrusteeBob WilsonTrustee

Also in Attendance:

6 people signed in.

Supervisor Coddington called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. The roll was called. Supervisor Coddington requested members rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

CALL TO THE BOARD:

Trustee Fagan stated that he will abstain from voting on the agenda, abstain from voting on the closed session, and recuse himself from any business involving Howell Township v. Fagan.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:

April 14, 2025 Motion by Daus, Second by Hohenstein, "To approve the agenda." Motion carried, one abstain.

APPROVAL OF BOARD MEETING MINUTES:

March 3, 2025 REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES Motion by Hohenstein, Second by Boal, "To accept the Board meeting minutes from March 3, 2025 as presented." Motion carried, one dissent.

March 3, 2025 CLOSED SESSION MEETING MINUTES **Motion** by Counts, **Second** by Fagan, **"Approval closed session meeting minutes for March 3, 2025."** Motion carried.

March 17, 2025 SPECIAL BOARD MEETING MINUTES Motion by Fagan, Second by Hohenstein, "To approve the minutes for the Special Board Meeting, March 17, 2025." Motion carried.

CLOSED SESSION:

Motion by Daus, **Second** by Counts, **"To enter into closed session, Howell Township v. Fagan."** Roll call vote: Hohenstein – yes, Fagan – abstain, Boal - yes, Daus – yes, Wilson – yes, Counts – yes, Coddington – yes. Motion carried 6-0, 1 abstain.

Motion by Daus, Second by Boal, "To enter back into regular session." Motion carried.

Motion by Boal, Second by Hohenstein, with friendly amendments, "To proceed with legal counsels recommendation to clarify the 3 black letter law ordinance and to proceed with the appeal." Discussion followed. Roll call vote: Coddington – yes, Boal – yes, Daus – yes, Counts – yes, Fagan - abstain, Hohenstein – yes, Wilson – no. Motion carried 5-1, 1 abstain.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

Charles Frantjeskos, 3353 Bowen Rd.: Spoke on Township ordinances and violations.

John Mills, 1750 Oak Grove Rd.: Inquired about the guidelines for closed session meetings.

Curt Hamilton, 1367 Crestwood Ln.: Spoke on the Wellhead protection zone overlay ordinance, and Township attorney fees.

Kaye Don LeChevalier, 2900 Brewer Rd.: Inquired about ordinance amendments.

Andrew Hamm, 14 Santa Rosa Dr.: Spoke on Howell Township v. Fagan.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

- A. Wellhead Protection Ordinance and Overlay District Treasurer Hohenstein discussed that in order for the Wellhead Protection Ordinance to be put into effect it needs Boards approval. Discussion followed. It was the consensus of the Board that this item be tabled and brought back to next month's Board meeting.
- B. Storage Container Ordinance Motion by Hohenstein, Second by Boal, "To accept ordinance # 291, as presented." Roll call vote: Wilson – no, Hohenstein – yes, Boal – yes, Fagan – no, Coddington – yes, Daus – yes, Counts – yes. Motion carried 5-2.
- C. 2025 Fleming Road Project

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed the updated quote for the Fleming Road project due to the road being wider than originally estimated. **Motion** by Hohenstein, **Second** by Daus, **"To accept the Fleming Road rehabilitation estimate from the Livingston County Road Commission as presented."** Discussion followed. Motion carried, one dissent.

D. Howell-Mason LLC v. Howell Township Treasurer Hohenstein informed the Board that the Michigan Court of Appeals denied Howell-Mason's lawsuit application.

NEW BUSINESS:

A. Township Hall Building Renovation

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed the quotes for the Township Hall renovation and updates. The Board discussed whether it would be more cost effective to expand the existing Township Hall or to build a new Recreation Center on the Tooley Road parcel. **Motion** by Fagan, **Second** by Wilson, with a friendly amendment, **"To table until Board members can see the building and verify what type of repairs they want done, and a sketch from the staff." Motion** carried, one dissent.

B. Flag Service Contract Renewal

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed that the contract from Rocket Enterprises is expiring, and the contract needed approval from the Board for renewal to continue with their services. **Motion** by Counts, **Second** by Hohenstein, **"To accept quote from Rocket Enterprises for annual flag service."** Motion carried.

C. Heritage Square REU split request

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed that M/I Homes is requesting the Township Board's consideration to allow the REU's to be split accordingly for the upcoming scheduled phases. **Motion** by Hohenstein, **Second** by Counts, **"To accept the REU allocation for M/I Homes Heritage Square as presented."** Motion carried, one dissent.

D. Engineering Standards - Update

Treasurer Hohenstein gave a brief update on Howell Township Engineering Standards. **Motion** by Counts, **Second** by Hohenstein, **"To adopt the Engineering Standards as presented."** Motion carried.

E. Planning Services Bid

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed that the Township Planner contract went out for bid and that Carlisle Wortman was the applicant who responded. **Motion** by Counts, **Second** by Hohenstein, **"To accept agreement for Planning Consultant Services from Carlisle Wortman as presented."** Motion carried.

- F. Landscaping Bid Motion by Wilson, Second by Boal, "To accept Sprungtown." Motion carried.
- G. Lawncare Bid Motion by Counts, Second by Hohenstein, "To accept Sprungtown for all the mowing." Discussion followed. Motion carried.
- H. Snow Removal Bid Motion by Counts, Second by Daus, "To accept Sprungtown for snow removal." Motion carried.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

None

REPORTS:

- A. SUPERVISOR: No report
- B. TREASURER: See report
- C. CLERK:

Clerk Daus is requesting approval from the Board for reimbursement for the FOIA class that her and Election Assistant Hebert attended on April 9, 2025. **Motion** by Hohenstein, **Second** by Boal, **"To accept the FOIA class for Sue and Marnie as presented."** Motion carried

D. ZONING:

Treasurer Hohenstein is requesting approval from the Board for reimbursement for ZBA member, Jim McEvoy, for the MSU Extension ZBA online certificate course. **Motion** by Counts, **Second** by Fagan, **"Approval to accept the class that was taken."** Motion carried.

- E. ASSESSING: See Assessor Kilpela's report.
- F. FIRE AUTHORITY: Supervisor Coddington reported on Fire Authority.
- G. MHOG: Trustee Counts reported on MHOG.
- H. PLANNING COMMISSION: Trustee Boal reported on Planning Commission.
- I. ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA): No report
- J. WWTP: See report
- K. HAPRA: No report
- L. PROPERTY COMMITTEE: No report
- M. PARK & RECREATION COMMITTEE: Treasurer Hohenstein spoke on the Phase II study of the Tooley Road property. Motion by Hohenstein, Second by Boal, "To accept the Phase II Proposal from ASTI as presented." Motion carried, one dissent.

DRAFT Howell Twp. Board 4-14-2025

N. Shiawassee River Committee: No report

DISBURSEMENTS: REGULAR PAYMENTS AND CHECK REGISTER:

Motion by Hohenstein, Second by Daus, "To accept the disbursements as presented and any normal and customary payments for the month." Motion carried.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>: Motion by Counts, **Second** by Daus, **"To adjourn"** Motion carried. The meeting was adjourned at 8:50 p.m.

Sue Daus, Howell Township Clerk

Mike Coddington, Howell Township Supervisor

Tanya Davidson, Recording Secretary

7A

HOWELL TOWNSHIP LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

ORDINANCE NO. 290

At a regular meeting of the Township Board of Howell Township, Livingston County, Michigan, held at 3525 Byron Rd., Howell, Michigan 48855 on the _____ day of _____, 2025, at 6:30 P.M., Township Board Member _____ moved to adopt the following Ordinance, which motion was seconded by Township Board Member ______ :

An ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance of Howell Township; to amend and add a new wellhead protection overlay zoning district that provides for permitted uses and additional regulation of uses when located within the wellhead protection overlay zoning district as Section 15.11, and to provide for severability and repealer of any ordinances inconsistent herewith.

HOWELL TOWNSHIP ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. <u>AMENDMENT TO THE HOWELL TOWNSHIP ZONING ORDINANCE TO</u> ADD SECTION 15.11, WELLHEAD PROTECTION ORDINANCE AND OVERLAY <u>DISTRICT</u>: The Howell Township Zoning Ordinance shall be amended to add new Section 15.11, WELLHEAD PROTECTION ORDINANCE AND OVERLAY DISTRICT, and read as follows:

SECTION 15.11

WELLHEAD PROTECTION ORDINANCE AND OVERLAY DISTRICT

Section A – STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The purpose of the Wellhead Protection Overlay District is to provide supplemental developmental regulations in the designated wellhead protection zone so as to protect and preserve the surface and groundwater resources of Howell Township and the region from any land use structures and/or construction that may reduce the quality and/or quantity of water resources or pose a risk to drinking water. This Wellhead Protection Overlay District has been created in accordance with both the City of Howell's and Marion, Howell, Oceola & Genoa Sewer and Water Authority's (MHOG) Wellhead Protection Plans drafted by WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. (WSP). This Wellhead Protection Overlay District was also created in conjunction with the City of Howell and Marion Township.

Section B – DEFINITIONS

As used in this Section, the following words and terms shall have the meaning specified, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

Aquifer. A geologic formation composed of rock or sand and gravel that contain amounts of potentially recoverable potable water.

Best Management Practices. Measures, either managerial or structural, that is determined to be the most effective, practical means of preventing or reducing pollution inputs to soils, surface water and ground water.

Contamination. The process of making impure, unclean, inferior, or unfit for use by the introduction of undesirable elements through the release of a hazardous substance, or the potential release of a discarded hazardous or other substance, in a quantity which is or may become injurious to the environment, or to the public health, safety, or welfare.

Contingency Plans. Detailed plans for control, containment, recovery, and clean up of hazardous materials released during fires, equipment failures, leaks and spills.

Development. The carrying out of any construction, reconstruction, alteration of the ground surface or structure or change of land use or intensity of use.

Discharge. Discharge includes, but is not limited to, any spilling, leaking, seeping, pouring, misapplying, emitting, emptying or dumping of any pollutants prohibited by law or regulation, which affects surface water and/or groundwater.

Facility. Any building, structure, or installation from which there may be a discharge of hazardous substances.

Hazardous Materials. A material which is defined in one or more of the following categories:

- **A. Ignitable:** A gas, liquid or solid which may cause fires through friction, absorption of moisture, or which has low flash points. Examples: white phosphorous and gasoline.
- **B.** Carcinogenic: A gas, liquid or solid, which is normally considered to be cancer causing. Examples: PCBs in some waste oils.
- **C. Explosive:** A reactive gas, liquid or solid which will vigorously and energetically react uncontrollably if exposed to heat, shock, pressure or combinations thereof. Examples: dynamite, organic peroxides and ammonium nitrate.
- **D. Highly Toxic**: A gas, liquid or solid so dangerous to humans as to afford an unusual hazard to life. Examples: parathion and chlorine gas.
- **E. Moderately Toxic:** A gas, liquid or solid, which through repeated exposure or in a single large dose can be hazardous to humans. Example: atrazine.

F. Corrosive: Any material, whether acid or alkaline, which will cause severe damage to human tissue, or in case of leakage might damage or destroy other containers of hazardous materials and cause the release of their contents. Examples: battery acid and phosphoric acid.

Impervious Surface. Materials or structures on or above the ground that do not allow precipitation to infiltrate the underlying soil.

Overlay District. That area of the Township in which special requirements and restrictions are applied to land uses and activities to eliminate or minimize contamination of the aquifer(s) supplying the City of Howell's municipal water wells, MHOG's municipal water wells, or other future wells, municipal or otherwise.

Primary Containment Facility. A tank, pit, container, pipe, or vessel of first containment of a hazardous substance or material.

Regulated Substances. A chemical or other material, which is or may become injurious to the public health, safety, or welfare, or the environment and shall include: 1. Substances for which there are safety data sheets (SDSs), as established by the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration, and the SDS cites possible health hazards for said substance; 2. Hazardous Waste, as defined by the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended, and as defined in Part III (Section 324.11103) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 P.A. 451, as amended; 3. Hazardous Substance, as defined by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) when the hazardous substance is the focus of remedial or removal action being conducted under CERCLA in accordance with the U.S. EPA regulations; 4. Radiological materials; 5. Biohazards; 6. "Hazardous Materials" as defined in the NFPA 1, the International Fire Code Council, and categorized as a hazardous material under 49 CFR 172.101; and 7. "petroleum" as defined in Part 213 (Section 324.21303) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 P.A.451, as amended.

Secondary Containment Facility. A second tank, catchment, pit, pipe, or vessel that limits and contains liquid or chemical leaking or leaching from a primary containment area.

Storage of Petroleum Products. Bulk petroleum products such as gasoline and fuel oils, natural gas; mixed, manufactured, or liquified petroleum; waste oil and other petroleum fuels in above ground or below ground storage containers and tanks.

Wellhead Protection Area. The surface and subsurface area surrounding a public water supply well or well field through which contaminants, if discharged, are reasonably likely to move toward and reach the well or the well field. This area is also known as the zone of contribution (ZOC) which contributes groundwater to the well or well field. The Wellhead Protection Areas for the City of Howell and MHOG are present in areas of the Township, and the boundaries of such are specifically set forth in Figure 1 attached to this Ordinance.

Section C – SCOPE OF AUTHORITY

The Wellhead Protection Overlay District is a mapped zoning district that imposes a set of requirements in addition to those of the underlying zoning district. In an area where an overlay district is established, the property is placed simultaneously in the two districts, and the property may be developed only under the applicable conditions and requirements of both districts. In the event there is a conflict between the requirements of the two districts, the requirements of the Wellhead Protection Overlay District shall prevail.

Section D – CREATION OF OVERLAY DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

The Wellhead Protection Overlay District boundaries shall be established on the official Township Zoning Map. The Overlay District boundaries may be amended according to the Zoning Ordinance procedures in Article XXIII.

Section E – DISTRICT DELINEATION

- A. The Wellhead Protection Overlay District is hereby established to include all lands within Howell Township, lying within the City of Howell's or MHOG's Wellhead Protection Areas, including recharge areas of groundwater aquifers and watershed areas that lie within the wellhead protection area which now or may in the future provide public water supply. If the wellhead protection area includes a portion of the parcel, the entire parcel shall be considered to be within the wellhead protection area. This area is set forth in Figure 1, and may thereafter be amended.
- B. Where the boundaries delineated are in doubt or in dispute, the burden of proof shall be upon the owner(s) of the land in question to show whether the property should be located in the District. At the request of the owner(s), the Township may engage the services of a qualified professional to determine more accurately the location and extent of an aquifer within the wellhead protection area. The Township shall charge the owner(s) for all or a part of the investigation. The Owner shall place the funds necessary into an escrow account at the Township to cover the necessary fees of the qualified professional. Such dispute shall be presented as an interpretation/appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Section F – SITE PLAN REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

- A. New or Expanded Uses and Structures. All proposed new or expanded structures or uses within the Wellhead Protection Overlay District, except single family uses, shall be subject to site plan review, pursuant to Article XX, Section 20.06.
- B. Existing Uses and Structures. All land uses and activities existing prior to approval of the Wellhead Protection Overlay District must conform to the site plan review standards in this Article with respect to any new, expanded, or amendments to any approvals existing prior to adoption of the Wellhead Protection Overlay Ordinance.
- C. Township Determination of No Hazard. All new or expanded structures or uses subject to site plan review and special land use review shall be subject to a separate determination by the zoning body with authority to approve or deny the zoning request sought that the

use of hazardous materials with any permitted use is not detrimental and does not have the potential to be detrimental to the Township's Wellhead Protection Area. Such determination will include consultation with the Township's engineer, MHOG, City of Howell, and any additional consultants with necessary subject matter expertise to assist the zoning body with authority to make such a determination.

Section G – DATA REQUIREMENTS

The following data are required for site plan review in the Wellhead Protection Overlay District, in addition to the information required by Article XX, Section 20.06.

- 1. List of Regulated Substances. A complete list of chemicals, pesticides, fuels, and other Regulated Substances to be used or stored on the premises. Businesses that use or store such Regulated Substances shall file a management plan with the Fire Chief. The management plan shall include the following, at minimum:
 - a. Provisions to protect against the discharge of Regulated Substances or wastes to the environment due to spillage, accidental damage, corrosion, leakage or vandalism, including spill containment and clean-up procedures.
 - b. Provisions for indoor, secured storage of Regulated Substances and wastes with impervious floor surfaces.
 - c. Evidence of compliance with the rules and regulations of the Michigan Department of the Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE).
 - d. Drainage recharge features and provisions to prevent loss of recharge.
 - e. Provisions to control soil erosion and sedimentation, soil compaction, and to prevent seepage from sewer pipes.
 - f. Safety Data Sheets.
- 2. Service Facilities and Structures. Location of existing and proposed service facilities and structures, above and below ground, including:
 - a. General location of the site within the Wellhead Protection Overlay District.
 - b. Areas to be used for the storage, loading/unloading, recycling, or disposal of Regulated Substances, including interior and exterior areas.
 - c. Underground storage tank locations.

- d. Location of exterior drains, dry wells, catch basins, retention/detention areas, sumps and other facilities designed to collect, store or transport storm water or wastewater. The point of discharge for all drains and pipes shall be specified on the site plan.
- 3. Water Resources. Location of existing wetlands and watercourses, including ponds and streams on or within a quarter mile of the site.
- 4. **Soils**. Soil characteristics of the site, at least to the detail provided by the Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- 5. **Topography**. Existing topography of the site, with a maximum contour interval of two (2) feet.
- 6. **Existing Contamination**. Delineation of areas on the site that are known or suspected to be contaminated, together with a report on the status of site clean-up.
- 7. Environmental Checklist. Completion of the EGLE checklist or similar list, indicating the types of environmental permits and approvals that may be needed for the project.

Section H – PERMITTED PRINCIPAL USES

The following uses shall be permitted in the Wellhead Protection Overlay District if permitted in the underlying zoning district, provided they comply with all applicable restrictions and standards specified in this Article:

- 1. Single family residential uses.
- 2. Residential accessory uses, including garages, driveways, private roads, utility rights-ofway, and on-site wastewater disposal systems (i.e., septic systems).
- 3. Agricultural uses such as farming, grazing, and horticulture.
- 4. Forestry and nursery uses.
- 5. Outdoor recreation uses, including fishing, boating, and play areas.
- 6. Conservation of water, plants, and wildlife, including wildlife management areas.
- 7. Any of the above uses may include the subordinate use of Regulated Substances upon a final determination by a qualified professional that such Regulated Substances are not detrimental and does not have the potential to be detrimental to the Township's Wellhead Protection Area after consideration of the following standards with adequate data, information and evidence provided by the applicant:

- a. Classification of the Regulated Substance under 49 CFR 172.101. The list shall include common name (trade name) of materials, chemical name (components), form (liquid, pressurized liquid, solid, gas, pressurized gas, etc.), maximum quantity on hand at any one time, and type of storage containers (above ground tank, underground tank, drums, cylinders, metal container, wooded or composition container, portable tank, etc.).
- b. Amount of the Regulated Substance proposed to be contained on the property.
- c. Whether Regulated Substances for use in a motor vehicle will be used solely for the operation of a vehicle.
- d. Whether the Regulated Substance's storage and use is proposed for onsite air cooling or household appliances.
- e. Whether the Regulated Substance will be harmonious with and in accordance with the general objectives, intent and purposes of this Ordinance in terms of their uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment and conditions of operation, that will not be detrimental to the Wellhead Protection Area.

Section I – SPECIAL USES

The following uses may be permitted if allowed in the underlying zoning district subject to conditions specified for each use, review and recommendation by the Planning Commission and approval by the Township Board, and subject further to any special conditions that are necessary to fulfill the purposes of this Ordinance, and the provisions set forth in Article XVI:

- 1. Commercial, industrial, governmental or education uses which are allowed in the underlying district, and which are not prohibited in Section K.
- 2. Any enlargement, intensification, alteration, or change of use of an existing commercial, industrial, governmental or education use that complies with this Article.
- 3. The rendering impervious of more than fifteen percent (15%) or 2,500 sq. ft. of any parcel, whichever is less, if allowed in the underlying zoning district, provided that a system for artificial recharge of precipitation to groundwater is developed, which shall not result in degradation of the groundwater.
- 4. The mining or excavation for removal of earth, loam, sand, gravel and other soils or mineral resources, provided that such excavation shall not extend closer than five (5) feet above the historical high groundwater table (as determined from on-site monitoring wells and historical water fluctuation data compiled by the United States Geological Survey). One (1) or more monitoring wells shall be installed by the property owner to verify groundwater elevations. This sub-section shall not apply to excavations

incidental to permitted uses, including but not limited to installation or maintenance of structural foundations, freshwater ponds, utility conduits or on-site sewage disposal.

- a. Upon completion of earth removal operations, all altered areas shall be restored with topsoil and vegetative plantings suitable to control erosion on the site consistent with the approved final reclamation plan.
- b. All fine materials, such as clays and silts, removed as part of the earth removal operation and leftover as by-products, shall be disposed of off-site to prevent damage to aquifer recharge characteristics.
- 5. Fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, lawn care chemicals, or other leachable materials provide that such materials are stored in accordance with the manufacturer's label instructions approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency or the Michigan Department of Agriculture and that they are used in routine agricultural operations and applied under the "Generally Accepted Agricultural Management Practices" and all other necessary precautions are taken to minimize adverse impact on surface and groundwater.
- 6. The storage of commercial fertilizers and soil conditioners provided such storage shall be within structures designed to prevent the generation and escape of contaminated runoff or leachate.
- 7. The use or storage of Regulated Substances upon a final determination that such Regulated Substances are not detrimental and do not have the potential to be detrimental to the Township's Wellhead Protection Area after consideration of the following standards with adequate data, information and evidence provided by the applicant:
 - a. Classification of the Regulated Substance under 49 CFR 172.101. The list shall include common name (trade name) of materials, chemical name (components), form (liquid, pressurized liquid, solid, gas, pressurized gas, etc.), maximum quantity on hand at any one time, and type of storage containers (aboveground tank, underground tank, drums, cylinders, metal container, wooded or composition container, portable tank, etc.).
 - b. Amount of the Regulated Substance proposed to be contained on the property.
 - c. Whether Regulated Substances for use in a motor vehicle will be used solely for the operation of a vehicle.

- d. Whether the Regulated Substance's storage and use is proposed for on-site air cooling or household appliances.
- e. Whether the Regulated Substance will be harmonious with and in accordance with the general objectives, intent and purposes of this Ordinance in terms of their uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment and conditions of operation, that will not be detrimental to the Wellhead Protection Area.

Section J –CONDITIONS

In addition to Section I, Special Uses shall comply with the following:

- 1. The Township Board may grant Special Use approval only upon finding that the proposed use meets the following standards:
 - a. In no way, during construction or thereafter, shall a project adversely affect the quality or quantity of water that is available in the Wellhead Protection Overlay District.
 - b. The project shall be designed to avoid substantial disturbance of the soils, topography, drainage, vegetation and water-related natural characteristics of the site to be developed.
- 2. The Township Board shall not approve a Special Use under this section unless the petitioner's application materials include, in the Board's opinion, sufficiently detailed, definite and credible information to support positive findings in relation to the standards of this section.

Section K – PROHIBITED USES

The following uses are prohibited in the Wellhead Protection Overlay District:

- 1. Business and industrial uses that generate, use, treat, process, store, or dispose of Regulated Substances, including, but not limited to metal plating, chemical manufacturing, wood preserving, and dry-cleaning factory, except for the following:
 - a. Generators of a very small quantity of Regulated Substances (less than 20 kilograms or six (6) gallons per month), subject to Special Land Use review.
 - b. Treatment facilities or operations designed for the treatment of contaminated ground or surface waters, provided the facilities have been approved by EGLE.
- 2. Business and industrial uses that dispose of processed wastewater on-site.

- 3. Solid waste landfills, dumps, landfilling, spreading or storage of sludge (excluding lime softening sludges generated from municipal drinking water plants) or septage, with the exception of disposal of brush or stumps.
- 4. Storage of petroleum products of any kind, except for the following:
 - a. Storage that is incidental to:
 - 1a. Normal household use and outdoor maintenance or the heating of a structure.
 - 2a. Use of emergency generators.
 - 3a. Treatment facilities or operations designed for the treatment of contaminated ground or surface waters, provided the facilities have been approved by EGLE.
 - b. Replacement of storage tanks and systems for the keeping, dispensing or storing of gasoline, which existed at the time of adoption of this Article, provided that:
 - 1.b All such replacement storage tanks or systems shall be located underground as required by EGLE.
 - 2.b All such storage systems shall be protected by a secondary containment system as specified by EGLE.
 - 3.b The Fire Chief may deny an application for tank replacement or approve it subject to conditions if he/she determines that it would constitute a danger to public or private water supplies.
- 5. Outdoor storage of salt, de-icing materials, pesticides, or herbicides and outside storage of Regulated Materials.
- 6. Dumping or disposal on the ground, in water bodies, or in residential septic systems of any toxic chemical, including, but not limited to septic systems cleaners which contain toxic chemicals such as methylene chloride and 1-1-1 trichlorethane, or other household Regulated Substances.
- 7. Stockpiling and disposal of snow or ice removed from highways and streets located outside of the Wellhead Protection Overlay District that contains sodium chloride, calcium chloride, chemically treated abrasives, or other chemicals used for snow and ice removal.

- 8. Sewage disposal systems that are designed to receive more than 110 gallons of sewage per quarter acre per day or 440 gallons of sewage per acre per day, whichever is greater, provided that:
 - a. The replacement or repair of an existing system shall be exempted if it does not result in an increase in design capacity above the original design.
 - b. In addition to meeting the above standards, all lots shall conform to any applicable minimum lot size requirements specified in Article III, Section 3.17 of the Zoning Ordinance.
 - c. Any public utility owned and operated by Howell Township or owned by such entity and operated by any lessee or agent thereof, shall be exempted.
- 9. Wastewater treatment facilities or operations, except the following:
 - a. The replacement or repair of an existing system will not result in a design capacity greater than the design capacity of the existing system.
 - b. The replacement of an existing subsurface sewage disposal system with wastewater treatment facilities or operations will not result in a design capacity greater than the design capacity of the existing system.
 - c. Treatment facilities or operations designed for the treatment of contaminated ground or surface waters.
 - d. Any public utility owned and operated by Howell Township or owned by such entity and operated by any lessee or agent thereof, shall be exempted.
 - e. Prohibited uses include all uses not expressly authorized in Section 8 and 9 of this article.

Section L – MISCELLANEOUS REQUIREMENTS

The following requirements shall apply to all uses in the Wellhead Protection Overlay District:

1. **Drainage.** For commercial and industrial uses, run-off from impervious surfaces shall not be discharged directly to drains, streams, ponds, or other surface water bodies. Oil, grease and sediment traps shall be used to facilitate removal of contamination. Forebays/sediment basins and other requirements shall be adhered to per the Township Engineering Design Standards.

- 2. **Discharge of Regulated Substances**. The property owner shall prevent the discharge of regulated substances.
 - a. Upon discovery of a discharge within the Wellhead Protection Area, the owner of the property on which a discharge occurred, as well as the person responsible for the discharge if they are not the same, shall take appropriate reasonable actions to mitigate the potential impact of the discharge on the groundwater and remediate the discharge. Remediation shall be conducted in a timely manner and in accordance with applicable law. Waste generated during remediation of a Regulated Substance discharge must be managed in accordance with all applicable legal requirements. Storage of these materials for a period of greater than ninety (90) days must be reported to, and approval obtained from, the Township Supervisor or his/her designee.
 - b. All discharges shall be documented in writing and mailed to the Township Supervisor or his/her designee within ten (10) business days of said incident. Initial discharge notification shall include, at a minimum, the following:
 - 1.b Location of the discharge (name, address, and phone).
 - 2.b Reporting party's name, address and phone (if different from above).
 - 3.b Emergency contact and phone.
 - 4.b Description of the nature of the incident, including date, time, location, and cause of the incident; type, concentration, and volume of substance(s) discharged.
 - 5.b Map showing exact discharge location, and relevant site features (i.e. paved area, storm sewer catch basins/inlets, water features, etc.), scale, and north arrow.
 - 6b. All measures taken to clean up the discharge; and
 - 7b. All measures proposed to be taken to reduce and prevent any future discharge.
 - c. The Township Supervisor or his/her consultant and/or designee shall determine if and where any additional investigative work needs to be completed to assess the potential impact of the discharge. The owner or operator shall retain a copy of the written notice for at least three years.
- 3. Groundwater Well Abandonment. All public and private wells, excluding wells used for licensed agricultural practices or fire suppression purposes, must be

properly abandoned at the time of replacement or hook-up to a municipal water supply system except as may be modified providing that the well will be used only for irrigation purposes and providing that it will be physically disconnected from the plumbing such that it does not pose a cross connection risk to municipal water systems. The proper abandonment of wells is to be in accordance with the Livingston County Health Department's Sanitary Code and the EGLE Well Construction Unit.

- a. Out of service water wells shall be sealed and abandoned in accordance with applicable requirements of the EGLE Well Construction Unit and the Livingston County Health Department.
- b. Existing and abandoned wells shall be noted on any applicable site plan for new construction, reconstruction or expansion of any use or structure to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section.

Section M – ENFORCEMENT

- 1. Whenever the Township Supervisor or his/her designee determines that a person has violated a provision of this Ordinance, the Township Supervisor or his/her designee may order compliance by issuing a written Notice of Violation to the responsible person/facility.
- 2. If the Township Supervisor or his/her designee requires abatement of a violation and/or restoration of affected property, the notice shall set forth a deadline by which such action must be completed. Said notice may further advise that, should the violator fail to remediate or restore within the established deadline, the work could be performed by the Township, with the resulting expense thereof charged to the violator and the expenses may be assessed onto the property if the property owner is also the violator.

Section N – VARIANCE/APPEAL RIGHTS

1. If an owner of property within a Wellhead Protection Area believes the requirements of this ordinance impose an unreasonable burden on the use of the owner's property, the owner may seek a variance from the Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals ("ZBA") in any appeal to the ZBA, the Township Consulting Engineer shall assist the ZBA for purposes of a variance request or of appeal rights. Such a request must be in writing with enough detail to allow the Township Consulting Engineer to assist the ZBA for purposes of a variance request or of appeal rights, to understand the situation and proposed variance. If the Township Consultant determines that additional information is needed, the request for additional information shall be made within 15 days of the owner's request. Within 30 days of the receipt of such additional information, or, if no such request is made, within 30 days of the owner's request, a hearing will be held in front of the ZBA. The ZBA shall grant, deny, or partially grant the request. A grant, partial or complete, may relieve the property owner from strict compliance with this Ordinance. Reasonable conditions may be imposed by the ZBA as part of such a grant. The ZBA shall be guided by the primary goal

of protecting the Township's Wellhead Protection Area without creating undue hardship upon the property owners affected.

- 2. Any person receiving a notice of violation may appeal the determination by submitting a written notice of appeal to the Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals. The notice of appeal must be received by the Zoning Board of Appeals within 30 days from the date of the notice of violation, with enough detail to allow the Township's Consultant, as a staff representative to the ZBA, to understand the situation. Within 30 days of the receipt of such an appeal, the Township Consulting Engineer shall issue a written response to the appeal to the applicant and to the ZBA unless the Township Consulting Engineer has requested additional information, in which case the Township Consulting Engineer's response shall be issued within 30 days of receipt of the information. The Zoning Board of Appeals shall affirm, reverse, or modify the notice of violation being appealed.
- 3. If the person who has made a variance request or an appeal of a notice of violation does not agree with the decision of the ZBA, said person may appeal the matter by filing an action in the Livingston County Circuit Court, which may affirm, reverse, or modify the decision being appealed. Such an appeal must be filed within 30 days of the decision of the ZBA or within the time period required by Michigan General Court Rules, whichever has the shortest appeal period.

Section O – ABATEMENT/REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES BY THE TOWNSHIP

- 1. The Township is authorized to take or contract with others to take reasonable and necessary abatement or remedial activities whenever the Township determines a violation of this Ordinance has occurred and that the responsible party cannot or will not timely correct the violation, or when no known responsible party exists. The responsible party shall reimburse the Township for all expenses thus incurred by the Township.
- 2. If the Township desires the responsible party to reimburse it for the abatement activity expenses, the Township, shall within 90 days of the completion of such activities mail to that person a notice of claim outlining the expenses incurred, including reasonable administrative costs, and the amounts thereof. The person billed shall pay said sum in full within 30 days of receipt of the claim. If the person billed desires to object to all or some of the amount sought by the Township, said person may file, within the same 30-day period, a written objection so stating. The Township shall, within 30 days of its receipt of the objection. If the Township determines that some or the entire amount originally billed is appropriate, the person shall pay said sum within 30 days of receipt of that determination. If the amount due is not timely paid, the Township may cause the charges to become a special assessment against the property and shall constitute a lien on the property. In the alternative, the Township may attempt collection of the sum due by filing a civil lawsuit.

Section P – INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1. If a person has violated or continues to violate the provisions of this Ordinance, the Township may petition the appropriate court for injunctive relief restraining the person from activities abatement or remediation.

Section Q – VIOLATIONS DEEMED A PUBLIC NUISANCE

1. In addition to the enforcement processes and penalties provided, any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of this Ordinance is a threat to public health, safety, and welfare, and is declared and deemed a nuisance, and may be summarily abated or restored at the violator's expense, and/or a civil infraction to abate, enjoin, or otherwise compel the cessation of such nuisance may be taken by the Township.

Section R – CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

1. Any violation of this Ordinance shall be considered a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not more than \$500.00 or imprisonment of not more than 90 days. Each day a violation exists shall be deemed a separate violation. A citation charging such a misdemeanor may be issued by the Township Supervisor, his or her designee, the Township's Ordinance Enforcement Officer or the Sheriff's Department.

Section S – REMEDIES NOT EXCLUSIVE

1. The remedies listed in this Ordinance are not exclusive of any other remedies available under any applicable federal, state, or local law and it is within the discretion of the Department to seek cumulative remedies.

SECTION 2. <u>REPEAL</u>: This Ordinance hereby repeals any ordinances in conflict herewith.

SECTION 3. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>: The various parts, sections and clauses of this Ordinance are declared to be severable. If any part, sentence, paragraph, section or clause is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the Ordinance shall not be affected.

SECTION 4. <u>SAVINGS CLAUSE</u>: That nothing in this Ordinance hereby adopted be construed to affect any just or legal right or remedy of any character nor shall any just or legal right or remedy of any character be lost, impaired, or affected by this Ordinance.

SECTION 5. <u>PUBLICATION AND EFFECTIVE DATE</u>: This Ordinance is hereby declared to have been adopted by the Howell Township Board at a meeting thereof duly called and held on the ____ day of _____, 2025, was ordered to be given publication in the manner required by law, and was ordered to be given effect as mandated by statute.

YEAS:	
NAYS:	
ABSENT/ABSTAIN	

HOWELL TOWNSHIP:

BY:

Sue Daus, Clerk

ADOPTED:	
PUBLISHED:	
EFFECTIVE:	

CERTIFICATION

I, Susan Daus, the Clerk of Howell Township, Livingston County, Michigan, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of Ordinance No. ______, adopted by the Howell Township Board at a regular meeting held on ______, 2025.

The following members of the Township Board were present at that meeting:

The Ordinance was adopted by the T	Fownship Board with _		men	nber	s of the	Board
voting in favor and	_ members voting in	opposition.	Notice	of	adoptio	n and
publication of the Ordinance was publication	blished in the		on		,	2025.
The Ordinance shall be effective on		_, 2025,	seven	(7)	days	after
publication.					-	

By: _

Susan Daus, Township Clerk

B

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE SUPREME COURT

HOWELL-MASON, LLC A Michigan Limited Liability Company,

Appellant,

v.

HOWELL TOWNSHIP, A Michigan General Law Township,

Appellee

Supreme Court No. Court of Appeals No. 372773 Livingston County Circuit Court Hon. Matthew J. McGivney Case No. 24-350-AA

APPELLANT HOWELL-MASON, LLC'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

LAW OFFICE OF PAUL E. BURNS Attorneys for Appellant BY: PAUL E. BURNS (P31596) BY: JEFFREY D. ALBER (P76530) 133 W. Grand River Rd. Brighton, Michigan 48116 Burns Ph: (517) 861-9547 Alber Ph: (734) 369-1009 burns@peblaw.net alber@peblaw.net

NIK LULGJURAJ, PLC Co-Counsel for Appellant BY: NIK LILGJURAJ (P48879) 300 N. Main St., Suite 4 Ph: (734) 433-0816 nik@niklaw.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX (OF AUTHORITIESv
OPINIO	NS AND ORDERED APPEALEDvii
JURISD	ICTIONix
ALLEGA	ATIONS OF ERROR AND RELIEF SOUGHTx
STANDA	ARD OF REVIEWxi
	IENT OF QUESTIONS PRESENTEDxi
I.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND1
II.	PROCEDURAL POSTURE
III.	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT
IV.	LAW & ARGUMENT
	A. THE LOWER COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION IN BIFURCATING TWO CASES RESULTING FROM A COMMON NUCLEUS OF OPERATIVE FACT BY APPLYING AN ORDINANCE BEFORE RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE ORDINANCE
	1. Standard of review12
	2. Abuse of discretion by applying law before ruling Ruling on constitutionality of law being applied12
	B. THE LOWER COURT ENEGAED IN IMPROPER
	AND/OR NON-ANALYSIS OF FUNDAMENTAL LEGAL
	PRINCIPLES AND MISAPPREHENDED OR GROSSLY
	MISAPPLIED THE SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TEST14
	1. Standard of review14
	2. The lower court's opinion that Fonda Island & Briggs
	Joint Water Authority v. Green Oak Township has "nothing
	To do withthis case" is undeniably wrong15
	3. The lower court erred in concluding that an ordinance Banning one singular industry from State of Michigan wellhead protection areas without any scientific basis was merely an exercise of zoning power without addressing the reasonableness standard16

a.	A zoning ordinance must be reasonably Necessary to the preservation of public health, safety, and welfare
b.	Constitutional reasonableness18
c.	Section 16.11(C)(8) is so patently unreasonable as to facially deny substantive due process to an entire industry
d.	Section 16.11(C)(8) also facially violates the equal protection clause. However, the lower court incorrectly lumped equal protection analysis with procedural due process improperly conflating the two fundamental principles23
e.	It follows that Section 16.11(C)(8) of Appellee's Zoning Ordinance is unconstitutional as applied to Appellant25
	i.Favorable hydrogeology26ii.State-of-the-art tank and dispensing tech
f.	The lower court misapprehended or grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test in upholding the Township's decision to deny Appellant's SLUP29
	i. The lower court disregarded the rules of Evidence regarding lay and expert testimony32
g.	Section 16.11(C)(8) of the Township's Zoning Ordinance is preempted by and/or in conflict with State of Michigan statutory and regulatory schemes fully administered and interpreted by State agencies
	i. Preemption and conflict, generally34
	 Appellee's gasoline service station ban is void as it is preempted by and/or in conflict with a State of Michigan statutory and regulatory scheme

		b.	Drinking water quality38
		c.	Underground storage tanks41
	h.	And/or in Statutory Circumst	It §16.11(C)(8) is clearly preempted by conflict with a clearly defined State and regulatory scheme, the current ances presented in this case are nd cannot stand42
	i.	SLUP, the Procedur	g on §16.11(C)(8) to deny Appellant's e Township deprived Appellant of al due process causing Appellant to incur nt financial damages44
		i. Pr	ocedural due process, generally44
		of	GLE-Approved plan for development a gasoline service station creates a otected property right45
		Pr To La Pe	te Township afforded Appellant no ocedural due process from beginning end of the application process by requiring arge application and review fees and rformative hearings with predetermined atcomes
V.	CONCLUSION		

RECEIVED by MSC 5/1/2025 5:00:32 PM

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

Cases
Ams. for Prosperity Found. v. Bonta, 141 S. Ct. 2373, 2387 (2021)20
Ansell v. Delta Cty. Planning Comm'n, 332 Mich. App. 451, 456, 957 N.W.2d 47, 50 (2020)vii, 14
Bonner v. City of Brighton, 495 Mich. 209, 228 n.47, 848 N.W.2d 380, 392 (2014)17, 18, 19, 21, 43
<i>Boyd v Civil Service Comm</i> , 220 Mich. App. 226, 234; 559 N.W.2d 342 (1996)vii
City of Novi v. Robert Adell Children's Funded Tr., 473 Mich. 242, 254, 701 N.W.2d 144, 152 (2005)vii, 12
<i>Conlin v. Scio Twp.</i> , 262 Mich App 379 386, 686 NW2d 16 (2004)34
Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority v. Green Oak Township 2005 Mich App LEXIS 5; 2005 WL 1776815, 16
Foucha v Louisiana, 504 U.S. 71, 78; 112 S. Ct. 1780; 118 L. Ed. 2d 437 (1992)18
Gilbert v DaimlerChrysler Corp, 470 Mich. 749, 761-762; 685 N.W.2d 391 (2004)vii, 12
Hanselman v. Wayne Co Concealed Weapon Licensing Bd., 419 Mich 168, 187, 351 NW2d 544 (1984)34
Hendee v. Putnam Twp., 486 Mich. 556, 566, 786 N.W.2d 521, 527 (2010)18
Houdek v. Centerville Twp, 276 Mich. App. 568, 741 NW2d 587 (2007)22
Hughes v Almena Twp, 284 Mich App 50, 60; 771 NW2d 453 (2009)vii, 14
In re Advisory Op. Re Constitutionality of P.A. 1975 No. 301, 400 Mich. 270, 296, 254 N.W.2d 528, 538 (1977)25
Mich Millers Mut Ins Co v. Bronson Plating Co, 197 Mich App 482, 494, 496 NW2d 373 (1992)vii, 12
Paragon Props. Co. v. City of Novi, 452 Mich. 568, 576, 550 N.W.2d 772, 775 (1996)20, 25
Park Forest v. Smith, 112 Mich App 421, 429, 316 NW2d 442 (1982vii,12

<i>People v Babcock</i> , 469 Mich. 247, 269; 666 N.W.2d 231 (2003)viii, 12
<i>People v Daniel</i> , 207 Mich App 47, 57; 523 NW2d 830 (1994)32
People v. Llewellyn, 401 Mich. 314, 322, 257 N.W.2d 902, 904 (1977)
<i>People</i> v. <i>McGraw</i> , 184 Mich. 233 (1915)
People v. Sierb, 456 Mich. 519, 522, 581 N.W.2d 219, 221 (1998)
PT Today, Inc v. Comm'r of Office of Fin & Ins Servs, 270 Mich App 110, 151, 715 NW2d 398 (226)vii, 12
Risko v Grand Haven Charter Twp Zoning Bd of Appeals, 284 Mich App 453, 458-459; 773 NW2d 730 (2009)vii, 14 Shepherd Montessori Ctr. Milan v. Ann Arbor Charter Twp., 486 Mich. 311, 783 N.W.2d 695 (2010)22
United States v. Salerno, 481 U. S. 739, 745, 107 S. Ct. 2095, 95 L. Ed. 2d 697 (1987)20
<i>Walsh v. River Rouge</i> , 385 Mich. 623, 635, 189 N.W.2d 318, 324 (1971)34, 35
<i>Wash. State Grange v. Wash. State Republican Party</i> , 552 U.S. 442, 449, 128 S. Ct. 1184, 1190 (2008)20
<i>Yick Wo v Hopkins</i> , 118 U.S. 356, 373; 6 S Ct 1064; 30 L Ed 220 (1886)25

Statutes

MCL §125.3504(1)	
MCL §324.101	41
MCL §235.1001	
MCL §325.1001a	
MCL §325.1002	
MCL §325.1003	
MCL §325.1005	

MCL §125.3205(8)
Rules
MCR §7.205(A(1)v
MCR §7.122(A)(2)
MCR §2.605(c)
MRE 701
MRE 702
Regulations
Mich Admin Code §280.20(d)(1)(ii)41
Mich Admin Code §325.12817
Mich Admin Code §325.10807
Mich Admin Code §325.10808
Mich Admin Code §325.10809
Other Source
Const. 1963, art 7, § 22
EGLE Michigan Wellhead Protection Program Guide, EGLE Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division, March 2020, p 737
EGLE WaterAssessment/WellheadProtectionProgramGuide

OPINIONS AND ORDERS APPEALED

- Denial of Howell-Mason, LLC's Motion to Adjourn Oral Argument to Align Schedule with Companion Case (denied from the bench on August 15, 2024)¹;
- 2. Opinion and Order on Appeal, issued September 16, 2024.²
- 3. Order of the Court of Appeals denying leave to appeal, issued April 11, 2025.³

¹ Appx Seg 2, p 111; Appx Seg 10 p 545

² Appx Seg 1, p 003

³ Appx. Seg 10, p 547

JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction to hear this case for the following reasons:

- Howell-Mason, LLC has filed this application for leave to appeal within twenty-one (21) days after the entry of the Order of the Court of Appeals denying leave to appeal dated April 11, 2025, pursuant to MCR 217.105(A)(1).
- Howell-Mason, LLC has filed this application for leave to appeal with the Court of Appeals within twenty-one (21) days after the entry of the order appealed from pursuant to MCR §7.205(A)(1).
- 3. The procedural motion denied from the bench on August 15, 2024, is being appealed outside of the twenty-one (21) day requirement because it did not make procedural or financial sense to appeal said ruling prior to the main opinion being issued by the lower court.

ALLEGATIONS OF ERROR & RELIEF SOUGHT

- 1. The circuit court abused its discretion in denying and rescheduling several procedural motions aimed at allowing the court to simultaneously consider the constitutionality of an ordinance to be applied and the legality of the application of the ordinance should it be found constitutional.
- 2. The circuit court misapprehended and/or grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test in upholding Howell Township's decision.
- The circuit court made several clearly erroneous findings and interpretations of fundamental principles of law.
- 4. The Court of Appeals erred in denying leave to appeal the serious errors above. Leave was denied on April 11, 2025, in a one sentence order incorrectly characterizing the applications as delayed. Appellant's application was not late having been filed on October 7, 2024 exactly twenty-one (21) days following the September 16, 2024, order being appealed. The Court of Appeals also inappropriately required that the filing fee be paid twice because the application concerned two (2) orders.
- 5. As such, Appellant, Howell-Mason, LLC, respectfully requests that this Court grant leave to fully appeal this matter.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court of Appeals reviews *de novo* a circuit court's decision when sitting as an appellate body "because the interpretation of the pertinent law and its application to the facts at hand present questions of law." *Ansell v. Delta Cty. Planning Comm'n*, 332 Mich. App. 451, 456, 957 N.W.2d 47, 50 (2020); citing *Hughes v Almena Twp*, 284 Mich App 50, 60; 771 NW2d 453 (2009); *Risko v Grand Haven Charter Twp Zoning Bd of Appeals*, 284 Mich App 453, 458-459; 773 NW2d 730 (2009).

In other words, the Court of Appeals reviews the lower court's decision to determine "whether the lower court applied correct legal principles and whether it misapprehended or grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test to the [municipality]'s factual findings." *Hughes v. Almena Twp.*, 284 Mich. App. 50, 60, 771 N.W.2d 453, 460-61 (2009); *citing Boyd v Civil Service Comm*, 220 Mich. App. 226, 234; 559 N.W.2d 342 (1996). "This standard regarding the substantial evidence test is the same as the familiar "clearly erroneous" standard." *Id*. "A finding is clearly erroneous if the reviewing court, on the whole record, is left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been made." *Id*.

A trial court's decision to grant or deny a procedural motion is reviewed for an abuse of discretion. *See Mich Millers Mut Ins Co v. Bronson Plating Co*, 197 Mich App 482, 494, 496 NW2d 373 (1992); *Park Forest v. Smith*, 112 Mich App 421, 429, 316 NW2d 442 (1982); *PT Today, Inc v. Comm'r of Office of Fin & Ins Servs*, 270 Mich App 110, 151, 715 NW2d 398 (226).

"An abuse of discretion occurs when an unprejudiced person considering the facts upon which the decision was made would say that there was no justification or excuse for the decision." *City of Novi v. Robert Adell Children's Funded Tr.*, 473 Mich. 242, 254, 701 N.W.2d 144, 152 (2005); citing *Gilbert v DaimlerChrysler Corp*, 470 Mich. 749, 761-762; 685 N.W.2d 391 (2004).
"Discretion is abused when the decision results in "an outcome falling outside [the] principled range of outcomes." *People v Babcock*, 469 Mich. 247, 269; 666 N.W.2d 231 (2003), *see also City of Novi v. Robert Adell Children's Funded Tr.*, 473 Mich. 242, 254, 701 N.W.2d 144, 152 (2005).

STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether the lower court abused its discretion in denying Appellant's motion to adjourn oral argument to align with oral arguments on motions for summary disposition in companion litigation where he instant circuit court appeal challenges the legality of the Township Board's decision (i.e. the legality of how the ordinance at issue was applied) and the companion litigation challenges the legality of the ordinance itself. In refusing to coordinate oral arguments the lower court applied the law before ruling on its legality, thus predetermining the outcome of the companion litigation before the court issued a scheduling order therein.
- 2. Whether the lower court misunderstood and misapplied *Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority v. Green Oak Township,* which presents nearly an identical set of facts, circumstances, and legal tests that this case.
- 3. Whether the lower court failed to address the reasonableness of the ordinance at issue in concluding that the ordinance at issue does not violate any constitutional provisions.
- 4. Whether the lower court improperly conflated the fundamental concepts of equal protection and procedural due process citing only due process cases to make a ruling on equal protection.
- 5. Whether the lower court grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test by wholly ignoring expert analysis by State of Michigan scientists and engineers along with other experts with peculiar knowledge of complex issues in favor of speculative comments made by lay objectors far beyond the scope of the rules of evidence.

- 6. Whether the lower court erred in determining that a township ordinance directly contradicting several statutes and regulations fully administered by the State of Michigan was appropriate.
- 7. Whether the Court of Appeals erred in denying leave to appeal.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Appellant, HOWELL-MASON, LLC, is a Michigan Limited Liability Company with a principal place of business in Howell, Livingston County, Michigan. Appellant is in the business of developing and operating gasoline service stations with attached restaurants.

Appellee, HOWELL TOWNSHIP, is a Michigan General Law Township with offices located at 3525 Byon Road, Howell, Livingston County, Michigan 48855.

Appellant owns three contiguous parcels of real property located at the corner of Mason Road and Burkart Road in Howell Township.⁴ The Subject Property includes two parcels (Tax ID Nos. 4706-33-300-001 and 4706-33-300-108) that are currently zoned Neighborhood Service Commercial (NSC), in which gasoline service stations are permitted as a special land use. The third parcel is currently zoned residential, master planned commercial and is not at issue in this matter.

All three of Appellant's parcels are master planned for commercial use and sit within the commercial corridor contemplated by the Township's master plan. The immediate vicinity of the Subject Property has been tapped as an area of significant residential and commercial development in the township, with approximately one thousand (1,000) residential homes approved to be built across the street from the commercial corridor in which the Subject Property is located.⁵ Appellant purchased the Subject Property specifically because of the current and future zoning designations, as well as the significant residential and commercial development in the area.

⁴ Appx 194

⁵ Appx 196

The Subject Property is located at the far edge of a large wellhead protection area.⁶ The Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) approves and regulates wellhead protection areas.

Section 16.11(C)(8) of the Howell Township Zoning Ordinance states: "No gasoline service station shall be permitted within three hundred (300) feet of a wellhead protection area" without any explanation, reasoning, or objective tests for which evidence could be submitted to determine the reasonableness of a proposed service station development on a case-by-case basis.⁷

In or around late 2020, Appellant approached the Township about submitting its application to develop a gasoline service station and drive through restaurant on the Subject Property. The Township advised that Appellant had to apply to the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) for a use variance to avoid a general prohibition of gasoline service stations in or near wellhead protection areas in the Township's zoning ordinance. Appellant proceeded as directed. Additionally, the Township verbally told Appellant that gasoline service would likely work in that location and to first obtain approval of the Marion, Oceola, Genoa Water Authority (MHOG).

As instructed, Appellant approached MHOG to discuss the viability of the proposed gasoline service station project in or near the wellhead protection area. On February 1, 2021, MHOG issued a letter to Appellant approving the proposed project with conditions.

On March 16, 2021, the Howell Township ZBA then held a hearing and denied Appellant's use variance application. This occurred despite the fact that the Howell Township Zoning Ordinance prohibits the ZBA from issuing use variances making this hearing and process wholly unnecessary and inappropriate.

⁶ Appx 198

⁷ Appx 200-201

After its use variance was denied, Appellant then requested that the Township consider amending §16.11 of its zoning ordinance to allow for gasoline service stations within a wellhead protection area with MHOG's approval. The Howell Township Board of Trustees then proceeded to hold two (2) public meetings on March 20, 2023, and May 8, 2023, at which Appellant's proposed zoning ordinance amendment, Appellant's property, and Appellant's SLUP application, while not explicitly on the agenda, were nevertheless discussed and voted on at the meeting. Appellant was not given notice of these meetings or opportunity to be heard.⁸ Upon information and belief, at one of the meetings held secret from Appellant, the executive director of MHOG stated that it would be most beneficial for Appellant's proposed development to be within the wellhead protection area as MHOG could provide an additional level of oversight. The director of MHOG also stated that MHOG had a large 2,000 gallon above-ground diesel storage tank at its facility which poses substantially more risk than a modern underground tank system, and because it is located within close proximity to the current MHOG wellhead. The Howell Township Board ultimately voted to decline Appellant's proposed zoning ordinance amendment and later voted to send a wellhead protection ordinance drafted by MHOG and adopted by neighboring municipalities to the Planning Commission for consideration with one significant addition -acomplete prohibition of gasoline service stations.⁹

Subsequently, Appellant regrouped, applied, and received permits and/or approvals for the project from all necessary parties, sans the Township, including but not limited to (1) the State of Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA); (2), EGLE; (3) State of Michigan Fire Marshal; and (4) local fire Marshal.

⁸ Audio recordings of those meetings were provided to Appellant by the Township via Freedom of Information Act request.

⁹ Appx 203-216

On or around June 26, 2023, Appellant submitted a special land use permit application (SLUP) and site plan to develop a new gasoline service station and drive-through restaurant on the Subject Property located in in Howell Township, currently zoned Neighborhood Service Commercial (NSC), in which gasoline service stations are permitted as a special land use. The application was supplemented on or around October 17, 2023.¹⁰

In conjunction with Appellant's SLUP application and site plan submission, the Township required Appellant to sign an agreement to reimburse the Township for "all expenses at actual cost for professional services related to the application required by the Township for the issuance of any permits, approvals, reviews, and attendance at meetings, by the Township's Planner, Landscape Architects, Legal Counsel, Engineering and Administrative Staff, over and above the fees listed in the Howell Township Fee Schedule." However, the Howell Township Zoning Ordinance does not explicitly specify the reimbursement agreement and procedure.

The 2023 SLUP application, as supplemented, included all other permits/approvals received from State, County, and Local authorities, along with expert reports from qualified experts regarding issues including, but not limited to, underground storage tank technology, expert municipal planning considerations, and favorable hydrogeologic conditions of the Subject Property and surrounding area.

For instance, the geology of the Subject Property and surrounding area provides natural protection of groundwater from intrusion of surface water. Based upon data derived directly from the MHOG Wellhead Protection Plan (August 2023), the groundwater is located within a confined aquifer in which the groundwater flow is in a northerly direction, and the current wellhead and potential future wellhead sites are located upgradient generally several thousand feet south of the

¹⁰ Appx 218-298

Subject Property. The natural flow of groundwater travels away from wellfields and toward the Subject Property. Additionally, the MHOG aquifer is confined by thick clay layer and bedrock layers approximately which act as a protective barrier preventing surface water from commingling with the aquifer.

On November 21, 2023, the Howell Township Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on Appellant's SLUP application. The meeting was a public free-for-all in which the Commission received inappropriate and incorrect legal advice from its planners, fundamentally misunderstood its role, employed no parliamentary procedure or any cognizable procedure whatsoever, and failed to control members of the public throughout the meeting. The large crowd vowed to appear at all future meetings of any nature regarding Appellant's proposed gasoline service station.¹¹

At the conclusion of the meeting the Planning Commission took no action on Appellant's site plan choosing instead to table its review indefinitely and voted unanimously to recommend denial of the SLUP based on the prohibitive language in §16.11(C)(8) of its Zoning Ordinance. The Planning Commission acknowledged its own lack of authority to grant the application at the outset of the hearing.

On December 11, 2023, the Howell Township Board of Trustees held a regular meeting at which they voted to deny the SLUP. The Township Board ignored presentations by qualified experts regarding the nature of the local family-owned business by company ownership, the site plan by Boss Engineering, favorable hydrogeological conditions by Mannik & Smith Group, planning issues by PLB Planning Group, and safety of cutting-edge gasoline storage and dispensing technology by Oscar Larson Co. The meeting quickly devolved into anger over the

¹¹ A copy of the meeting minutes can be found at Appx 286-288. The transcript of the meeting is at Appx 290-338. A video of the meeting can be found at ROA 654.

price of gas and the tax code. One Board member explicitly stated that his decision was based solely on his personal aversion to having a gas station near his personal residence in flagrant disregard to the evidence.¹² No members of the public appeared at the December 11, 2023, Board Meeting.

On February 1, 2021, and again on February 23, 2023, MHOG issued letters approving Appellant's proposed gasoline service station with conditions.¹³ However, in a complete reversal, on May 19, 2023, MHOG issued a letter addressed to Township retracting its prior approval of Appellant's project. The Township did not disclose the letter to Appellant until August 2, 2023 – nearly ninety (90) days after it was received by the Township.¹⁴ Upon information and belief, the Township colluded with MHOG to retract its approval. What's more, the May 19, 2023, MHOG letter, which was hidden from Appellant for nearly ninety (90) days, was erroneous in many respects, including but not limited to being based on a review of a previous draft of the site plan rather than the final plan submitted to and considered by the Township.

Then, on November 15, 2023, MHOG held a public meeting at which it passed a resolution regarding the inappropriateness of Appellant's proposed project.¹⁵ Appellant was not given notice of the MHOG public meeting and was not provided a copy of the resolution by MHOG nor the Township.

Following the Township Board's denial of Appellant's SLUP application, Appellant submitted its application to the Zoning Board of Appeals to appeal the Board decision and to request dimensional and use variances. The Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals lacks jurisdiction

¹² A copy of the meeting minutes is at Appx 340-344. The transcript of the meeting is at Appx 347-374. A video of the meeting can be found at ROA 655.

¹³ Appx 376

¹⁴ Appx 378

¹⁵ Appx 381

to hear appeals of SLUP decisions,¹⁶ and lacks jurisdiction to grant use variances.¹⁷ Nevertheless, on December 16, 2023, Appellant submitted a ZBA application with an explanatory letter from its counsel out of an abundance of caution specifically to fulfill the finality requirements as required by *Paragon v. City of Novi*, 452 Mich 568, 550 NW2d 772 (1996).¹⁸ The Township attorney responded with a letter feigning confusion over the application's purpose.¹⁹ Appellant then responded with an additional letter further explaining its request and position.²⁰

The Township attorney responded with a letter taking the position that "jurisdiction" and "authority" are separate and distinct terms, and as such the ZBA had "jurisdiction" over the Appellant's appeal and was thus obligated to hold a hearing. However, despite having "jurisdiction," the ZBA lacked "authority" to grant any relief.²¹ Appellant then responded with a detailed letter objecting to the Township's position and submitted a revised ZBA application in case the Township required yet another performative hearing with predetermined outcome.²²

On January 31, 2024, the Howell Township Zoning Administrator issued a letter to Appellant confirming Appellant's position that the ZBA lacked jurisdiction to hear Appellant's appeal and variance requests and returned the application and filing fee²³.

¹⁶ Howell Twp. Zoning Ord. § 22.06(C) ("The ZBA may not change the zoning district classification of any property, may not change any of the terms of the Ordinance, and may not take any actions that result in the making of legislative changes to this Ordinance. The ZBA may not hear an appeal from a Township decision regarding a special land use or PUD.")

¹⁷ Howell Twp. Zoning Ord. § 22.06(F) ("Under no circumstances shall the Board of Appeals grant a variance to allow a use not permissible under the terms of this Ordinance in the zoning district in which the variance is to be located.").

¹⁸ Appellant's initial ZBA application is at Appx 386-392.

¹⁹ Appx 393

²⁰ Appx 403

²¹ Appx 408

²² Appx 412

²³ Appx 463

II. PROCEDURAL POSTURE

Following the Township Board's vote to deny Appellant's SPLUP application, Appellant filed two (2) companion actions:

- 1. The instant appeal from the Township Board to the Circuit Court challenging the legality of the Board's decision and application of §16.aa(C)(8), Case No. 24-350-AA; and
- An original action challenging the constitutionality of the ordinance applied by the Board, along with several other claims unable to be proffered in the context of the circuit court appeal, Case No. 24-32242-CZ.

Both the court rules controlling appeals to circuit court and litigation in circuit court allow for both distinct actions to be filed and to proceed simultaneously. *See* MCR § 7.122(A)(2) ("This rule does not restrict the right of a party to bring a complaint for relief relating to a determination under a zoning ordinance."); and MCR § 2.605(c) ("The existence of another adequate remedy does not preclude a judgment for declaratory relief in an appropriate case.").

While the two cases stem from the same facts and circumstances, they are two procedurally and legally distinct actions. An appeal to circuit court challenges the legality of the *decision* of the municipal board, whereas this challenges the legality of an *ordinance* on which the decision was based.

An initial status conference was held on July 16, 2024, at which Appellant was prepared to discuss scheduling, and indicated that it would like to schedule oral arguments in the appeal to be argued at the same time as motions for summary disposition in this case. In that scenario, the court would logically be able to first consider the constitutionality of the ordinance governing the decision of the Township Board before engaging in an analysis of the legality of the application of the ordinance in the appeal. However, it became clear that the court did not understand the law and

procedure and considered the two cases to be duplicative. Court staff indicated that should a motion to consolidate be filed it would be denied.

Following the status conference the court issued an order *sua sponte* staying this case "temporarily until August 15, 2024, or until further order of the Court."²⁴ The order effectively granted a preliminary injunction – an extraordinary writ - without motion or hearing in clear violation of MCR § 3.310. Oral argument in the instant appeal was scheduled for August 15, 2024.

Appellant then filed the following motions to be heard on August 15, 2024:

- 1. Motion for relief from stay in the companion litigation;
- 2. Motion for summary disposition in the companion litigation; and
- Motion to adjourn oral argument in the appeal to align oral argument with motion for summary disposition in the companion litigation.

Within an hour of filing the above referenced motions, the court unilaterally rescheduled the motions for relief from stay and motion for summary disposition to a date following oral argument on the appeal.

On August 15, 2024, the motion to adjourn oral argument was denied from the bench. The appeal proceeded to oral argument. While counsel was informed at the status conference that the court had cleared the afternoon for the oral argument, Appellant was informed mid-argument that the court had other matters to attend to and to wrap it up.

Following oral argument, the court held a status conference in which it lifted the stay in the companion case, but did not issue a scheduling order. A scheduling order was later stipulated to by the parties and submitted to the court.

²⁴ Appx 18.

On September 17, 2024, counsel received the court's Opinion and Order on the appeal dated September 16, 2024, via email. The opinion, in short, determined that this was a legally and logically sound outcome:

STATE OF MICHIGAN (EGLE) Vested with sole regulatory authority of wellhead protection, drinking water quality, and underground storage tanks.



Because the proposed project is in a wellhead protection area Determined by EGLE

In the name of "judicial economy," the court chose to bifurcate two distinct procedural and legal matters arising from a common nucleus of operative fact but chose to *apply* the law at issue before considering the *constitutionality* of the law to be applied. The practical result of this incorrect procedure is that the court has effectively predetermined the outcome of the companion litigation before issuing a scheduling order therein. Since filing Appellant's application for leave to appeal in the Court of Appeals, the trial court has indicated that it is poised to dismiss the majority of Appellant's companion lawsuit based on its findings in this case.

Appellant then sought leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals. Leave was denied on April 11, 2025, in a one sentence order incorrectly characterizing the applications as delayed. Appellant's application was not late having been filed on October 7, 2024 – exactly twenty-one (21) days following the September 16, 2024, order being appealed. The Court of Appeals also inappropriately required that the filing fee be paid twice because the application concerned two (2) orders.

III. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

To borrow a phrase from the circuit court's opinion, the court would struggle to handle the procedure or analysis of the law more incorrectly. This is a case where a municipality allowed a handful of citizen objectors to overrule State of Michigan approvals and unrebutted expert testimony. In other words, this is a case where legal rights in land were denied for no reason at all which the lower courts have upheld as legitimate. Should this obviously inappropriate procedure become the norm then it would effectively turn the statewide law of zoning on its head.

The lower court abused its discretion in denying Appellant's motion to adjourn oral argument to align with oral arguments on motions for summary disposition in companion litigation. The instant circuit court appeal challenges the legality of the Township Board's decision (i.e. the legality of how the ordinance at issue was applied). The companion litigation challenges the legality of the ordinance itself. In refusing to coordinate oral arguments, the lower court applied the law before ruling on its legality, thus predetermining the outcome of the companion litigation before the court issued a scheduling order therein.

The lower court misunderstood and misapplied *Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority* v. *Green Oak Township*, which presents nearly an identical set of facts, circumstances, and legal tests as this case. The lower court failed to address the reasonableness of the ordinance at issue likely because the ordinance at issue is so patently unreasonable that any analysis that it was reasonable wouldn't pass the straight face test.

The lower court improperly conflated the fundamental concepts of equal protection and procedural due process citing only due process cases to make a ruling on equal protection.

The lower court grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test by wholly ignoring expert analysis by State of Michigan scientists and engineers along with other experts with peculiar knowledge of complex issues in favor of speculative comments made by lay objectors far beyond the scope of the rules of evidence.

The lower court erred in determining that a township ordinance directly contradicting several statutes and regulations fully administered by the State of Michigan was appropriate.

Reversal is warranted.

IV. LAW & ARGUMENT

A. THE LOWER COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION IN BIFURCATING TWO CASES RESULTING FROM A COMMON NUCLEUS OF OPERATIVE FACT BY APPLYING AN ORDINANCE BEFORE RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE ORDINANCE.

1. Standard of review.

A trial court's decision to grant or deny a procedural motion is reviewed for an abuse of discretion. *See Mich Millers Mut Ins Co v. Bronson Plating Co*, 197 Mich App 482, 494, 496 NW2d 373 (1992); *Park Forest v. Smith*, 112 Mich App 421, 429, 316 NW2d 442 (1982); *PT Today, Inc v. Comm'r of Office of Fin & Ins Servs*, 270 Mich App 110, 151, 715 NW2d 398 (226).

"An abuse of discretion occurs when an unprejudiced person considering the facts upon which the decision was made would say that there was no justification or excuse for the decision." *City of Novi v. Robert Adell Children's Funded Tr.*, 473 Mich. 242, 254, 701 N.W.2d 144, 152 (2005); citing *Gilbert v DaimlerChrysler Corp*, 470 Mich. 749, 761-762; 685 N.W.2d 391 (2004). "Discretion is abused when the decision results in "an outcome falling outside [the] principled range of outcomes." *People v Babcock*, 469 Mich. 247, 269; 666 N.W.2d 231 (2003), *see also City of Novi v. Robert Adell Children's Funded Tr.*, 473 Mich. 242, 254, 701 N.W.2d 144, 152 (2005).

2. Abuse of discretion by applying a law before ruling on its constitutionality.

The peculiar procedural posture set forth above is the result of discretionary abuse. The instant appeal and the companion litigation arise from a common nucleus of operative fact but are required by rule to be filed under two separate case codes. First, this appeal challenges the legality of the Township Board's *decision*, including the legality of how the challenged ordinance was applied. On the other hand, the companion litigation challenges the legality of the *ordinance* itself, along with many other claims incapable of being brought in the context of an appeal. Given the unique procedural requirements Appellant requested that oral argument on this appeal be aligned with oral arguments on motion for summary disposition in the companion litigation so that the court could reasonably analyze the legality of the ordinance before applying it. However, the court chose to do the exact opposite.

In the name of "judicial economy," the court chose to bifurcate two distinct procedural and legal matters arising from a common nucleus of operative fact but chose to *apply* the law before considering the *constitutionality* of the law to be applied. The practical result of this incorrect procedure is that the court has effectively predetermined the outcome of the companion litigation before issuing a scheduling order therein. Unless the court is poised to issue ruling in the companion litigation that directly contradicts its ruling in this matter, the companion litigation was all but over before the issuance of a scheduling order therein.²⁵ Thus, the lower court has presented

²⁵ In fact, the trial court has since indicated that it will dismiss the majority of Appellant's companion lawsuit based on its findings in this case.

Appellant with two unsavory options: (1) give up and walk away from millions of dollars it has already invested in its project; or (2) incur unnecessary expense in litigating the companion litigation to a conclusion, which the court has all but ensured will be unfavorable to Appellant, and seeking relief on appeal at yet additional expense.

The practical outcome of the lower court's exercise of discretion is patently incorrect falling far beyond the principled range of outcomes without any justification – let alone a reasonable one. The lower court simply didn't want to engage with this case and made a political calculation to hand perceived prospective voters their preferred outcome while ignoring all reasonable procedural and legal analysis to achieve this end.²⁶ There is no other reasonable way to rationalize this conclusion. If "judicial economy" was the true catalyst then all arguments would have been heard at once allowing the court to analyze the issues in good faith, which would allow the court to legitimately decide all issues simultaneously with even result.

While the incorrect procedural ruling of the lower court is sufficient to vacate and remand for a full hearing, the lower court also improperly analyzed every argument proffered by Appellant.

B. THE LOWER COURT ENEGAED IN IMPROPER AND/OR NON-ANALYSIS OF FUNDAMENTAL LEGAL PRINCIPLES AND MISAPPREHENDED OR GROSSLY MISAPPLIED THE SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TEST.

1. Standard of review.

The Court of Appeals reviews *de novo* a circuit court's decision when sitting as an appellate body "because the interpretation of the pertinent law and its application to the facts at hand present questions of law." *Ansell v. Delta Cty. Planning Comm'n*, 332 Mich. App. 451, 456, 957 N.W.2d 47, 50 (2020); citing *Hughes v Almena Twp*, 284 Mich App 50, 60; 771 NW2d 453 (2009); *Risko*

²⁶ A cursory review of the record would indicate that nearly all the materials provided by Appellee are wholly irrelevant to this matter or duplications of relevant material. Appellant cited and attached all relevant documents in its initial brief on appeal.

v Grand Haven Charter Twp Zoning Bd of Appeals, 284 Mich App 453, 458-459; 773 NW2d 730 (2009).

In other words, the Court of Appeals reviews the lower court's decision to determine "whether the lower court applied correct legal principles and whether it misapprehended or grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test to the [municipality]'s factual findings." *Hughes v. Almena Twp.*, 284 Mich. App. 50, 60, 771 N.W.2d 453, 460-61 (2009); *citing Boyd v Civil Service Comm*, 220 Mich. App. 226, 234; 559 N.W.2d 342 (1996). "This standard regarding the substantial evidence test is the same as the familiar "clearly erroneous" standard." *Id.* "A finding is clearly erroneous if the reviewing court, on the whole record, is left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been made." *Id.*

2. The lower court's opinion that *Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority* v. *Green Oak Township* has "nothing to do with...this case" is undeniably wrong.

Appellant drew the lower court's attention to the matter of *Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority v. Green Oak Township*²⁷ 2005 Mich App LEXIS 5; 2005 WL 17768. as the facts and legal issues substantially track those in this matter. In response, the lower court stated that Appellant would "struggle to be more incorrect" about the applicability of the case and that it has nothing to do with the issues presented here and isn't persuasive in the least. Let's dive in.

- i. *Fonda Island* involved the installation of a gasoline service station in Livingston County.
 - This case involves the installation of a gasoline service station in Livingston County.
- iii. Fonda Island involved a gasoline service station proposed to be installed under a SLUP.
 - This case involves a gasoline service station proposed to be installed under a SLUP.
- iv. *Fonda Island* involved a gasoline service station proposed to be installed in a State of Michigan Wellhead Protection Area directly across the street within sight distance of an active municipal wellhead.

²⁷ Fonda Island was argued by lead counsel for Appellant and even argued in the very courtroom the judge in this matter now sits.

- This case involves a gasoline service station to be installed in a State of Michigan Wellhead Protection Area nearly a half mile from a location where a well may or may not be installed at an unknown future date.
- v. *Fonda Island* geology was unfavorable, with the aquifer from which the municipal well drew water being uncontained and unprotected.
 - The aquifer in this case is fully confined and protected by thick layers of clay and stone from comingling with surface water or groundwater at a higher elevation. Furthermore, the aquifer that may or may not be used for a well in the future is located geologically upgradient from Appellant's property, thus scientifically negating any potential contamination of the aquifer by Appellant.
- v. *Fonda Island* involved State of Michigan and other expert scientific review v. a mob of lay objectors.
 - This case involves State of Michigan and other expert scientific review v. a mob of lay objectors.
- vi. *Fonda Island* involved an analysis of the substantial evidence test.
 - This case involves an analysis of the substantial evidence test.
- vii. The *Fonda Island* gasoline service station was installed and has been in place for over two decades

The lower court is correct in that *Fonda Island* is a different case with difference parties in a different decade, but that's it. The EGLE guidance, statutes and regulations discussed herein and in the brief submitted to the lower court, along with the facts of this case fit neatly into the unpublished Michigan Court of Appeals opinion in the patter of *Fonda Island & Briggs Lake Joint Water Authority v. Green Oak Township, et al,* 2005 Mich App LEXIS 5; 2005 WL 17768,²⁸ in which the court of appeals allowed for the installation of a gasoline service station directly across the street from the existing Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority wellhead.

Specifically, in *Fonda Island*, a property owner applied for a SLUP to develop a 7-Eleven gas station across from the Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority wellhead. During the pendency of the application a wellhead protection area was approved that included the proposed

²⁸ Appx. 523

7-Eleven property. Unlike this case, the hydrogeological data indicated that the aquifer was not fully confined by clay or limestone. Employees of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (now EGLE) testified that that "double-walled underground storage tanks are not considered a major source of contamination...", that a "gas station was minimal risk", and that "we can't draw a 2,000-foot circle around every well in the state and say 'no development." State officials further stated that the MDEQ (now EGLE) ensures that the location of underground storage tanks is compatible with any nearby water wells..." and "if an underground storage tank is in a delineated wellhead protection area, it must be double walled." Based in large part on MDEQ statements, the Court of Appeals determined that Green Oak Township's approval of the 7-Eleven SLUP was proper.

Fonda Island presents a nearly identical set of facts to the instant case, but the hydrogeological conditions in *Fonda Island* are actually far less ideal than those presented here. The lower court has clearly gone out of its way to gaslight distinguishability. A cursory review of *Fonda Island* shows that the lower court's "analysis" is just plain wrong.

3. The lower court erred in concluding that an ordinance banning one singular industry from State of Michigan wellhead protection areas without any scientific basis was merely an exercise of zoning power without addressing the reasonableness standard.

The lower court's recitation of the general principles of the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act is correct. The lower court is also correct that a municipality has a legitimate interest in protecting the heath, safety, and welfare of the community. However, the court failed to analyze *reasonableness*, or addressing any evidence in the record challenging the reasonableness of the ordinance at issue.

a. A zoning ordinance must be reasonably necessary to the preservation of public health, safety, and welfare.

The Michigan Supreme Court and the Supreme Court of the United States have held that "reasonableness is essential to the validity of an exercise of police power affecting the general rights of the land owner by restricting the character of the owner's use."²⁹

According to the Michigan Supreme Court in Bonner v. City of Brighton, 495 Mich 209, 226-

227, 848 NW2d 380 (2014):

A zoning ordinance must...stand the test of reasonableness – that it is reasonably necessary to the preservation of public health, morals, or safety – and...it is presumed to be so until the plaintiff demonstrates otherwise. Accordingly, a plaintiff may successfully challenge a local ordinance on substantive due process grounds, and therefore overcome the presumption of reasonableness, by proving either that there is no reasonable governmental interest being advanced...or, secondly, that an ordinance is unreasonable because of the purely arbitrary, capricious and unfounded exclusion of other types of legitimate land use from the area in question. The reasonableness of the ordinance thus becomes the test of its legality.

Under the reasonableness standard a presumption of validity prevails unless it can be shown that

the ordinance "constitutes an arbitrary fiat, a whimsical ipse dixit, leaving no room for a legitimate

difference of opinion concerning its reasonableness." Id. At 232.

b. Constitutional reasonableness.

Article I §17 of the State of Michigan Constitution guarantees that the state shall not deprive

any person of "life, liberty or property, without due process of law." People v. Sierb, 456 Mich.

²⁹ Bonner v. City of Brighton, 495 Mich. 209, 228 n.47, 848 N.W.2d 380, 392 (2014); citing City of North Muskegon, 249 Mich 52; 227 N.W. 743; Moreland, 297 Mich 32; 297 N.W. 60; Pere Marquette R Co v Muskegon Twp Bd, 298 Mich 31; 298 NW 393; Pringle v Shevnock, 309 Mich 179; 14 NW2d 827 (1944); Hammond v. Kephart, 331 Mich. 551; 50 N.W.2d 155 (1951); Fenner v City of Muskegon, 331 Mich 732; 50 NW2d 210 (1951); Anchor Steel & Conveyor Co v City of Dearborn, 342 Mich 361; 70 NW2d 753 (1955); Detroit Edison Co v City of Wixom, 382 Mich 673; 172 NW2d 382 (1969); Kropf, 391 Mich 139; 215 N.W.2d 179; Bevan v Brandon Twp, 438 Mich 385; 475 NW2d 37 (1991). See also Village of Belle Terre v Boraas, 416 U.S. 1, 94 S Ct 1536, 39 L Ed 2d 797 (1974); Williamson v Lee Optical of Oklahoma, 348 U.S. 483; 75 S Ct 461; 99 L Ed 563 (1955); Penn Central Transp Co v City of New York, 438 U.S. 104; 98 S Ct 2646; 57 L Ed 2d 631 (1978); Schad v Borough of Mount Ephraim, 452 U.S. 61; 101 S Ct 2176; 68 L Ed 2d 671 (1981); Reno, 507 U.S. 292; 113 S. Ct. 1439; 123 L. Ed. 2d 1.

519, 522, 581 N.W.2d 219, 221 (1998). "The underlying purpose of substantive due process is to secure the individual from the arbitrary exercise of governmental power. *Id, citing Foucha v Louisiana*, 504 U.S. 71, 78; 112 S. Ct. 1780; 118 L. Ed. 2d 437 (1992).

"The zoning of land is an exercise of a governments police power." *Hendee v. Putnam Twp.*, 486 Mich. 556, 566, 786 N.W.2d 521, 527 (2010). The Michigan Supreme Court and the Supreme Court of the United States have held that "reasonableness is essential to the validity of an exercise of police power affecting the general rights of the land owner by restricting the character of the owner's use."³⁰

According to the Michigan Supreme Court in Bonner v. City of Brighton, 495 Mich 209, 226-

227, 848 NW2d 380 (2014):

A zoning ordinance must...stand the test of reasonableness – that it is reasonably necessary to the preservation of public health, morals, or safety – and...it is presumed to be so until the plaintiff demonstrates otherwise. Accordingly, a plaintiff may successfully challenge a local ordinance on substantive due process grounds, and therefore overcome the presumption of reasonableness, by proving either that there is no reasonable governmental interest being advanced...or, secondly, that an ordinance is unreasonable because of the purely arbitrary, capricious and unfounded exclusion of other types of legitimate land use from the area in question. The reasonableness of the ordinance thus becomes the test of its legality.

Under the reasonableness standard a presumption of validity prevails unless it can be shown

that the ordinance "constitutes an arbitrary fiat, a whimsical ipse dixit, leaving no room for a

legitimate difference of opinion concerning its reasonableness." Id. At 232.

³⁰ Bonner v. City of Brighton, 495 Mich. 209, 228 n.47, 848 N.W.2d 380, 392 (2014); citing City of North Muskegon, 249 Mich 52; 227 N.W. 743; Moreland, 297 Mich 32; 297 N.W. 60; Pere Marquette R Co v Muskegon Twp Bd, 298 Mich 31; 298 NW 393; Pringle v Shevnock, 309 Mich 179; 14 NW2d 827 (1944); Hammond v. Kephart, 331 Mich. 551; 50 N.W.2d 155 (1951); Fenner v City of Muskegon, 331 Mich 732; 50 NW2d 210 (1951); Anchor Steel & Conveyor Co v City of Dearborn, 342 Mich 361; 70 NW2d 753 (1955); Detroit Edison Co v City of Wixom, 382 Mich 673; 172 NW2d 382 (1969); Kropf, 391 Mich 139; 215 N.W.2d 179; Bevan v Brandon Twp, 438 Mich 385; 475 NW2d 37 (1991). See also Village of Belle Terre v Boraas, 416 U.S. 1, 94 S Ct 1536, 39 L Ed 2d 797 (1974); Williamson v Lee Optical of Oklahoma, 348 U.S. 483; 75 S Ct 461; 99 L Ed 563 (1955); Penn Central Transp Co v City of New York, 438 U.S. 104; 98 S Ct 2646; 57 L Ed 2d 631 (1978); Schad v Borough of Mount Ephraim, 452 U.S. 61; 101 S Ct 2176; 68 L Ed 2d 671 (1981); Reno, 507 U.S. 292; 113 S. Ct. 1439; 123 L. Ed. 2d 1.

c. Section 16.11(C)(8) is so patently unreasonable as to facially deny substantive due process to an entire industry.

The lower court opined that "stripped of its thin veneer of exclusionary zoning, Appellant's argument is just a facial challenge to Section 16.11(C)(8)..." This statement is surprising in that Appellant did not offer an exclusionary zoning argument in writing or in oral argument and has only ever framed a facial constitutional challenge. Therefore, the lower court's analysis of its strawman exclusionary zoning and community need issues was improper and irrelevant. Appellant's brief before the lower court addressed this issue as follows:

"A facial challenge alleges that the mere existence and threatened enforcement of the ordinance materially and adversely affects values and curtails opportunities of all property regulated in the market." *Paragon Props. Co. v. City of Novi*, 452 Mich. 568, 576, 550 N.W.2d 772, 775 (1996). That is, that the law is unconstitutional in all of its applications. *Wash. State Grange v. Wash. State Republican Party*, 552 U.S. 442, 449, 128 S. Ct. 1184, 1190 (2008) "[A] facial challenge must establish that no set of circumstances exists under which the law would be valid, or show that the law lacks a plainly legitimate sweep. *Ams. for Prosperity Found. v. Bonta*, 141 S. Ct. 2373, 2387 (2021); *quoting United States v. Salerno*, 481 U. S. 739, 745, 107 S. Ct. 2095, 95 L. Ed. 2d 697 (1987); *Washington State Grange v. Washington State Republican Party*, 552 U.S. 442, 449, 128 S. Ct. 1184, 170 L. Ed. 2d 151 (2008) (internal quotation marks omitted).

A brief report prepared by expert land use planner Paul LeBlanc of PLB Planning Group³¹ eviscerates the constitutionality of § 16.11(C)(8). Summary, the report states as follows:

- i. The subject property is zoned NSC, Neighborhood Service Commercial and is planned for Local Commercial in the Township master plan.
- ii. "Automotive gasoline and service stations" are allowed in the NSC District as a special use, subject to the requirements of Section 16.11 of the ordinance.

³¹ Appx 537.

- iii. The special use requirements of Section 16.11 mainly specify dimensional standards for the site, building, and access points. However, subjection C.8. states "No gasoline service station shall be permitted within three hundred (300) feet of a wellhead protection area."
- iv. The subject property is, according to the Marion Howell Oceola Genoa (MHOG) wellhead protection area map, located on the outer fringe of the designated wellhead protection area....
- v. [A]utomotive gasoline and service station is the only land use in Howell Township subject to this location prohibition. There is no rationale offered in the ordinance for excluding this one use, among all other potential uses, from locating within the wellhead protection area.
- vi. In fact, the NSC District allows, by right, "Vehicle service and repair" without limitation.... While there is no definition in the ordinance, typically the broad heading of vehicle service and repair would include oil change, transmission repair, engine rebuilding, and a range of other activities that generally involve the removal and replacement of motor fluids. Likewise, dry cleaning establishments which may employ a variety of chemicals and solvents in their cleaning process are also permitted without restriction.
- vii. In addition to encompassing the small area zoned NSC, the Howell Township wellhead protection area contains a much larger area zoned AR, Agricultural Residential, which allows many uses that are not subject to the same strict environmental regulation as vehicle service stations but can pose environmental threats. These include general farming, livestock and poultry production, stables, fruit and field crop production, confined animal feedlots, and extraction of natural resources. Within the broad category of extraction, the zoning ordinance also includes processing; transit-mix concrete plant; asphalt, oil, and tar batching plants; and concrete production plants.

After reviewing the zoning ordinance, as well as technical findings from state regulatory agencies, local and state fire marshals, and geological professionals that find the proposed development to be acceptable in this location, I question what legitimate governmental interest is served by prohibiting this single use from locating within the wellhead protection area plus another 300 feet. Clearly, there are numerous commercial, industrial, and agricultural uses (some allowed by right) that have similar operational characteristics and potential impacts to that of an automotive gasoline and service station but are not prohibited from locating in or near a wellhead protection area.³²

³² Mr. LeBlanc's report was not addressed by the court other than to be summarily dismissed as the opinion of a "paid expert."

Considering the foregoing, the ordinance essentially reads thusly: "Gas stations are banned in wellhead protection areas because they're not allowed in wellhead protection area." The premise begs the question. The ordinance further contains no option for an applicant to rebut the ban nor any ability to administratively appeal. This is the very definition of "an arbitrary fiat, a whimsical ipse dixit, and leaves no room for a legitimate difference of opinion concerning its reasonableness." *Bonner*, at 232. The fact that the State is fully charged with protecting the quality of public drinking water and has approved Appellant's application to install underground storage tanks on the Subject Property definitively shows that Appellee's arbitrary ban is not reasonably related to any legitimate governmental interest whatsoever.

The circumstances here are readily distinguishable from those in the matter of *Houdek v*. *Centerville Twp*, 276 Mich. App. 568, 741 NW2d 587 (2007), on which the lower court hangs it hat. In *Houdek*, a septage facility operator was denied additional SLUPs to develop new septage facilities based on an ordinance prohibiting development of septage facilities "if an existing public wastewater treatment or septage treatment facility…has the capacity to accept [s]eptage [w]aste and will accept said [w]aste." The *Houdek* ordinance is clearly reasonable as it contains logical rationale within the text for why septage facilities are limited and when and why facilities will be approved. On the other hand, Howell Township Zoning Ordinance §16.11(C)(8) reads in its entirety thusly: **No gasoline service station shall be permitted within three hundred (300 feet of a wellhead protection area.** To compare the reasonableness of the *Houdek* ordinance with §16.11(C)(8) is to compare apples and spaghetti squash.

The exclusion of only gas stations while allowing any other commercial use in conjunction with the absence of any rationale whatsoever – let alone scientific rationale - indicates that the ban is based purely upon personal aversion to gas stations, which is no reasonable basis for a zoning ordinance. The personal aversion was indirectly addressed by the Township Board at the December 11, 2023, meeting, in which Boardmembers, Wilson and Melton, discussed their personal grievances with gas stations, as outlined below. Additionally, the following exchange occurred between Boardmembers Smith and Wilson:

MR.SMITH (Boardmember): Well, seeings [sic] we're talking about concerns, Mike, I'll also just – I live in that area. I just put a well in. I know about the sandy loam or the line and that that in there. I don't want anything to do with that water runoff. I just don't. And you have all the safeguards in the world, but they're only as good as after something happens. And I don't – I don't want to see that at all. So I'm just throwing that out there.

Mr. WILSON (Board member): You guys already know that I'm about protecting our environment. 33

These statements were made shortly after presentation of the forgoing scientific and land use planning presentations that clearly indicate the Boardmembers' statements are patently false.

Of course, a personal aversion to a particular industry is not a legitimate basis to enact a wholesale ban of said industry. Considering the foregoing there can be no constitutional application of the ordinance banning an entire industry from existing in wellhead protection areas without any scientific basis under any circumstance and thus is facially unconstitutional. As such, the ordinance is as unreasonable as any ordinance can be and therefore cannot advance any legitimate governmental interest.

d. §16.11(C)(8) also facially violates the equal protection clause. However, the lower court incorrectly lumped equal protection analysis with procedural due process improperly conflating the two fundamental principles.

The lower court styled its analysis of equal protection as "equal protection and due process," and states that "in order to sustain a claim for violation of the 14th Amendment or other deprivation of due process, the Appellant must demonstrate that the Appellant has some property right or

³³ Appx 348, p 43 ln 23 – p 44 ln 10.

liberty interest that is protected by the 14th Amendment." The court then goes on to discuss only procedural due process cases to conclude that no equal protection violation occurred, eventually hanging its hat on a U.S. district court case discussing procedural due process. The lower court's non-analysis of fundamental equal protection principles to the point of not even citing an equal protection case or properly setting forth equal protection standards constitutes clear reversible error.

The total ban of gas stations facially violates the equal protection clause. The Michigan Supreme Court provided a succinct primer on equal protection in *Shepherd Montessori Ctr. Milan v. Ann Arbor Charter Twp.*, 486 Mich. 311, 783 N.W.2d 695 (2010):

The equal protection clauses of the Michigan and United States constitutions provide that no person shall be denied the equal protection of the law. This Court has held that Michigan's equal protection provision is coextensive with the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution. The Equal Protection Clause requires that all persons similarly situated be treated alike under the law. When reviewing the validity of state legislation or other official action that is challenged as denying equal protection, the threshold inquiry is whether plaintiff was treated differently from a similarly situated entity. The general rule is that legislation that treats similarly situated groups disparately is presumed valid and will be sustained if it passes the rational basis standard of review: that is, the classification drawn by the legislation is rationally related to a legitimate state interest. Under this deferential standard, "the burden of showing a statute to be unconstitutional is on the challenging party, not on the party defending the statute[.]"

Shepherd Montessori Ctr. Milan v. Ann Arbor Charter Twp., 486 Mich. 311, 318-19, 783 N.W.2d

695, 697-98 (2010):

This case involves an equal protection violation devoid of all nuance. Here, one singular industry was arbitrarily selected without any rationale for disparate treatment under the law, as if gasoline service stations are the only industry utilizing underground storage tanks and/or large quantities of petroleum products or hazardous solvents. As noted by expert planner Paul LeBlanc:

[T]he NSC District allows, by right, "Vehicle service and repair" without limitation.... While there is no definition in the ordinance, typically the broad heading of vehicle service and repair would include oil change, transmission repair, engine rebuilding, and a range of other activities that generally involve the removal and replacement of motor fluids. Likewise, dry cleaning establishments which may employ a variety of chemicals and solvents in their cleaning process are also permitted without restriction.

In addition to encompassing the small area zoned NSC, the Howell Township wellhead protection area contains a much larger area zoned AR, Agricultural Residential, which allows many uses that are not subject to the same strict environmental regulation as vehicle service stations but can pose environmental threats. These include general farming, livestock and poultry production, stables, fruit and field crop production, confined animal feedlots, and extraction of natural resources. Within the broad category of extraction, the zoning ordinance also includes processing; transit-mix concrete plant; asphalt, oil, and tar batching plants; and concrete production plants.

Thus, there is no application of the ordinance that would not deny an entire industry equal protection of the law, and as such the ordinance is facially unconstitutional. As such, the ordinance is as unreasonable as any ordinance can be and therefore cannot advance any legitimate governmental interest.

e. It follows that Section 16.11(C)(8) of Appellee's Zoning Ordinance is unconstitutional as applied to Appellant.

"Even if an act does not seem on its face to be unconstitutional, it may be unconstitutional as applied." *In re Advisory Op. Re Constitutionality of P.A. 1975 No. 301*, 400 Mich. 270, 296, 254 N.W.2d 528, 538 (1977); *citing Yick Wo v Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356, 373; 6 S Ct 1064; 30 L Ed 220 (1886). "An 'as applied' challenge alleges a present infringement or denial of a specific right or of a particular injury in process of actual execution." *Paragon Props. Co. v. City of Novi*, 452 Mich. 568, 576, 550 N.W.2d 772, 775 (1996). If the direct effect is not constitutionally offensive however, [the court] must look for any indirect effect.... The existence of a permissible purpose

cannot sustain an action that has an impermissible effect. *In re Advisory Op. Re Constitutionality* of P.A. 1975 No. 301, 400 Mich. 270, 296-97, 254 N.W.2d 528, 538 (1977).

In this case, copious evidence was presented proving in great detail that Appellant's proposed project is safe and appropriate, including, but not limited to the following summary:



i. FAVORABLE HYDROGEOLOGY:

The full hydrogeological report prepared by Mannik & Smith Group can be found a Appx. 461, and contains comprehensive analysis of the scientific conditions that lead the State of Michigan to approve Appellant's project. In summary, the report states that:

MHOG and the City of Howell obtain their potable drinking water from the Marshall Sandstone bedrock aquifer. Lithology of the Marshall Sandstone in the vicinity of MHOG's wellfield consists of sandstone and limestone interbedded layers approximately 160 to 165 feet in thickness. According to the State of Michigan Department of Environmental Quality-Office of Drinking Water and Municipal Assistance letter dated October 4, 2013:

"MHOG's production wells #1 through #6 are completed in a confined bedrock aquifer, composed primarily of sandstone and limestone, with an excellent ability to yield groundwater to wells. Hydrogeologic information from the delineation report has been reviewed to establish a geologic sensitivity for the MHOG wells. Geologic sensitivity may be considered a "qualitative" characterization of the protection provided to the aquifer by the overlying lithology. The three categories of geologic sensitivity most often identified are low, moderate, and high, with the order reflecting a decreasing level of protection. As mentioned, these MHOG municipal wells are apparently completed in an aquifer described as "confined." With protection provided to the aquifer by the overlying shale layers and depth of the wells (391 to 418 feet). Confined aquifers can be geologically characterized as having "low" geologic sensitivity.

The nearest MHOG and City of Howell Type I potable wells are located hydraulically up-gradient (south-southeast), approximately 3,800 feet southeast and 5,800 feet southeast, respectively, of the proposed Howell-Mason LLC site.... A modern station generally poses no environmental threat to a wellfield located hydraulically up gradient from the proposed station's location. Horizontally, the natural flow of groundwater within the confined aquifer travels away from the wellfield and towards the station.

[W]hen a well field completed in a confined aquifer is positioned up gradient from the proposed station, the geology acts as a protective barrier, reducing the likelihood of potential pollutants reaching and adversely impacting the aquifer.

[G]asoline service station[s] typically poses no significant environmental harm to a well field completed in an up gradient confined aquifer due to its location relative to the aquifer and groundwater flow.

When managed in compliance with environmental regulations, gasoline stations can coexist safely with confined aquifers, preserving these vital water resources while meeting the needs of the community. For these reasons, all appropriate State and county agencies issued permits allowing the proposed Howell-Mason, LLC station to be constructed in its proposed location. In this instance, the Howell Township Ordinance prohibiting a gasoline service at the proposed location is overly prescriptive with no consideration given to the actual geology of the wellhead and the applicants' use of engineering controls. (emphasis added). These principles were also outlined to the Township Board at the December 11, 2023, meeting.³⁴ The record reflects no evidence to the contrary.



ii. STATE-OF-THE-ART TANK AND DISPENSING TECHNOLOGY

As summarized in the Hydrogeological report prepared by Mannik & Smith Group:

[M]odern gasoline service stations are designed and built with robust million-dollar fuel systems that include modern containment measures, such as overfill protection, double-walled piping, electronic line leak detection, double-walled underground storage tanks with multiple layers of protection to prevent leaks. Automated alarm systems are linked directly to the station fuel control system and it will automatically shut the fuel system down and alert the operator in the unlikely event of a leak. Modern double wall underground fuel tanks are made of materials that are highly resistant to corrosion and can withstand harsh conditions, minimizing the risk of groundwater contamination. Additionally, gasoline service stations are

³⁴ See Appx 348, p. 10 ln 13 – p 22 ln 22.

subject to strict regulatory oversight and regular inspections, ensuring potential issues are promptly identified and addressed. Furthermore, advancements in spill prevention and remediation technologies have significantly reduced the chances of hazardous substances reaching aquifers – let alone a confined aquifer located up gradient from the location of the proposed Howell-Mason LLC gasoline station.

It is our opinion that the location of the proposed Howell-Mason LLC gasoline station equipped with a state-of-the-art modern containment system poses an extremely low to no chance of risk to the health, safety, and welfare of MHOGs existing and proposed wells³⁵.

These principles were discussed by Charlie Burns in great detail at the December 11, 2023,

Township Board meeting.³⁶³⁷. The record reflects no evidence to the contrary.

Considering the foregoing, and especially considering that the State of Michigan has already approved Appellant's project, the record is replete with substantial evidence detailing why Appellant's project is safe and appropriate. However, the record is conspicuously absent of any evidence to the contrary. As such, application of the ordinance to this case clearly results in an arbitrary injury to Appellant furthering no legitimate governmental interest. The Township's decision must be reversed.

f. THE LOWER COURT MISAPPHRENDED OR GROSSLY MISAPPLIED THE SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TEST IN UPOHOLDING THE TOWNSHIP'S DECISION TO DENY APPELLANT'S SLUP.

The court failed to address scientific expert analysis of State of Michigan officials and summarily dismissed expert analysis of the same data by "paid experts" without any analysis or reasoning whatsoever. According to the lower court, objections by lay citizens far outside the scope of lay opinion testimony is sufficient to trump expert analysis by State of Michigan scientists and other experts with peculiar knowledge of complex concepts.

³⁵ Appx 476

³⁶ Mr. Burns is President of leading UST and dispensing company Oscar Larson Co.. He is also a current member of the Stat of Michigan's Rules Committee for USTs and the National Fire Protection Association, as well as being the former president of the national Petroleum Institute. *See* Appx 348, p 15 ln 15-22.

³⁷ Appx 348, p 15 ln 7 – p 28 ln 25

After Appellant submitted its detailed application that included hydrogeological reports, engineering reports, land use planning reports, and discussion of modern state-of-the-art gasoline storage and dispensing systems, and after presenting the content of those reports to the Township Board at the December 11, 2023, meeting, the Board denied Appellant's SLUP and read a pre-prepared statement of their findings, which are fabrications without any evidentiary support in the record. The lower court affirmed.

In this section, the findings of the Township Board will be bolded with arguments following.

FINDING NO. 1: Section 16.11(C-8) of the zoning ordinance prohibits the establishment of a gas service station within 300 feet of a wellhead protection area and the property is located in the MHOG wellhead protection area.

As discussed above, the ordinance is unconstitutional as applied to Appellant's project and property. Furthermore, as discussed below, the ordinance is also: (1) preempted by and/or in direct conflict with a State statutory and regulatory scheme fully administered by State agencies; (2) facially unconstitutional. In addition, reliance upon it to deny Appellant's SLUP while also requiring Appellant to appear at multiple performative hearings and meetings in which the outcome was predetermined deprived Appellant of procedural due process. As such, this finding is unconstitutional, illegal, and otherwise inappropriate.

FINDING NO. 2: The proposed use violates section 16.06(A) as an establishment of a gas station in the area would not be harmonious with the general objectives, purpose, and intent of the zoning ordinance, as the dispensing of gasoline can create noise, smoke, fumes, and odors – which can negatively impact persons and the general welfare of the surrounding area.

First, the Subject Property is currently zoned Neighborhood Service Commercial, which allows gasoline service stations as a special use.

Second, the Subject Property and the entire stretch of land north of the Subject Property abutting the west side of Burkhart Road is master planned for commercial use. The land to the East of Burkhart Road has been approved for development of nearly 1,000 residential homes. Thus, commercial use and intensive residential uses are the intended future plan for the area. As such, this finding is simply contrary to the Township's own legislative acts.

Third, there is no evidence in the record to support the assertion that gas stations create "noise, smoke, fumes, and odors." This finding is merely an assumption of the Board without any evidentiary support in the record.

FINDING NO. 3: The proposed use violates section 16.06 (D) and (F) of the zoning ordinance, as the state has designated the area in which the gas station is located as a wellhead protection area. Because a wellhead protection area constitutes an area which supplies a public water supply as deemed by EGLE, placement of a gas station within that area has the potential to be hazardous to existing or future neighboring uses and have a substantial adverse impact to natural resources in the area, including wells and watersheds.

This finding essentially says that the State of Michigan, which has full statutory and regulatory authority of the State wellhead protection program, was wrong in its application of its own regulations. The State of Michigan, of course, made findings diametrically opposed to those of the Township and approved the project. This finding is simply supported by no evidence at all and runs afoul of the State Constitution, laws, and regulations.

FINDING NO. 4: Permitting a gasoline station in the wellhead protection areas does not conform to the Master Plan, which seeks to protect existing natural resources and preserve the quality of the Township's water resources.

As discussed under Finding No. 2, above, the Subject Property and the entire stretch of land north of the Subject Property and abutting the west side of Burkhart Road is master planned for commercial use. The land east of Burkhart Road along the same stretch has been approved for development of nearly 1,000 residential homes. Thus, commercial use and intensive residential uses are the intended future plan for the area. As such, this finding is simply contrary to the Township's own legislative acts. What's more the master plan does not mention wellhead protection other than to recommend the establishment of a wellhead protection area. While considering potential impacts to natural resources is certainly a legitimate issue in reviewing development applications, the great weight of the evidence in the record shows that Appellant's proposed use presents no danger to resources. As such, this finding is unfounded.

FINDING NO. 5: Information contained within the Township Planner's report.

The township planner's report only addresses the site plan, which was tabled indefinitely by the Planning Commission and not ripe for the Board's consideration.

FINDING NO. 6: Comments from the public (on which the lower court hung its hat).

No public comment was made at the December 11, 2023, meeting. The record does not reflect that the Board received any comments via written correspondence. To the extent this finding is predicated on public comment made at the November 21, 2023, Planning Commission meeting, those comments were merely statements of personal aversions to gas stations and misunderstandings of hydrogeology and municipal planning. The expert reports and testimony in evidence clearly indicate that the public's concerns are unfounded.

1. The lower court disregarded the rules of evidence regarding lay and expert testimony.

Furthermore, the lower court placed inappropriate weight on speculative lay comments while discounting expert testimony and reports by "paid experts" as well as scientists and other State of Michigan employees with peculiar knowledge of underground storage tanks, hydrogeology, and fire codes.

MRE 701, provides the standard for lay opinion testimony. *See also People v Daniel*, 207 Mich App 47, 57; 523 NW2d 830 (1994). Opinion testimony by lay witnesses is permissible when that testimony is "(a) rationally based on the perception of the witness and (b) helpful to a clear understanding of the witness' testimony or the determination of a fact in issue." MRE 701. In addition, the lay opinion testimony must not be based in "scientific, technical, or other specialized

knowledge" within the scope of MRE 702. An "expert" is "a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education." MRE 702. Expert testimony by a witness is permissible when "the court determines that scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue," and "(1) the testimony is based on sufficient facts or data, (2) the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods, and (3) the witness has applied the principles and methods reliably to the facts of the case." MRE 702.

In this case, several members of the public made wholly unfounded, speculative, and outright false statements relating to technical hydrogeological issues that were entirely discounted by expert reports and testimony. What's more, the lower court placed importance on hearsay testimony regarding an alleged leak from another station owned by Appellant for which there is no evidence other than a law statement. The lower court's "analysis" of the evidence was handled with complete disregard of the rules of evidence and fundamental principles of law. Were this court to uphold the lower court's decision then a dangerous precedent would be set that any statement made by any person on any subject is sufficient to rebut expert testimony, thus making lay statements far outside the scope of MRE 701 the most powerful evidence in the State's jurisprudence. Not only is this illogical and contrary to fundamental principles of evidence but would essentially hand any municipality a license to deny any permit based upon speculation and conjecture by lay citizens.

FINDING NO 7: Information provided by the Planning Commission as reflected in their minutes.

The Planning Commission minutes merely summarizes three (3) things:

1. Comments received from the public. As discussed immediately above, those comments were merely statements of personal aversions to gas stations and misunderstandings of
hydrogeology and municipal planning going far beyond the scope of lay opinion testimony governed by MRE 701. The expert reports and testimony in evidence by both State of Michigan scientists and officials as well as other experts clearly indicate that the public's concerns are unfounded.

- The Planning Commission's vote denying Appellant's SLUP based solely upon the gas station ban in § 16.11(C)(8). And,
- 3. The Commission's vote to table Appellant's site plan indefinitely.

In short, there is no information in the Planning Commission minutes that lend any credibility to the Board's decision, which is clearly unsupported by competent and material evidence on the whole record.

In short, there is no information in the Planning Commission minutes that lend any credibility to the Board's decision, which is clearly unsupported by competent and material evidence on the whole record.

g. Section 16.11(C)(8) of the Township's Zoning Ordinance is preempted by and/or in direct conflict with State of Michigan statutory and regulatory schemes fully administered and interpreted by State agencies.

1. Preemption and conflict, generally.

Under Const 1963, art 7, § 22, a Michigan municipality's power to adopt resolutions and ordinances relating to municipal concerns is "subject to the constitution and law". "Local governments have no inherent powers and possess only those limited powers which are expressly conferred upon them by the state constitution or state statutes or which are necessarily implied therefrom.: *Hanselman v. Wayne Co Concealed Weapon Licensing Bd.*, 419 Mich 168, 187, 351 NW2d 544 (1984; *see also Conlin v. Scio Twp.*, 262 Mich App 379 386, 686 NW2d 16 (2004).

"[G]enerally, a municipality may not prohibit what state law allows." *Conlin v. Scio Twp.* 262 Mich App 379, 385, 686 NW2d 16 (2004). "It is the rule that, in the absence of specific statutory or charter power in the municipality, the provisions of an ordinance which contravene a State law are void. What the legislature permits, the city cannot suppress, without express authority therefor. *Walsh v. River Rouge*, 385 Mich. 623, 635, 189 N.W.2d 318, 324 (1971); *citing People* v. *McGraw*, 184 Mich. 233 (1915).

"A municipality is precluded from enacting an ordinance if 1) the ordinance is in direct conflict with the state statutory scheme, or 2) if the state statutory scheme pre-empts the ordinance by occupying the field of regulation which the municipality seeks to enter, to the exclusion of the ordinance, even where there is no direct conflict between the two schemes of regulation. *People v. Llewellyn*, 401 Mich. 314, 322, 257 N.W.2d 902, 904 (1977).³⁸

2. Appellee's gasoline service station ban is void as it is preempted by and/or in direct conflict with a State of Michigan statutory and regulatory scheme.

There is no enabling legislation that grants Michigan Township authority to regulate wellhead protection areas, drinking water quality, or underground storage tanks. Section 205 of the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act states that the Act "does not limit state regulatory authority under other statutes or rules." MCL §125.3205(8).

a. Wellhead protection areas.

The Township concedes that the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) approves wellhead protection areas. In the minutes of the December 11, 2023,

³⁸ See also Grand Haven v. Grocer's Cooperative Dairy Co., 330 Mich 694, 48 NW2d 362 (1951) ("The constitutional limitation on the power of cities to pass laws and ordinances relating to its municipal concerns is that such power is subject to the Constitution and general laws of the State."); *Detroit v. Judge, Recorder's Court, Traffic & Ordinance Div.*, 56 Mich. App. 224, 227-28, 223 N.W.2d 722, 724 (1974) ("If the state has *preempted* the field then the ordinance is void even if it is not in conflict with state statutes, and it would be void even if the ordinance followed the exact language of the state statutes in defining prohibited conduct. If the state has not preempted the field and if some provision of the ordinance was in *conflict* with the state statutes then, perhaps, the balance of the ordinance would be valid.")

Board meeting at which Appellant's SLUP was voted down, the Board resolved in part that "the state has designated the area in which the gas station is located as a wellhead protection area."³⁹ That is the only true statement reflected in the resolution.

The State of Michigan Wellhead Protection Program was created following amendments to the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act adopted in 1986. The State of Michigan has adopted Mich. Admin. Code R. 325.12801, *et seq*, under authority of the Michigan Safe Drinking Water Act (MCL § 325.1001, *et seq*) to regulate wellhead protection areas. Under the State Wellhead Protection Program regulations, a local water authority may apply to the State for approval of a delineated area for heightened review and management of potential sources of contamination. If the State approves a wellhead protection area, then the State will require extra layers of review and stricter safety requirements for installation and management of new and existing areas of potential contamination and will fund fifty percent (50%) of eligible local water authority management practices implemented by the local water authority. The full extent of appropriate local water authority wellhead protection area management activities is set forth in Mich. Admin. Code R. 325.12817, which states:

Rule 2817. (1) Grant-eligible management activities shall provide an elevated level of protection to the source water protection area or within a 1-mile radius of the well field for a low tritium public water supply well.

(2) Grant-eligible management activities include the following:

(a) The development and implementation of best management practices that reduce the risk of source water contamination.

(b) The development and implementation of source water protection resolutions or ordinances.

(c) On-site inspections for the purpose of improving facility management of potential sources of contamination.

(d) The development and implementation of a program to control abandoned wells, excluding the actual sealing of abandoned wells in a source water protection area.

(e) Incorporation of a source water protection program into a municipality's master plan or other regional land use planning program.

³⁹ Appx 341.

A complete ban of an industry or of installation of underground storage tanks is not contemplated by the regulations. This point is address in guidance documents on the State wellhead protection program published by EGLE specifically for local governments, which states in part that:

The WHPA is...submitted to the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) for approval. Once approved, the WHPA receives a higher level of environmental monitoring at the state level for certain activities which are permitted through the state. For example, an underground storage tank must have an extra layer of protection around the tank (secondary containment), or businesses with groundwater discharge permits may need to perform more frequent monitoring. **[T]he WHPP does not exclude any businesses or activities from your WHPA.**⁴⁰

Further, EGLE summarizes its responsibility to local water authorities under the program as

follows:

The state's responsibility to local governments is to provide technical assistance and guidance during program development and to review and approve programs which meet the state criteria. The state is also responsible for integrating wellhead protection with existing programs which may be modified to support the protection of ground water. For example, it has been required by the Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy, Materials Management Division, that all new underground storage tanks located within a wellhead protection area receive secondary containment.⁴¹

Not only does the State have full regulatory and approval powers over wellhead protection

areas as delineated in the Michigan Safe Drinking Water Act, but its own regulations and guidance

publications issued with the intent of helping local governments understand the program explicitly

state that a protection area does not preclude any business or activity and uses double walled

https://www.michigan.gov/egle/-/media/Project/Websites/egle/Documents/Programs/DWEHD/Source-WaterAssessment/WellheadProtectionProgramGuide.pdf?rev=2c86b289e5b94472b9d36fba0c8c56a2&hash=605D3

⁴⁰ EGLE Michigan Wellhead Protection Program Guide, EGLE Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division, March 2020, p 7. (emphasis added) Appx 491.

⁷⁴⁴CA63A493CCDB916F5270C88A ⁴¹ Appx 504. (emphasis added)

underground storage tanks – like those approved by the State to be installed by Appellant – as an example of an appropriate heightened safety requirement for State-permitted installation within a wellhead protection area. What's more, the Township's ordinance purports to double-usurp the State's authority to regulate wellhead protection areas as the Township unilaterally extended gasoline ban three hundred (300) feet beyond the State approved protection area.

Based on hydrogeological data prepared by MHOG – the water authority that applied to the State to approve the wellhead protection area within Howell Township– and by considering detailed plans for a state-of-the-art storage and dispensing system to be installed at a seven-figure cost, the State of Michigan approved Appellant's proposed gasoline service station on the Subject Property situated within a wellhead area also approved by the State.

There is no enabling legislation authorizing townships to engage in wellhead protection program-related regulation. The State of Michigan has full authority over review, approval, and administration of well head protection areas. Thus, Appellee's zoning ordinance completely banning gasoline service stations from wellhead protection areas amounts to an unlawful usurpation the State of Michigan's sovereign authority to regulate wellhead protection areas, and further usurps MHOG's ability to manage the wellhead protection area as the wellhead protection area's managing entity.

b. Drinking water quality.

Public water supplies in Michigan are protected by the Safe Drinking Water Act, Act 399 pf 1976 (MCL §325.1001, *et seq*). The legislative intent of the Act is "to provide adequate water resources research institutes and other facilities within the state of Michigan so that the state may assure the long-term health of its public water supplies and other vital natural resources." MCL § 325.1001a. According to the Act, "the department shall have power and control over public water

supplies and suppliers of water." MCL § 325.1003. The "department" is defined as "the department of environmental quality or its authorized agent or representative." MCL § 325.1002(g).

A robust set of well and drinking water regulations were adopted by the department as mandated by MCL § 325.1005, which are found in Mich. Admin. Code § 325.10101, *et seq.* Part 8 of the Safe Drinking Water Act regulations address protection of groundwater sources.⁴² The regulations regarding location of wells and isolation from potential points of contamination are as follows:

R 325.10807 Location of well.

Rule 807. A well shall be located with due consideration given to the extent of the property, the contour of the land, elevation of the site, the depth to the water table, other characteristics, local groundwater conditions, and other factors necessary to provide a safe and reliable public water supply. A well shall meet all of the following requirements:

(a) Located so the well and its surrounding area is controlled and protected from potential sources of contamination.

(b) Adequate in size, design, and development for the intended use.

(c) Constructed to maintain existing natural protection against contamination of water-bearing formations and to prevent all known sources of contamination from entering the well.

(d) Protected against the entry of surface water.

R 325.10808 Standard isolation area generally.

Rule 808. The standard isolation areas from any existing or potential sources of contamination, including, but not limited to, storm and sanitary sewers, pipelines, septic tanks, drain fields, dry wells, cesspools, seepage pits, leaching beds, barnyards, or any surface water, other area or facility from which contamination of the groundwater may occur, are established for public water supplies as follows:

(a) For type I and type IIa public water supplies, the standard isolation area is an area measured with a radius of 200 feet in all directions from the well.

(b) For type IIb and type III water supplies, the standard isolation area is an area measured with a radius of 75 feet in all directions from the well.

⁴² Appx 511.

R 325.10809 Standard isolation area; modification; approval.

Rule 809. (1) Modifications of the standard isolation area, if any, shall be determined for a site based on a study of hydrogeological conditions provided to the department by a public water supply under R 325.10813 and R 325.10814.

(2) The department may require an increase or approve a decrease in the standard isolation area of a well.

(3) Approval of the isolation area shall be obtained from the department before construction of a production well used for drinking or household purposes as part of a public water supply.

Not only does the State statutory scheme to protect drinking water place sole regulatory authority with State agencies, but the regulations, read in conjunction with EGLE's guidance on its wellhead protection program, clearly incorporate reasonable flexibility based on objective analysis of scientific data. In contrast, Appellee's Zoning Ordinance attempts to usurp the State's sovereign authority in this regard to implement an illegal blanket ban of an entire industry without any rationale. Moreover, EGLE is an agency that employes engineers and scientists with peculiar knowledge of aquifers, groundwater flow, and fate and transport of potential contaminants. Local governmental entities almost universally lack employees with similar expertise.

Based on hydrogeological data prepared by MHOG, the State of Michigan approved Appellant's proposed gasoline service station on the Subject Property as safe and appropriate in light of the hydrogeological evidence. There is no enabling legislation allowing townships to regulate drinking water quality. Given that wellhead protection areas and drinking water quality are fully administered through pervasive State regulation, it is a necessary corollary that a local ordinance banning an entire industry from existing within a State-approved wellhead protection area is clearly in direct conflict with the Michigan Safe Drinking Water Act statutory scheme. What's more, given that the Act grants the department sole regulatory authority of drinking water protection, a local ordinance purporting to do the same is preempted by the State law.

c. Underground storage tanks.

Like wellhead protection areas and drinking water quality, underground storage tanks are fully and completely regulated by the State of Michigan. And, like the wellhead protection program and drinking water quality protection, the State has promulgated a robust series of regulations adopted pursuant to the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (MCL § 324.101, *et seq.*), which are found in Mich. Admin. Code. R 29.2101, *et seq.* The regulations encompass 115 pages and are comprehensively scientifically detailed and place an onerous burden on applicants to receive permits for installation and monitoring of tanks. The regulations are so pervasive and complex that they cannot be adequately summarized here.

According to the report prepared by Mannik & Smith Group, Appellant engaged in the following procedure to obtain State of Michigan approval for installation of underground storage tanks pursuant to R. 9, § 280.20(d)(1)(ii) of the Michigan Underground Storage Tank Regulations:

- i. Completed the form BFS-3820 (Notice of Proposed Installation of Underground Storage Tanks) and submitted to the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA).
 - a. The form contained a list of proposed equipment (USTs, product piping, dispensers, leak detection equipment, and backfill materials).
 - b. LARA reviewed with respect to equipment/location and vicinity to potable water wells....
- ii. Following the completion of a Hydrogeological Study, which is developed with available information from EGLE, and local units of Government), a request for a variance is submitted to LARA along with Hydrogeological Report for review.
- iii. LARA submitted a variance request to EGLE Source Water Unit (SWU) for review and comment.
- iv. EGLE SWU made a determination/recommendation to potential impacts to potable wells and groundwater.

v. LARA issued approval with conditions... On August 1, 2023, Howell-Mason, LLC, was granted an approval for the installation of the UST system.⁴³

In this case, the State of Michigan, through multiple regulatory agencies, reviewed hydrogeological data prepared by MHOG in conjunction with detailed plans for Appellant's gasoline service station and based on its expertise granted Appellant permits to install USTs on the Subject Property. There is no enabling legislation allowing for local governments to regulate underground storage tanks. As such, it is clear that Appellee's complete ban of gasoline service stations within wellhead protection areas is preempted by State law and in direct conflict with the State regulatory scheme.

d. Given that §16.11(C)(8) is clearly preempted by and/or in direct conflict with a clearly defined State statutory and regulatory scheme, the current circumstances presented in this case are absurd and cannot stand.

As stated in the Townships Finding No. 3: "Because a wellhead protection area constitutes an area which supplies public water supply as deemed by EGLE, placement of a gas station within that area has the potential to be hazardous...and have a substantial adverse impact to natural resources in the area, including wells and watersheds." Therefore, the circumstances are thus:

⁴³ Appx 474.

STATE OF MICHIGAN (EGLE) Vested with sole regulatory authority of wellhead protection, drinking water quality, and underground storage tanks.



Because the proposed project is in a wellhead protection area Determined by EGLE

These absurd circumstances violate basic principles of federalism, present a clear and

obvious conflict with the State's interpretation of State law, and cannot stand.

h. In relying on §16.11(C)(8) to deny Appellant's SLUP, the Township deprived Appellant of procedural due process causing Appellant to incur significant financial damages.

i. Procedural due process, generally.

"[A]t a minimum, due process of law requires that deprivation of life, liberty or property by adjudication must be preceded by notice and an opportunity to heard. To comport with these procedural safeguards, the opportunity to be hearing must be granted at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner." *Bonner v. City of Brighton,* 495 Mich 209, 235, 848 NW2d 380 (2014).

At the core of procedural due process is the requirement that "a person in jeopardy of serious loss [be given] notice of the case against him and opportunity to meet it." *Id. At 238.* Thus, the primary requirement is that "the capacities and circumstances of those who are to be heard "to ensure that they are given a meaningful opportunity to present their case, which must generally occur before they are permanently deprived of the significant interest at stake *Id.* at 238-239.

ii. EGLE-Approved plan for development of a gasoline service station creates a protected property right.

In this case, EGLE, which has full regulatory control over drinking water quality and underground storage tanks, conditionally approved Appellant's proposed gas station on the Subject Property. As such, Appellant has a constitutionally protected property interest in the conditional approvals granted by the State. While the granting a SLUP is a discretionary act, the SLUP requirements contained in a zoning ordinance and the analysis thereof must be reasonable as opposed to wholly arbitrary and capricious.

Section 504 of the Zoning Enabling Act states that [i]f the zoning ordinance authorizes the consideration and approval of special land uses...under section 502...or otherwise provides for discretionary decisions, the regulations and standards upon which those decisions are made shall be specified in the zoning ordinance. MCL §125.3504(1). "A request for approval of a land use or

activity *shall* be approved if the request is in compliance with the standards stated in the zoning ordinance...."

In this case, the Township relied only upon the unconstitutional and otherwise preempted/conflict gas station ban of §16.11(c)(8) and assumptions without support in the record in denying Appellant's SLUP application. The Township ignored all expert hydrogeological, engineering, tank technology, and planning presentations and written materials. As the application met all SLUP standards in the Township Zoning Ordinance, the law requires that the Township to grant the permit. The Township chose to ignore the law and arbitrarily deny Appellant's applications.

iii. The Township afforded Appellant no procedural due process from beginning to end of the application process by requiring large application and review fees and performative hearings with predetermined outcomes.

Appellee has deprived Appellant of procedural due process at every stage of this case by taking the position: (1) that it will not repeal or amend its gas station ban to be harmonious with constitutional principles and state law; (2) that its gas station ban absolutely precludes approval of Appellant's SLUP application; but (3) Appellant must submit itself to performative public hearings and meetings while reimbursing Appellee over eight thousand dollar (\$8,000) for professional review of plans it cannot approve. That is, the township has "jurisdiction" to hear Appellant's requests, but lacks "authority" to grant the request.

The absurdity of Appellee's position can be summed up in a few brief exchanges at the November 21, 2023, Planning Commission meeting:

MR. WILLIAMS (PC Chair): Just so I understand you correctly, Paul [Twp. Planner], that we don't have the authority to approve a gas station that violates that ordinance of not being within 300 feet of a wellhead protection area.

MR. MONTAGNO (Twp. Planner): That is correct.

MR. WILLIAMS (PC Chair): Thank you. Shall we open the public hearing?⁴⁴

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I first want to ask before I start speaking. You said you don't have authority to vote on the gas station. Can you clarify exactly then what we're speaking about right now then and what decision is going to be made tonight?

MR. WILLIAMS (PC Chair): Well, we don't have the authority to approve, so we must deny, is how I understand that. Is that accurate, Paul [Twp. Planner]? I mean that's how I kind of interpret it if we don't have the authority to approve, there's only one option with that vote.

MR. MONTAGNO (Twp. Planner): Because that is a requirements zoning ordinance [sic], you do not have the authority to change the requirement of the ordinance. Correct.⁴⁵

AUDIENCE MEMBER (speaking outside call to the public): I have a question. If the board doesn't have the power to approve the gas station there, why are we still talking about it?

MR WILLIAMS (PC Chair): They have a right to have their request heard.⁴⁶

Based on the gas station ban in § 16.11(C)(8), the Planning Commission voted unanimously

to deny Appellant's SLUP, and astonishingly took no action on Appellant's site plan review choosing instead to table it indefinitely.⁴⁷

Following the purely performative Planning Commission meeting/public hearing, Appellee then required Appellant to attend a purely performative Township Board meeting to make a presentation prior to a vote. In response to presentations by expert engineers, hydrogeologists, and

⁴⁴ Appx 290, p 56 ln 14-21

⁴⁵ Appx 290, p 67, ln 11 – p 68 ln 1

⁴⁶ Appx 290, p 80, ln 19-24

⁴⁷ Appx 290, p 97 ln 2 – p 98 ln 2

tank and dispensing system executives, the Township Board subjected Appellant to exchanges

such as these:

MR. WILSON (Boardmember): I got a question, but it doesn't pertain to tanks, you know what I mean. I'm going to talk on behalf of most of the people in this community, and why is our gas 30 to 40 cents higher per gallon at all of the Mugg & Bopps and most of the gas stations in this county?

MR. BURNS (tank specialist): I don't sell gas. I can't address that. I don't know. If you guys want to take a swing at that?

MR. WILSON (Boardmember): That's the only question I had. If anybody would like to answer it.

CHAIRPERSON CODDINGTON: I'm sure if they can.

MR. LEKANDER (Appellant CEO): We meet the competition. I can't control what Kroger sells it for. We match Kroger when we're with them. We match Speedway where we are. We match whatever the competitors are doing.

MR. WILSON (Boardmember): That's why I buy gas out of town. You don't serve me.

MR. LEKANDER (Appellant CEO): Well, I think that your opportunity is the same as anybody else's. And, you know, if you compare us to all the local markets wherever we do business, we compete with them directly. So I can't tell Kroger what to sell [at], can't tell Speedway, can't tell VG's.

MR. WILSON (Boardmember): That's just the question the entire community would like an answer to, and nobody can get it.⁴⁸

CHAIRPERSON CODDINGTON: Anything else?

Mr. MELTON (Boardmember): I have a couple questions on taxes.

MR. LEKANDER (AppellantCEO): On what?

MR. MELTON (Boardmember): On taxes. It's been kind of a pet peeve of mine for years. I noticed a long time ago they always put the federal tax listed on the pump and then the local tax or the state tax, and you don't see that anymore. And then when you buy fuel, you ask for a receipt, and you still have no idea how much tax is per gallon. Well, I've asked legislators who can't tell me.

MR. BURNS (Tank specialist): I would direct you to the Michigan Petroleum Association. They keep all of that data....⁴⁹

CHAIRPERSON CODDINGTON (wrapping up a long irrelevant discussion of gasoline taxation): Okay. That's a good education. 50

Once again, the denial of Appellant's SLUP was predetermined, and the Board voted to deny with the primary reason being the gasoline service station ban in §16.11(C)(8) of the Zoning Ordinance. Throughout the municipal process the Township also extracted over eight thousand dollars (\$8,000) in application and review fees from Appellant and forced it to incur tremendous expense to present experts at multiple performative hearings with a predetermined outcome.

It is clear from the record that Appellee never afforded Appellant any *meaningful* opportunity to be heard resulting in a fundamental deprivation of procedural due process. In short, Appellee sent Appellant on a wild goose chase without any geese to chase. In doing so, the Township cashed checks from Appellant in excess of \$8,000.00 and caused Appellant to unnecessarily incur six-figures in professional fees and related costs and experience significant delay and diversion of resources in the administration of its other businesses. In doing so, Appellee treated the law and constitution as a mere recommendation which it ignored to the detriment of Appellant, which was without reasonable recourse as Appellee's bespoke procedure was rolled out.

The lower court's obvious disinterest in engaging in a good faith analysis of this case and the companion litigation only perpetuated the injustice served upon Appellant.

⁴⁹ Appx 348, p 29 ln 4 – p 30 ln 5

⁵⁰ Appx 348, p 33, ln 1-2

V. CONCLUSION

To borrow a phrase from the circuit court's opinion, the court would struggle to handle the procedure or analysis of the law more incorrectly. This is a case where a municipality allowed a handful of citizen objectors to overrule State of Michigan approvals and unrebutted expert testimony. In other words, this is a case where legal rights in land were denied for no reason at all which the lower courts have upheld as legitimate. Should this obviously inappropriate procedure become the norm then it would effectively turn the statewide law of zoning on its head.

The lower court abused its discretion in denying Appellant's motion to adjourn oral argument to align with oral arguments on motions for summary disposition in companion litigation. The instant circuit court appeal challenges the legality of the Township Board's decision (i.e. the legality of how the ordinance at issue was applied). The companion litigation challenges the legality of the ordinance itself. In refusing to coordinate oral arguments the lower court applied the law before ruling on its legality, thus predetermining the outcome of the companion litigation before the court issued a scheduling order therein.

The lower court misunderstood and misapplied *Fonda Island & Briggs Joint Water Authority* v. *Green Oak Township*, which presents nearly an identical set of facts, circumstances, and legal tests that this case.

The lower court failed to address the reasonableness of the ordinance at issue.

The lower court improperly conflated the fundamental concepts of equal protection and procedural due process citing only due process cases to make a ruling on equal protection.

The lower court grossly misapplied the substantial evidence test by wholly ignoring expert analysis by State of Michigan scientists and engineers along with other experts with peculiar knowledge of complex issues in favor of speculative comments made by lay objectors far beyond the scope of the rules of evidence.

The lower court erred in determining that a township ordinance directly contradicting several statutes and regulations fully administered by the State of Michigan was appropriate.

Leave to appeal should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICE OF PAUL E. BURNS Attorneys for Appellant

BY: <u>/s/ Jeffrey D. Alber</u>

JEFFREY D. ALBER (P76530) 133 W. Grand River Rd. Brighton, Michigan 48116 Alber Ph: (734) 369-1009 alber@peblaw.net

BY: <u>/s/ Paul E. Burns</u> PAUL E. BURNS (P31596)

133 W. Grand River Rd. Brighton, Michigan 48116 Burns Ph: (517) 861-9547 burns@peblaw.net

NIK LULGJURAJ, PLC Co-Counsel for Appellant

BY: /s/ Nik Lulgjuraj

NIK LULGJURAJ (P48879) 300 N. Main St., Suite 4 Chelsea, Michigan 48118 Ph: (734) 433-0816 nik@niklaw.com

Dated: May 1, 2025

WORD COUNT CERTIFICATIN

This document contains 12,985 countable words. MCR §7.212(B)(3).

/s/ Jeffrey D. Alber

JEFFREY D. ALBER (P76530) 133 W. Grand River Rd. Brighton, Michigan 48116 Alber Ph: (734) 369-1009 alber@peblaw.net

A

HOWELL TOWNSHIP 2025/2026 PROPOSED BUDGET



Prepared by: Brent J. Kilpela

	HOWELL TOWNSH		JDGET			
	FOR YEA	R 2025 - 2026				
		2024-25	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26	
		AMENDED	ACTIVITY	PROPOSED	PROP	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	THRU 4/18/24	BUDGET	% CHANGE	COMMENTS
	DESCRIPTION	BODGET	THKU 4/ 16/ 24	BODGET	% CHANGE	COMMENTS
101 - GENERAL FUND						
ESTIMATED REVENUES						
Department: 000 OTHER		400.000.00	100.050.00			
101-000-402.000	GEN FUND PROPERTY TAXES	423,000.00	406,950.28	440,000.00	4.02	
101-000-403.000	GEN FUND ACT 7 TAXES	40,000.00	43,364.75	0.00	(100.00)	Completed
101-000-420.000	GEN FUND DELINQ PERSONAL TAXES	2,000.00	1,951.91	2,000.00	0.00	
101-000-452.000	GEN FUND RIGHT OF WAY FEES	5,000.00	0.00	5,000.00	0.00	
101-000-476.000	GEN FUND LICENSE & PERMIT FEES	12,000.00	4,950.00	10,000.00	(16.67)	
101-000-476.001	GEN FUND CABLE TV FRANCHISE FEES	77,500.00	46,689.12	70,000.00	(9.68)	
101-000-476.002	GEN FUND TRAILER FEES	1,500.00	1,463.00	2,000.00	33.33	
101-000-476.003	GEN FUND DOG LICENSE FEES	50.00	46.50	50.00	0.00	
101-000-573.000	GEN FUND LOCAL COMMUNITY SHARING	100,000.00	32,327.86	100,000.00	0.00	
101-000-574.000	GEN FUND STATE REVENUE SHARING	865,000.00	710,810.00	850,000.00	(1.73)	State Estimate
101-000-607.000	GEN FUND COLLECTION FEE/SCHOOLS INC	10,500.00	10,752.00	10,500.00	0.00	
101-000-607.001	GEN FUND ADMIN FEES	148,000.00	155,722.91	160,000.00	8.11	
101-000-608.000	GEN FUND ZONING FEES INCOME	17,500.00	25,271.00	20,000.00	14.29	
101-000-609.000	GEN FUND ZBA FEES INCOME	4,000.00	1,600.00	4,000.00	0.00	
101-000-610.000	GEN FUND LAND DIVISION FEES INCOME	2,500.00	1,300.00	2,500.00	0.00	
101-000-614.000	GEN FUND PRE-CONFERENCE ZONING INC	500.00	142.50	500.00	0.00	
101-000-641.000	GEN FUND GRAVE OPENING FEES	1,000.00	0.00	1,000.00	0.00	
101-000-642.000	CEMETERY LOTS FEES	1,000.00	600.00	1,000.00	0.00	
101-000-652.000	GEN FUND PARKING VIOLATION FEES	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
101-000-657.000	GEN FUND MUN CIVIL INFRACTION FEE	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
101-000-665.000	GEN FUND INTEREST INCOME	30,000.00	32,760.10	90,000.00	200.00	CD Interest
101-000-675.000	GEN FUND OTHER REVENUE	250.00	1,494.55	1,000.00	300.00	
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		1,741,500.00	1,478,196.48	1,769,750.00	1.62	
APPROPRIATIONS		ALL WAGE CAT	EGORIES CAN SU	IPPORT UP TO A	5% INCREASE	
Department: 101 TWP BOARD		EXCEPT OTHER	BOARDS			
101-101-703.000	TWP BOARD SALARY	28,115.00	20,596.80	29,700.00	5.64	
101-101-704.000	TOWNSHIP BOARD PER DIEM EXPENSE	200.00	0.00	200.00	0.00	
101-101-705.000	AFFILIATE BOARD PER DIEM EXPENSE	2,400.00	1,440.00	2,400.00	0.00	
101-101-900.000	TWP BOARD PRINT & PUBL EXPENSE	2,500.00	878.70	2,500.00	0.00	
Total Department 101:		33,215.00	22,915.50	34,800.00	4.77	
Department: 171 SUPERVISOR						
101-171-703.000	SUPERVISOR SALARY	37,180.00	29,619.12	39,490.00	6.21	
101-171-703.001	SUPERVISOR DEPUTY WAGES	16,370.00	13,133.79	17,995.00	9.93	
101-171-860.000	SUPERVISOR MILEAGE & EXPENSES	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
101-171-957.000	SUPERVISOR DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXP	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
Total Department 171:		53,750.00	42,752.91	57,685.00	7.32	
Department: 215 CLERK						
101-215-703.000	CLERK SALARY	37,180.00	29,539.12	39,490.00	6.21	
101-215-703.001	CLERK DEPUTY WAGES	30,605.00	25,588.19	32,135.00	5.00	
101-215-703.004	CLERK ACCOUNTING SALARY	50,390.00	40,309.47	52,950.00	5.08	
101-215-720.000	CLERK EDUCATION EXPENSE	3,000.00	2,485.30	3,000.00	0.00	
101-215-860.000	CLERK MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,500.00	145.62	1,500.00	0.00	
101-215-865.000	CLERK CONFERENCE EXPENSE	500.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	
101-215-957.000	CLERK DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	500.00	375.00	500.00	0.00	
Total Department 215:		123,675.00	98,442.70	130,075.00	5.17	
Department: 247 BOR					5.27	
101-247-703.000	BOARD OF REVIEW SALARY	3,000.00	2,880.00	3,000.00	0.00	
101-247-720.000	BOARD OF REVIEW EDUCATION EXPENSE	500.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	
101-247-900.000	BOARD OF REVIEW PRINTING & PUB EXP	700.00	384.40	700.00	0.00	
101-247-964.000	BOARD OF REVIEW REFUNDS	2,000.00	0.00	5,000.00	150.00	Rec Millage
						nee miliage
Total Department 247:		6,200.00	3,264.40	9,200.00	48.39	

		2024-25	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26	
		AMENDED	ACTIVITY	PROPOSED	PROP	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	THRU 4/18/24	BUDGET	% CHANGE	COMMENTS
101-253-703.000	TREASURER SALARY	37,180.00	29,619.12	39,490.00	6.21	
101-253-703.001	TREASURER DEPUTY WAGES	52,206.00	36,083.89	57,330.00	9.81	
101-253-720.000	TREASURER EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	913.49	1,000.00	0.00	
101-253-726.001	TREASURER POSTAGE	8,000.00	5,162.09	8,000.00	0.00	
101-253-801.001	TREASURER LEGAL EXPENSE	9,000.00	374.00	9,000.00	0.00	
101-253-860.000	TREASURER MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,500.00	773.23	1,500.00	0.00	
101-253-865.000	TREASURER CONFERENCE EXPENSE	300.00	0.00	300.00	0.00	
101-253-900.000	TREASURER PRINT & PUBL EXPENSE	500.00	10.78	500.00	0.00	
101-253-957.000	TREASURER DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXP	100.00	99.00	100.00	0.00	
Total Department 253:		109,786.00	73,035.60	117,220.00	6.77	
Department: 257 ASSESSING						
101-257-703.000	ASSESSING ASSESSOR WAGES	82,303.00	65,514.84	84,850.00	3.09	
101-257-703.001	ASSESSING CONTRACT LABOR	5,000.00	0.00	5,000.00	0.00	
101-257-703.004	ASSESSING DEPUTY WAGES	43,530.00	38,357.55	61,152.00	40.48	Full-time Deputy
101-257-720.000	ASSESSING EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	391.51	1,000.00	0.00	
101-257-726.000	ASSESSING POSTAGE EXPENSE	4,500.00	3,150.74	4,500.00	0.00	
101-257-727.000	ASSESSING SUPPLIES EXPENSE	22,000.00	19,176.37	22,000.00	0.00	
101-257-801.000	ASSESSING LEGAL EXPENSE	5,000.00	0.00	5,000.00	0.00	
101-257-860.000	ASSESSING MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,000.00	332.59	1,000.00	0.00	
101-257-865.000	ASSESSING CONFERENCE EXPENSE	500.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	
101-257-957.000	ASSESSING DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXP	700.00	342.38	700.00	0.00	
Total Department 257:		165,533.00	127,265.98	185,702.00	12.18	
Department: 262 ELECTIONS						
101-262-703.000	ELECTION WORKERS WAGES	41,700.00	7,681.71	41,700.00	0.00	
101-262-707.000	ELECTION CLERK WAGES	30,605.00	19,873.88	32,135.00	5.00	
101-262-720.000	ELECTION EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	1,000.00	0.00	
101-262-726.000	ELECTION POSTAGE EXPENSE	6,000.00	0.00	6,000.00	0.00	
101-262-727.000	ELECTION SUPPLIES EXPENSE	8,000.00	2,231.19	8,000.00	0.00	
101-262-860.000	ELECTION MILEAGE & EXPENSES	2,500.00	181.72	2,500.00	0.00	
101-262-900.000	ELECTION PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	1,000.00	15.74	1,000.00	0.00	
101-262-930.000	ELECTION EQUIP REPAIR EXPENSE	15,000.00	1,476.01	15,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 262:		105,805.00	31,460.25	107,335.00	1.45	
Department: 265 TWP HALL 101-265-707.000	TWP HALL RECEPTIONIST WAGES	50.000.00	33.413.05	0 500 00	(91.00)	
101-265-708.000	TWP HALL RECEPTIONIST WAGES	22,000.00	15,203.06	9,500.00	(81.00) 5.00	
101-265-720.000	TWP HALL EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	430.00	23,100.00	0.00	
101-265-721.000	TWP HALL LIFE INSURANCE EXPENSE	2,800.00	2,034.90	2,800.00	0.00	
101-265-721.001	TWP HALL HEALTH INSURANCE EXPENSE	50.000.00	38,949.35	60,000.00	20.00	Rate Increase
101-265-722.000	TWP HALL RETIREMENT EXPENSE	85,000.00	69,649.87	85,000.00	0.00	hate increase
101-265-725.000	TWP HALL FICA/MEDICARE EXPENSE	45,000.00	36,318.39	45,000.00	0.00	
101-265-726.000	TWP HALL POSTAGE EXPENSE	2,800.00	319.49	2,800.00	0.00	
101-265-727.000	TWP HALL KITCHEN/BATH SUPPLIES EXP	3,000.00	743.76	3,000.00	0.00	
101-265-727.001	TWP HALL OFFICE SUPPLIES EXPENSE	10,000.00	4,377.56	10,000.00	0.00	
101-265-728.000	TWP HALL COMPUTER SUPPORT EXPENSE	40,000.00	33,607.74	40,000.00	0.00	
101-265-728.001	TWP HALL IT SUPPORT EXPENSE	20,000.00	2,913.00	20,000.00	0.00	
101-265-775.000	TWP HALL OFFICE CLEANING EXPENSE	6,000.00	3,998.82	6,000.00	0.00	
101-265-776.000	TWP HALL SEPTIC FIELD EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	1,000.00	0.00	
101-265-801.000	TWP HALL GROUNDS CONTRACTED EXP	500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Account not used
101-265-801.001	TWP HALL LEGAL EXPENSE	5,000.00	429.00	5,000.00	0.00	
101-265-801.009	TWP HALL FINANCIAL AUDIT	13,500.00	0.00	14,000.00	3.70	
101-265-822.000	TWP HALL INSURANCE & BOND EXPENSE	18,000.00	18,346.00	20,000.00	11.11	Rate Increase
101-265-850.000	TWP HALL TELEPHONE EXPENSE	6,000.00	4,383.81	6,000.00	0.00	
101-265-851.000	TWP HALL WEB SITE EXPENSE	7,500.00	5,215.00	7,500.00	0.00	
101-265-860.000	TWP HALL MILEAGE & EXPENSES	200.00	0.00	200.00	0.00	
101-265-900.000	TWP HALL PRINT & PUBL EXPENSE	200.00	0.00	200.00	0.00	
101-265-920.000	TWP HALL ELECTRICITY EXPENSE	7,500.00	4,662.28	7,500.00	0.00	
101-265-922.000	TWP HALL NATURAL GAS EXPENSE	6,500.00	4,349.99	6,500.00	0.00	
101-265-930.000	TWP HALL GROUNDS EQUIP REPAIR EXP	15,000.00	1,857.84	10,000.00	(33.33)	
101-265-930.001	TWP HALL OFFICE EQUIPMENT & REPAIR	6,000.00	1,211.94	6,000.00	0.00	
101-265-931.000	TWP HALL GROUNDS CARE EXPENSE	8,000.00	0.00	10,000.00	25.00	New Contract

		2024-25	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26	
		AMENDED	ACTIVITY	PROPOSED	PROP	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	THRU 4/18/24	BUDGET	% CHANGE	COMMENTS
101-265-932.000	TWP HALL SNOW REMOVAL EXPENSE	10,000.00	0.00	10,000.00	0.00	New Contract
101-265-957.000	TWP HALL DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXP	8,000.00	0.00	8,000.00	0.00	New Contract
Total Department 265:		450,500.00	282,414.85	420,100.00	(6.75)	
Department: 268 AT LARGE						
101-268-801.001	TWP AT LARGE LEGAL EXPENSE	200,000.00	173,675.11	75,000.00	(62.50)	Oakland Ended
101-268-882.000	TWP AT LARGE SPRING CLEAN UP EXP	5,000.00	1,950.00	5,000.00	0.00	
101-268-883.000	TWP AT LARGE ROAD SIDE PICKUP EXP	1,200.00	45.00	1,200.00	0.00	
101-268-920.000	TWP AT LARGE STREETLIGHT EXPENSE	9,500.00	7,344.09	9,500.00	0.00	
101-268-974.000	TWP AT LARGE DRAIN EXPENSE	70,000.00	48,203.86	55,000.00	(21.43)	Drain Office Est.
101-268-977.000	TWP AT LARGE CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENSE	60,000.00	13,489.00	160,000.00	166.67	Hall Rehab?
Total Department 268:		345,700.00	244,707.06	305,700.00	(11.57)	
Department: 276 CEMETERY						
101-276-931.000	CEMETERY GROUNDS CARE & MAINT EXP	7,500.00	3,000.00	20,000.00	166.67	Software &
Total Department 276:		7,500.00	3,000.00	20,000.00	166.67	New Contract
Department: 447 ENG						
101-447-801.000	ENGINEERING CONTRACTED SVCS EXP	15,000.00	16,057.25	15,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 447:		15,000.00	16,057.25	15,000.00	0.00	
Department: 701 PLANNING						
101-701-703.000	PLANNING COMMISSION WAGES	7,000.00	5,120.00	7,000.00	0.00	
101-701-720.000	PLANNING EDUCATION EXPENSE	2,000.00	1,585.00	2,000.00	0.00	
101-701-726.000	PLANNING POSTAGE EXPENSE	1,000.00	230.79	1,000.00	0.00	
101-701-801.000	PLANNING CONTRACTED PLANNER EXP	20,000.00	13,702.52	20,000.00	0.00	Retainer
101-701-801.001	PLANNING LEGAL EXPENSE	2,000.00	114.00	2,000.00	0.00	
101-701-900.000	PLANNING PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	2,000.00	893.71	2,000.00	0.00	
101-701-957.000	PLANNING DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXP	1,000.00	585.00	1,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 701:	I EAMINING DOES & SUBSCHILL HON EXI	35,000.00	22,231.02	35,000.00	0.00	
Department: 702 ZONING		33,000.00	22,231.02	33,000.00	0.00	
101-702-703.000	ZONING ADMINISTRATOR WAGES	50,780.00	44,312.48	53,350.00	5.06	
					97.90	Now Donuty 74
101-702-703.002		29,020.00	18,314.02	57,430.00		New Deputy ZA
101-702-703.005		25,000.00	2,100.00	25,000.00	0.00	
101-702-860.000	ZONING MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,500.00	1,476.69	1,500.00	0.00	
101-702-900.000	ZONING PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	400.00	0.00	400.00	0.00	
Total Department 702:		106,700.00	66,203.19	137,680.00	29.03	
Department: 703 ZBA						
101-703-703.000	BOARD OF APPEALS WAGES	4,320.00	1,040.00	4,320.00	0.00	
101-703-720.000	BOARD OF APPEALS EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	575.00	1,000.00	0.00	
101-703-900.000	BOARD OF APPEALS PRINTING & PUBL EXP	1,000.00	517.18	1,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 703:		6,320.00	2,132.18	6,320.00	0.00	
Department: 966 TRF OUT						
101-966-999.000	GEN FUND TRANSFER OUT-PARKS & REC	180,000.00	180,000.00	180,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 966:		180,000.00	180,000.00	180,000.00	0.00	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		1,744,684.00	1,215,882.89	1,761,817.00	0.98	
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		1,741,500.00	1,478,196.48	1,769,750.00	1.62	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		1,744,684.00	1,215,882.89	1,761,817.00	0.98	
NET OF REV & APPROP:		(3,184.00)	262,313.59	7,933.00		
204 - ROAD FUND						
ESTIMATED REVENUES						
Department: 000 OTHER						
204-000-402.000	ROAD FUND PROPERTY TAX INCOME	450,000.00	435,461.18	468,000.00	4.00	
204-000-665.000	ROAD FUND INTEREST INCOME	5,000.00	4,491.94	5,000.00	0.00	
ESTIMATED REVENUES		455,000.00	439,953.12	473,000.00	3.96	
APPROPRIATIONS						
Department: 000 OTHER						
204-000-801.000	ROAD IMPROVEMENT EXPENSE	369,000.00	300,197.51	383,000.00	3.79	Fleming Rd
204-000-802.000	ROAD CHLORIDE EXPENSE	85,000.00	36,701.40	85,000.00	0.00	0
Total Department 000:		454,000.00	336,898.91	468,000.00	3.08	
Department: 547 CHARGEBACKS				,_ >0.00	5.00	

		2024-25	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26	
		AMENDED	ACTIVITY	PROPOSED	PROP	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	THRU 4/18/24	BUDGET	% CHANGE	COMMENTS
Total Department 547:		1,000.00	0.00	5,000.00	400.00	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		455,000.00	336,898.91	473,000.00	3.96	
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		455,000.00	439,953.12	473,000.00	3.96	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		455,000.00	336,898.91	473,000.00	3.96	
NET OF REV & APPROP:		0.00	103,054.21	0.00		
208 - REC FUND						
ESTIMATED REVENUES						
Department: 000 OTHER						
208-000-665.000	REC FUND INTEREST INCOME	3,000.00	15,843.36	25,000.00	733.33	CD Interest
208-000-699.000	REC FUND OPERATING TRANSFER IN	180,000.00	180,000.00	180,000.00	0.00	
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		183,000.00	195,843.36	205,000.00	12.02	
APPROPRIATIONS Department: 000 OTHER						
208-000-801.000	REC FUND CONTRACTED SERVICES EXP	130,000.00	39,897.44	0.00	(100.00)	Millage
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		130,000.00	39,897.44 39,897.44	0.00	(100.00)	Lunge
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		183,000.00	195,843.36	205,000.00	12.02	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		130,000.00	39,897.44	0.00	(100.00)	
NET OF REV & APPROP:		53,000.00	155,945.92	205,000.00		
592 - SWR/WTR						
ESTIMATED REVENUES						
Department: 000 OTHER 592-000-663.011	DEPOSITS FOR LAND SALE #11	0.00	110 040 00	0.00	0.00	
Total Department 000:	DEPOSITS FOR LAND SALE #11	0.00	118,240.00 118,240.00	0.00	0.00	
Department: 536 SWR/WTR		0.00	110,240.00	0.00	0.00	
592-536-665.000	SEWER/WATER INTEREST INCOME	10,000.00	19,155.66	10,000.00	0.00	
592-536-665.007	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER 7	844.00	798.53	414.00	(50.95)	
592-536-665.008	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER 8	6,555.00	6,228.27	0.00	(100.00)	Completed
592-536-665.009	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-WATER 8	3,048.00	2,894.80	0.00	(100.00)	Completed
592-536-665.011	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER 11	9,015.00	8,849.79	5,914.00	(34.40)	
592-536-665.012	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-WATER 11	2,628.00	2,569.23	1,718.00	(34.63)	
592-536-665.014	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SWR CON	87.00	87.50	75.00	(13.79)	
592-536-665.015	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-WTR CON	87.00	87.50	75.00	(13.79)	
592-536-665.020	SEWER FARM LAND RENTAL INCOME	12,500.00	24,325.00	12,500.00	0.00	
592-536-671.000	SEWER CONNECTION FEE INCOME	0.00	185,788.00	0.00	0.00	
592-536-671.001	WATER CONNECTION FEE INCOME	0.00	179,920.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Department 536:		44,764.00	430,704.28	30,696.00	(53.77)	
Department: 537 UB						
592-537-477.000	UTILITY BILLING SEWER USER FEES INC	950,000.00	767,174.68	950,000.00	0.00	
592-537-477.002	UTILITY BILLING WATER USER FEES INC	1,150,000.00	876,470.34	1,150,000.00	0.00	
592-537-694.000	UTILITY BILLING PENALTY SEWER USER	15,000.00	19,657.15	15,000.00	0.00	
592-537-694.002	UTILITY BILLING PENALTY & INT SEWER INC	15,000.00	21,076.94	15,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 537: TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		2,130,000.00	1,684,379.11	2,130,000.00	0.00	
IVIAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		2,174,764.00	2,233,323.39	2,160,696.00	(0.65)	
APPROPRIATIONS						
Department: 536 SWR/WTR						
592-536-775.000	SEWER FUND REPAIR & IMPROVE EXPENSE	15,000.00	0.00	15,000.00	0.00	
592-536-801.002	SEWER FUND AUDITS/STUDIES EXPENSE	10,000.00	1,800.00	10,000.00	0.00	
592-536-972.000	SEWER/WATER CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENSE	400,000.00	229,817.98	200,000.00	(50.00)	Operator Project
Total Department 536:		425,000.00	231,617.98	225,000.00	(47.06)	
Department: 537 UB						
592-537-726.000	UTILITY BILLING POSTAGE EXPENSE	4,500.00	3,350.00	4,500.00	0.00	
592-537-728.000	UTILITY BILLING SOFTWARE SUPPORT EXPENS	1,000.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	100.00	

		2024-25	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26	
		AMENDED	ACTIVITY	PROPOSED	PROP	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	THRU 4/18/24	BUDGET	% CHANGE	COMMENTS
592-537-801.001	UTILITY BILLING LEGAL EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	1,000.00	0.00	
592-537-803.000	UTILITY BILLING WATER EXPENSE	800,000.00	544,727.00	800,000.00	0.00	
Total Department 537:		806,500.00	549,077.00	807,500.00	0.12	
Department: 538 WWTP						
592-538-729.000	WWTP CHEMICALS EXPENSE	40,000.00	36,099.98	40,000.00	0.00	
592-538-801.000	WWTP CONTRACTED SERVICES EXPENSE	367,500.00	275,395.44	380,000.00	3.40	MHOG Increase
592-538-801.001	WWTP VACTOR TRUCK EXPENSE	10,000.00	802.88	10,000.00	0.00	
592-538-801.002	WWTP STATION CLEANING EXPENSE	10,000.00	962.03	10,000.00	0.00	
592-538-801.003	WWTP MANHOLE CLEANING EXPENSE	10,000.00	458.92	10,000.00	0.00	
592-538-801.004	WWTP SEWER LINE CLEANING EXPENSE	10,000.00	0.00	10,000.00	0.00	
592-538-801.005	WWTP LABORATORY FEES EXPENSE	5,000.00	3,535.68	5,000.00	0.00	
592-538-801.006	WWTP GIS FEES EXPENSE	5,000.00	1,650.00	5,000.00	0.00	
592-538-822.000	WWTP INSURANCE & BOND EXPENSE	20,000.00	19,953.00	22,000.00	10.00	Rate Increase
592-538-850.000	WWTP TELEPHONE EXPENSE	4,500.00	2,661.32	2,500.00	(44.44)	Canceled Land Lines
592-538-851.000	WWTP SCADA MONITORING EXPENSE	8,500.00	3,450.00	8,500.00	0.00	
592-538-920.000	WWTP ELECTRICITY EXPENSE	100,000.00	82,222.54	110,000.00	10.00	Rate Increase
592-538-922.000	WWTP NATURAL GAS EXPENSE	10,000.00	2,575.37	10,000.00	0.00	
592-538-930.000	WWTP PLANT EQUIPMENT REPAIR EXP	50,000.00	12,823.96	50,000.00	0.00	
592-538-930.001	WWTP COLLECTION SYSTEM REPAIR EXP	40,000.00	13,732.89	50,000.00	25.00	Restore Balance
592-538-956.000	WWTP MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	13,000.00	11,129.37	15,000.00	15.38	Restore Balance
592-538-962.000	WWTP MISS DIG FEES EXPENSE	3,500.00	978.62	3,500.00	0.00	
592-538-966.000	WWTP STATE OF MICHIGAN EXPENSE	3,500.00	1,950.00	3,500.00	0.00	
592-538-969.001	WWTP BIOSOLIDS REMOVAL EXPENSE	35,000.00	33,507.00	40,000.00	14.29	
Total Department 538:		745,500.00	503,889.00	785,000.00	5.30	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		1,977,000.00	1,284,583.98	1,717,500.00	(13.13)	
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		2,174,764.00	2,233,323.39	2,160,696.00	(0.65)	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS		1,977,000.00	1,284,583.98	1,817,500.00	(8.07)	
NET OF REV & APPROP:		197,764.00	948,739,41	343,196.00	(0.07)	
			,	3.0,200,00		
HOWELL TOWNSHIP						
TOTAL EST REV - ALL FUNDS		4,554,264.00	4,347,316.35	4,608,446.00	1.19	
TOTAL APPROP - ALL FUNDS		4,306,684.00	2,877,263.22	4,052,317.00	(5.90)	
NET OF REV & APPROP:		247,580.00	1,470,053.13	556,129.00		

8B

	BALANCE AS OF 4/30/202				
	% FISCAL YEAR COMPLETED : 8				
		2024-2025	YTD BAL	% BDGT	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	04/30/2025	USED	COMMENTS
FUND: 101 GENERAL FUND					
REVENUES					
101-000-402.000	GEN FUND PROPERTY TAXES	423,000.00	406,950.28	96.21	
101-000-403.000	GEN FUND ACT 7 TAXES	40,000.00	43,364.75	108.41	Final Payment
101-000-420.000	GEN FUND DELINQ PERSONAL TAXES	2,000.00	1,951.91	97.60	
101-000-452.000	GEN FUND RIGHT OF WAY FEES	5,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-000-476.000	GEN FUND LICENSE & PERMIT FEES	12,000.00	5,055.00	42.13	
101-000-476.001	GEN FUND CABLE TV FRANCHISE FEES	77,500.00	48,331.62	62.36	
101-000-476.002	GEN FUND TRAILER FEES	1,500.00	1,629.00	108.60	
101-000-476.003	GEN FUND LOGAL COMMUNITY SUADING	50.00	46.50	93.00	Fab /Mau
101-000-573.000	GEN FUND LOCAL COMMUNITY SHARING	100,000.00	32,327.86	32.33	Feb/May
101-000-574.000	GEN FUND STATE REVENUE SHARING	865,000.00	710,810.00	82.17	5th Payment in May
101-000-607.000	GEN FUND COLLECTION FEE/SCHOOLS INCOME	10,500.00	10,752.00	102.40	
101-000-607.001	GEN FUND ADMIN FEES	148,000.00	155,722.91	105.22	
101-000-608.000	GEN FUND ZONING FEES INCOME	17,500.00	26,725.00	152.71	
101-000-609.000	GEN FUND ZBA FEES INCOME	4,000.00	1,600.00	40.00	
101-000-610.000	GEN FUND LAND DIVISION FEES INCOME	2,500.00	1,450.00	58.00	
101-000-614.000	GEN FUND PRE-CONFERENCE ZONING INCOME	500.00	142.50	28.50	
101-000-641.000	GEN FUND GRAVE OPENING FEES	1,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-000-642.000	CEMETERY LOTS FEES	1,000.00	600.00	60.00	
101-000-652.000	GEN FUND PARKING VIOLATION FEES	100.00	0.00	0.00	
101-000-657.000	GEN FUND MUNICIPAL CIVIL INFRACTION FEE	100.00	62.70	62.70	01-1-1-00-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
101-000-665.000		30,000.00	37,813.30	126.04	Started CD in August
101-000-675.000	GEN FUND OTHER REVENUE	250.00	1,532.21	612.88	
TOTAL REVENUES		1,741,500.00	1,486,867.54	85.38	
EXPENDITURES					
Department: 101 TOWNSHIP BOARD					
101-101-703.000	TWP BOARD SALARY	28,115.00	20,596.80	73.26	
101-101-704.000	TOWNSHIP BOARD PER DIEM EXPENSE	200.00	0.00	0.00	
101-101-705.000	AFFILIATE BOARD PER DIEM EXPENSE	2,400.00	1,440.00	60.00	
101-101-900.000	TWP BOARD PRINT & PUBL EXPENSE	2,500.00	1,048.50	41.94	
Total Dept 101 - TOWNSHIP BOARD		33,215.00	23,085.30	69.50	
Department: 171 SUPERVISOR					
101-171-703.000	SUPERVISOR SALARY	37,180.00	29,619.12	79.66	
101-171-703.001	SUPERVISOR DEPUTY WAGES	16,370.00	13,133.79	80.23	
101-171-860.000	SUPERVISOR MILEAGE & EXPENSES	100.00	0.00	0.00	
101-171-957.000	SUPERVISOR DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	100.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Dept 171 - SUPERVISOR		53,750.00	42,752.91	79.54	
Department: 215 CLERK			a ·		
101-215-703.000	CLERK SALARY	37,180.00	29,539.12	79.45	
101-215-703.001	CLERK DEPUTY WAGES	30,605.00	25,588.19	83.61	
101-215-703.004	CLERK ACCOUNTING SALARY	50,390.00	40,309.47	79.99	
101-215-720.000	CLERK EDUCATION EXPENSE	3,000.00	2,485.30	82.84	
101-215-860.000	CLERK MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,500.00	145.62	9.71	
	CLERK CONFERENCE EXPENSE	500.00	0.00	0.00	
	CLERK DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	500.00	375.00	75.00	
101-215-957.000			98,442.70	79.60	
		123,675.00	00,1120/0		
101-215-957.000		123,675.00			
101-215-957.000 Total Dept 215 - CLERK		123,675.00			
101-215-957.000 Total Dept 215 - CLERK Department: 247 BOARD OF REVIEW	BOARD OF REVIEW SALARY	123,675.00 3,000.00	2,880.00	96.00	Completed
101-215-957.000 Total Dept 215 - CLERK Department: 247 BOARD OF REVIEW 101-247-703.000	BOARD OF REVIEW SALARY BOARD OF REVIEW EDUCATION EXPENSE				Completed
101-215-957.000 Total Dept 215 - CLERK Department: 247 BOARD OF REVIEW 101-247-703.000 101-247-720.000	BOARD OF REVIEW SALARY	3,000.00	2,880.00	96.00	Completed
101-215-865.000 101-215-957.000 Total Dept 215 - CLERK Department: 247 BOARD OF REVIEW 101-247-703.000 101-247-720.000 101-247-964.000	BOARD OF REVIEW SALARY BOARD OF REVIEW EDUCATION EXPENSE	3,000.00 500.00	2,880.00 0.00	96.00 0.00	Completed

		2024-2025	YTD BAL	% BDGT	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	04/30/2025	USED	COMMENTS
Department: 253 TREASURER					
101-253-703.000	TREASURER SALARY	37,180.00	29,619.12	79.66	
101-253-703.001	TREASURER DEPUTY WAGES	52,206.00	36,083.89	69.12	
101-253-720.000	TREASURER EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	913.49	91.35	
101-253-726.001	TREASURER POSTAGE	8,000.00	6,969.04	87.11	Completed
101-253-801.001	TREASURER LEGAL EXPENSE	9,000.00	689.00	7.66	
101-253-860.000	TREASURER MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,500.00	901.58	60.11	
101-253-865.000	TREASURER CONFERENCE EXPENSE	300.00	0.00	0.00	
101-253-900.000	TREASURER PRINT & PUBL EXPENSE	500.00	10.78	2.16	
101-253-957.000	TREASURER DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	100.00	99.00	99.00	
Total Dept 253 - TREASURER		109,786.00	75,285.90	68.58	
Department: 257 ASSESSING					
101-257-703.000	ASSESSING ASSESSOR WAGES	82,303.00	65,514.84	79.60	
101-257-703.001	ASSESSING CONTRACT LABOR	5,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-257-703.004	ASSESSING DEPUTY WAGES	43,530.00	38,357.55	88.12	
101-257-720.000	ASSESSING EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	391.51	39.15	
101-257-726.000	ASSESSING POSTAGE EXPENSE	4,500.00	3,150.74	70.02	
101-257-727.000	ASSESSING SUPPLIES EXPENSE	22,000.00	19,176.37	87.17	Annual Imagery
101-257-801.000	ASSESSING LEGAL EXPENSE	5,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-257-860.000	ASSESSING MILEAGE & EXPENSES	1,000.00	332.59	33.26	
101-257-865.000	ASSESSING CONFERENCE EXPENSE	500.00	0.00	0.00	
101-257-957.000	ASSESSING DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	700.00	342.38	48.91	
Total Dept 257 - ASSESSING		165,533.00	127,265.98	76.88	
Department: 262 ELECTIONS		44 700 00	7 004 74	10.40	
101-262-703.000	ELECTION WORKERS WAGES	41,700.00	7,681.71	18.42	
101-262-707.000	ELECTION CLERK WAGES	30,605.00	19,873.88	64.94	
101-262-720.000	ELECTION EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-262-726.000	ELECTION POSTAGE EXPENSE	6,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-262-727.000	ELECTION SUPPLIES EXPENSE	8,000.00	2,231.19	27.89	
101-262-860.000	ELECTION MILEAGE & EXPENSES	2,500.00	181.72	7.27	
101-262-900.000	ELECTION PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	1,000.00	15.74	1.57	
101-262-930.000	ELECTION EQUIP REPAIR EXPENSE	15,000.00	1,476.01	9.84	
Total Dept 262 - ELECTIONS		105,805.00	31,460.25	29.73	
Department: 265 TOWNSHIP HALL					
101-265-707.000	TWP HALL RECEPTIONIST WAGES	50,000.00	33.413.05	66.83	
101-265-708.000	TWP HALL UTILITY DIRECTOR WAGES	22,000.00	15,203.06	69.10	
101-265-720.000	TWP HALL EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	430.00	43.00	
101-265-721.000	TWP HALL LIFE INSURANCE EXPENSE	2,800.00	2,034.90	72.68	
101-265-721.001	TWP HALL HEALTH INSURANCE EXPENSE	50,000.00	38,949.35	77.90	
101-265-722.000	TWP HALL RETIREMENT EXPENSE	85,000.00	69,649.87	81.94	
101-265-725.000	TWP HALL FICA/MEDICARE EXPENSE	45,000.00	36,318.39	80.71	
101-265-726.000	TWP HALL POSTAGE EXPENSE	2,300.00	543.36	23.62	
101-265-727.000	TWP HALL KITCHEN/BATH SUPPLIES EXPENSE	3,000.00	870.22	29.01	
101-265-727.001	TWP HALL OFFICE SUPPLIES EXPENSE	10,000.00	5,985.71	59.86	
101-265-728.000	TWP HALL COMPUTER SUPPORT EXPENSE	40,000.00	33,847.62	84.62	
101-265-728.001	TWP HALL IT SUPPORT EXPENSE	20,000.00	2,913.00	14.57	
101-265-775.000	TWP HALL OFFICE CLEANING EXPENSE	6,000.00	4,569.73	76.16	
101-265-776.000	TWP HALL SEPTIC FIELD EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-265-801.000	TWP HALL GROUNDS CONTRACTED SVCS EXP	500.00	0.00	0.00	
101-265-801.001	TWP HALL LEGAL EXPENSE	5,000.00	2,173.50	43.47	
101-265-801.009	TWP HALL FINANCIAL AUDIT	13,500.00	0.00	0.00	
101-265-822.000	TWP HALL INSURANCE & BOND EXPENSE	18,500.00	18,346.00	99.17	Annual
101-265-850.000	TWP HALL TELEPHONE EXPENSE	6,000.00	4,383.81	73.06	
101-265-851.000	TWP HALL WEB SITE EXPENSE	7,500.00	5,715.00	76.20	
101-265-860.000	TWP HALL MILEAGE & EXPENSES	200.00	0.00	0.00	
101-265-900.000	TWP HALL PRINT & PUBL EXPENSE	200.00	0.00	0.00	
		200.00	0.00	0.00	
101-265-920.000	TWP HALL ELECTRICITY EXPENSE	7,500.00	5,108.10	68.11	

		2024-2025	YTD BAL	% BDGT	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	04/30/2025	USED	COMMENTS
101-265-930.000	TWP HALL GROUNDS EQUIP REPAIR EXPENSE	15,000.00	1,857.84	12.39	
101-265-930.001	TWP HALL OFFICE EQUIPMENT & REPAIR	6,000.00	1,916.57	31.94	
101-265-931.000	TWP HALL GROUNDS CARE EXPENSE	8,000.00	275.00	3.44	
101-265-932.000	TWP HALL SNOW REMOVAL EXPENSE	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	
101-265-957.000	TWP HALL DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	8,000.00	7,537.54	94.22	Annual
Total Dept 265 - TOWNSHIP HALL		450,500.00	296,984.25	65.92	
Department: 268 TOWNSHIP AT LARGE			170.000.01		
101-268-801.001	TWP AT LARGE LEGAL EXPENSE	200,000.00	176,883.61	88.44	See Breakdown
101-268-882.000	TWP AT LARGE SPRING CLEAN UP EXPENSE	5,000.00	1,950.00	39.00	
101-268-883.000	TWP AT LARGE ROAD SIDE PICKUP EXPENSE	1,200.00	45.00	3.75	
101-268-920.000	TWP AT LARGE STREETLIGHT EXPENSE	9,500.00	7,344.09	77.31	
101-268-974.000	TWP AT LARGE DRAIN EXPENSE	70,000.00	48,203.86	68.86	Annual
101-268-977.000	TWP AT LARGE CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENSE	60,000.00	13,489.00	22.48	Mics & Speakers
Total Dept 268 - TOWNSHIP AT LARGE		345,700.00	247,915.56	71.71	
Department: 276 CEMETERY					
101-276-931.000	CEMETERY GROUNDS CARE & MAINT EXPENSE	7,500.00	3,775.00	50.33	
Total Dept 276 - CEMETERY		7,500.00	3,775.00	50.33	
Department: 447 ENGINEERING 101-447-801.000	ENGINEERING CONTRACTED SVCS EXPENSE	15,000.00	23,282.75	155.22	Budget Amendment #1
	ENGINEERING CONTRACTED SVCS EXI ENSE			155.22	Budget Amenument #1
Total Dept 447 - ENGINEERING		15,000.00	23,282.75	155.22	
Department: 701 PLANNING					
101-701-703.000	PLANNING COMMISSION WAGES	7,000.00	5,120.00	73.14	
101-701-720.000	PLANNING EDUCATION EXPENSE	2,000.00	1,585.00	79.25	
101-701-726.000	PLANNING POSTAGE EXPENSE	1,000.00	230.79	23.08	
101-701-801.000	PLANNING CONTRACTED PLANNER EXPENSE	20,000.00	16,617.52	83.09	
101-701-801.001	PLANNING LEGAL EXPENSE	2,000.00	114.00	5.70	
101-701-900.000	PLANNING PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	2,000.00	1,420.04	71.00	
101-701-957.000	PLANNING DUES & SUBSCRIPTION EXPENSE	1,000.00	585.00	58.50	
Total Dept 701 - PLANNING		35,000.00	25,672.35	73.35	
Department: 702 ZONING					
101-702-703.000	ZONING ADMINISTRATOR WAGES	50,780.00	44,312.48	87.26	
101-702-703.002	ZONING DEPUTY WAGES	29,020.00	18,314.02	63.11	
101-702-703.005	ZONING CODE ENFORCEMENT SERVICE EXPENSE	24,000.00	2,100.00	8.75	
101-702-860.000	ZONING MILEAGE & EXPENSES	2,500.00	1,523.80	60.95	
101-702-900.000	ZONING PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	400.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Dept 702 - ZONING		106,700.00	66,250.30	62.09	
Department: 703 ZONING BOARD OF APPI	EA				
101-703-703.000	BOARD OF APPEALS WAGES	4,320.00	1,040.00	24.07	
101-703-720.000	BOARD OF APPEALS EDUCATION EXPENSE	1,000.00	700.00	70.00	
101-703-900.000	BOARD OF APPEALS PRINTING & PUBL EXPENSE	1,000.00	638.02	63.80	
Total Dept 703 - ZONING BOARD OF APP		6,320.00	2,378.02	37.63	
Department: 966 TRANSFER OUT			100 1-		
101-966-999.000	GEN FUND TRANSFER OUT-PARKS & REC	180,000.00	180,000.00	100.00	Annual Transfer
Total Dept 966 - TRANSFER OUT		180,000.00	180,000.00	100.00	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,744,684.00	1,247,823.18	70.96	
TOTAL REVENUES		1,741,500.00	1,486,867.54	85.38	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,744,684.00		71.52	
NET OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES:		(3,184.00)	239,044.36		

		2024-2025	YTD BAL	% BDGT	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	04/30/2025	USED	COMMENTS
Fund: 204 ROAD FUND					
REVENUES					
204-000-402.000	ROAD FUND PROPERTY TAX INCOME	450,000.00	435,461.18	96.77	
204-000-665.000	ROAD FUND INTEREST INCOME	5,000.00	5,162.21	103.24	
TOTAL REVENUES		455,000.00	440,623.39	96.84	
EXPENDITURES					
204-000-801.000	ROAD IMPROVEMENT EXPENSE	369,000.00	300,197.51	81.35	
204-000-802.000	ROAD CHLORIDE EXPENSE	85,000.00	36,701.40	43.18	
Total Dept 000 - OTHER		454,000.00	336,898.91	74.21	
Department: 547 CHARGEBACKS					
204-547-978.000	ROAD FUND CHARGEBACK EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Dept 547 - CHARGEBACKS		1,000.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		455,000.00	336,898.91	74.04	
		400,000.00	000,000.01	74.04	
TOTAL REVENUES		455,000.00	440,623.39	96.84	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		455,000.00	336,898.91	74.04	
NET OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES:		0.00	103,724.48		
Fund: 208 PARK/REC FUND	-				
REVENUES					
208-000-665.000	REC FUND INTEREST INCOME	3,000.00	19,180.77	639.36	
208-000-699.000	REC FUND OPERATING TRANSFER IN	180,000.00	180,000.00	100.00	
TOTAL REVENUES		183,000.00	199,180.77	108.84	
EXPENDITURES					
208-000-801.000	REC FUND CONTRACTED SERVICES EXPENSE	130,000.00	39,897.44	30.69	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		130,000.00	39,897.44	30.69	
TOTAL REVENUES		183,000.00	199,180.77	108.84	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		130,000.00	39,897.44	30.69	
NET OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES:		53,000.00	159,283.33		
Fund: 285 ARPA REVENUES	_				
REVENUES 285-000-528.000	ARPA FUND OTHER FEDERAL GRANTS	43,797.00	149,610.52	341.60	
285-000-665.000	ARPA FUND OTHER FEDERAL GRANTS	0.00	4.00	100.00	
TOTAL REVENUES		43,797.00	4.00	342.35	
EXPENDITURES					
285-000-852.000	ARPA FUND BROADBAND EXPENSE	105,000.00	105,005.00	100.00	Surf Wireless
285-000-853.000	ARPA FUND SEWER EXPENSE	0.00	39,609.52	100.00	Hamlett - RAS Pump
285-000-854.000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	SIDEWALK PROJECT EXPENSE	5,000.00	5,000.00 149,614.52	100.00 136.01	Spicer
		110,000.00	140,014.02	100.01	
TOTAL REVENUES		43,797.00	149,614.52	342.35	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		110,000.00	149,614.52	136.01	
NET OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES:		(66,203.00)	0.00		

GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	2024-2025 BUDGET	YTD BAL 04/30/2025	% BDGT USED	COMMENTS
Fund: 592 SWR/WTR					
REVENUES					
592-000-663.011	DEPOSITS FOR LAND SALE #11	0.00	118,240.00	100.00	Bowen Road
Total Dept 000 - OTHER		0.00	118,240.00	100.00	
Department: 536 SEWER/WATER					
592-536-665.000	SEWER/WATER INTEREST INCOME	10,000.00	21,886.04	218.86	
592-536-665.007	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER #7	844.00	798.53	94.61	
592-536-665.008	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER 8	6,555.00	6,228.27	95.02	
592-536-665.009	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-WATER 8	3,048.00	2,894.80	94.97	
592-536-665.011	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER 11	9,015.00	8,849.79	98.17	
592-536-665.012	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-WATER 11	2,628.00	2,569.23	97.76	
592-536-665.014	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-SEWER CONNEC	87.00	87.50	100.57	
592-536-665.015	SPEC ASSESS INTEREST INCOME-WATER CONNEC	87.00	87.50	100.57	
592-536-665.020	SEWER FARM LAND RENTAL INCOME	12,500.00	24,325.00	194.60	
592-536-671.000	SEWER CONNECTION FEE INCOME	0.00	187,938.00	100.00	Burkhart Ridge
592-536-671.001	WATER CONNECTION FEE INCOME	0.00	182,070.00	100.00	Burkhart Ridge
Total Dept 536 - SEWER/WATER		44,764.00	437,734.66	977.87	
Department: 537 CHARGES FOR SERVICES					
592-537-477.000	UTILITY BILLING SEWER USER FEES INCOME	950,000.00	767,210.68	80.76	
592-537-477.002	UTILITY BILLING WATER USER FEES INCOME	1,150,000.00	876,505.50	76.22	
592-537-694.000	UTILITY BILLING PENALTY SEWER USER	15,000.00	19,614.41	130.76	
592-537-694.002	UTILITY BILLING PENALTY & INT SEWER INC	15,000.00	20,950.14	139.67	
Total Dept 537 - CHARGES FOR SERVICES		2,130,000.00	1,684,280.73	79.07	
TOTAL REVENUES		2,174,764.00	2,240,255.39	103.01	
EXPENDITURES					
Department: 536 SEWER/WATER					
592-536-775.000	SEWER FUND REPAIR & IMPROVE EXPENSE	15,000.00	0.00	0.00	
592-536-801.002	SEWER FUND AUDITS/STUDIES EXPENSE	10,000.00	1,800.00	18.00	
592-536-972.000	SEWER/WATER CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENSE	400,000.00	232,081.95	58.02	Clarifier Project
Total Dept 536 - SEWER/WATER		425,000.00	233,881.95	55.03	
Department: 537 CHARGES FOR SERVICES					
592-537-726.000	UTILITY BILLING POSTAGE EXPENSE	4,500.00	3,350.00	74.44	
592-537-728.000	UTILITY BILLING SOFTWARE SUPPORT EXPENSE	1,000.00	1,000.00	100.00	Annual
592-537-801.001	UTILITY BILLING LEGAL EXPENSE	1,000.00	0.00	0.00	
592-537-803.000	UTILITY BILLING WATER EXPENSE	800,000.00	544,727.00	68.09	
Total Dept 537 - CHARGES FOR SERVICES		806,500.00	549,077.00	68.08	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Department: 538 WWTP					
592-538-729.000	WWTP CHEMICALS EXPENSE	40,000.00	36,099.98	90.25	Completed
592-538-801.000	WWTP CONTRACTED SERVICES EXPENSE	367,500.00	277,676.44	75.56	• • • •
592-538-801.001	WWTP VACTOR TRUCK EXPENSE	10,000.00	802.88	8.03	
592-538-801.002	WWTP STATION CLEANING EXPENSE	10,000.00	1,342.15	13.42	
592-538-801.003	WWTP MANHOLE CLEANING EXPENSE	10,000.00	458.92	4.59	
592-538-801.004	WWTP SEWER LINE CLEANING EXPENSE	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	
592-538-801.005	WWTP LABORATORY FEES EXPENSE	5,000.00	3,535.68	70.71	
592-538-801.006	WWTP GIS FEES EXPENSE	5,000.00	1,650.00	33.00	
592-538-822.000	WWTP INSURANCE & BOND EXPENSE	20,000.00	19,953.00	99.77	Annual
592-538-850.000	WWTP TELEPHONE EXPENSE	4,500.00	2,661.32	59.14	
		8,500.00	3,450.00	40.59	
592-538-851.000	WWTP SCADA MONITORING EXPENSE				
592-538-851.000 592-538-920.000	WWTP SCADA MONITORING EXPENSE		92,509,88	92.51	Blower - Biolac
592-538-920.000	WWTP ELECTRICITY EXPENSE	100,000.00	92,509.88 2.750.15	92.51 27.50	Blower - Biolac
592-538-920.000 592-538-922.000	WWTP ELECTRICITY EXPENSE WWTP NATURAL GAS EXPENSE	100,000.00 10,000.00	2,750.15	27.50	Blower - Biolac
592-538-920.000 592-538-922.000 592-538-930.000	WWTP ELECTRICITY EXPENSE WWTP NATURAL GAS EXPENSE WWTP PLANT EQUIPMENT REPAIR EXPENSE	100,000.00 10,000.00 50,000.00	2,750.15 14,789.96	27.50 29.58	Blower - Biolac
592-538-920.000 592-538-922.000	WWTP ELECTRICITY EXPENSE WWTP NATURAL GAS EXPENSE	100,000.00 10,000.00	2,750.15	27.50	Blower - Biolac

		2024-2025	YTD BAL	% BDGT	
GL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	04/30/2025	USED	COMMENTS
592-538-966.000	WWTP STATE OF MICHIGAN EXPENSE	3,500.00	1,950.00	55.71	
592-538-969.001	WWTP BIOSOLIDS REMOVAL EXPENSE	35,000.00	33,507.00	95.73	Annual
Total Dept 538 - WWTP		745,500.00	519,635.75	69.70	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,977,000.00	1,302,594.70	65.89	
TOTAL REVENUES		2,174,764.00	2,240,255.39	103.01	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,977,000.00	1,302,594.70	65.89	
NET OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES:		197,764.00	937,660.69		
TOTAL REVENUES - ALL FUNDS		4,598,061.00	4,516,867.19	98.23	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES - ALL FUNDS		4,416,684.00	3,076,828.75	69.66	
NET OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES:		181,377.00	1,440,038.44		
BUDGET AMENDMENT #1					
101-447-801.000	ENGINEERING CONTRACTED SVCS EXPENSE	15,000.00	23,282.75	155.22	

FISCAL YTD LEGAL FEES

OAKLAND TACTICAL					
09/09/2024	\$	4,935.00			
10/03/2024		11,458.00			
11/05/2024		15,606.50			
12/04/2024		2,937.00			
TOTAL	\$3	34,936.50			
BURKHART R	OA	D ASSOCIATES			
08/15/2024	\$	55.00			
09/09/2024		1,108.50			
10/03/2024		275.00			
11/05/2024		1,083.00			
12/04/2024		598.50			
12/04/2024		3,083.00			
01/08/2025		3,676.50			
02/11/2025		275.00			
4/1/2025		137.50			
TOTAL	\$	10,292.00			

	WELLHEAD	ADU	FAGAN
	PROTECTION	ORDINANCE	VIOLATION
8/15/2024	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
09/09/2024	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/05/2024	6,523.50	0.00	57.00
12/04/2024	3,187.00	6,353.50	24.00
01/08/2025	15,573.00	1,032.50	228.00
2/11/2025	2,154.00	0.00	8,421.50
3/3/2025	85.50	0.00	7,615.50
4/1/2025	0.00	0.00	2,345.00
TOTAL	\$27,523.00	\$7,386.00	\$18,691.00

HOWELL-MASON LITIGATION LLC

TOTAL	\$7	70,740.11
4/1/2025		348.00
02/11/2025		408.00
02/11/2025		20,006.00
01/08/2025		256.50
01/08/2025		429.00
12/04/2024		5,689.50
12/04/2024		5,826.00
11/05/2024		4,081.50
11/05/2024		1,635.50
10/03/2024		2,363.50
10/03/2024		784.00
09/09/2024		832.50
09/09/2024		12,551.95
08/15/2024		831.50
08/15/2024	\$	14,696.66

Cash Flow Using Budgeted Revenue

				S	ewer & Wa	ater Fund	Cash Flow	1				
	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25
Beg. Cash Balance	\$2,102,396	\$2,203,400	\$2,046,682	\$2,266,000	\$1,851,852	\$2,062,703	\$2,248,508	\$2,365,519	\$2,418,084	\$2,676,182	\$2,825,862	\$2,842,342
			1 1 2 2 2 2		1 7 7				1 7 9799	1 / 2 - 2 / 2	. , ,	1 / - / -
Proj./Actual Net Rev.												
592 Sewer/Water	\$101,004	(\$156,717)	\$219,318	(\$414,148)	\$210,851	\$185,805	\$117,011	\$52,565	\$258,098	\$149,680	\$16,480	(\$600,000)
Total Revenue	\$101,004	(\$156,717)	\$219,318	(\$414,148)	\$210,851	\$185,805	\$117,011	\$52,565	\$258,098	\$149,680	\$16,480	(\$600,000)
General Fund Payback												
Total Payments	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ending Cash Balance	\$2,203,400	\$2,046,682	\$2,266,000	\$1,851,852	\$2,062,703	\$2,248,508	\$2,365,519	\$2,418,084	\$2,676,182	\$2,825,862	\$2,842,342	\$2,242,342
CD Bal \$300,000												
					General	Fund Cas	h Flow					
	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25
Beginning Balance	\$4,406,309	\$4,385,976	\$3,103,071	\$3,278,884	\$3,605,034	\$3,658,470	\$3,590,754	\$3,703,705	\$3,813,486	\$3,808,030	\$3,729,837	\$3,729,988
Proj./Actual Net. Rev.	(\$20,332)	(\$1,282,906)	\$175,813	\$326,150	\$53,436	(\$67,716)	\$112,951	\$109,781	(\$5,456)	(\$78,193)	\$151	\$600,000
Ending Cash Balance	\$4,385,976	\$3,103,071	\$3,278,884	\$3,605,034	\$3,658,470	\$3,590,754	\$3,703,705	\$3,813,486	\$3,808,030	\$3,729,837	\$3,729,988	\$4,329,988
CD Bal \$2,600,000												
					Road F	und Cash	Flow					
	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25
Beginning Balance	\$688,969	\$660,969	\$645,626	\$519,629	\$329,224	\$329,450	\$351,294	\$641,315	\$758,550	\$766,864	\$767,535	\$747,535
Proj./Actual Net. Rev.	(\$28,001)	(\$15,343)	(\$125,996)	(\$190,405)	\$226	\$21,844	\$290,022	\$117,234	\$8,315	\$670	(\$20,000)	(\$20,000)
Ending Cash Balance	\$660,969	\$645,626	\$519,629	\$329,224	\$329,450	\$351,294	\$641,315	\$758,550	\$766,864	\$767,535	\$747,535	\$727,535
CD Bal \$100,000												
					Parks & R							
	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25
Beginning Balance	\$390,469	\$359,745	\$1,559,504	\$1,559,871	\$1,529,109	\$1,527,286	\$1,528,814	\$1,708,684	\$1,719,473	\$1,720,090	\$1,723,428	\$1,723,428
Proj./Actual Net. Rev.	(\$30,724)	\$1,199,759	\$366	(\$30,762)	(\$1,822)	\$1,528	\$179,870	\$10,788	\$618	\$3,337	\$0	\$0
Ending Cash Balance	\$359,745	\$1,559,504	\$1,559,871	\$1,529,109	\$1,527,286	\$1,528,814	\$1,708,684	\$1,719,473	\$1,720,090	\$1,723,428	\$1,723,428	\$1,723,428
CD Bal \$1,200,000												
						Fund Cash	Flow					
	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25
Beginning Balance	\$149,280	\$149,469	\$79,571	\$79,609	\$0	\$0	Jan-25 \$0	\$0	\$0	Api-25 \$0	\$0	Juli-25 \$0
Proj./Actual Net. Rev.	\$189	(\$69,898)	\$38	(\$79,609)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Ending Cash Balance	\$149,469	\$79,571	\$79,609	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0

GENERAL FUND PAYBACK								
	7/1/2024	7/1/2025	7/1/2026	7/1/2027	7/1/2028			
DUE TO GENERAL FUND	\$2,010,577	\$1,399,744	\$999,744	\$529,744	\$129,744			
PROPERTY SALES	(\$118,240)							
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	(\$279,483)	(\$100,000)	(\$70,000)					
YEAR END TRANSFER	(\$213,110)	(\$300,000)	(\$400,000)	(\$400,000)	(\$129,744)			
TOTAL DUE GF @ YEAR END	\$1,399,744	\$999,744	\$529,744	\$129,744	\$0			

Special Assessment Payoffs Mar - June	\$0.00 \$279,482.67
Special Assessment Payoffs July - Nov	\$789.96
Special Assessment 2024 Winter	\$278,692.71

PROJECTED	
Water Fees Collected	\$1,031,505.50
Water Expense	\$676,322.00
	\$355,183.50
Transfer 60%	\$213,110.10
Total Transfer	\$610,832.77

Properties Left to Sell	Sale Price	Special Assess	
Marr Rd - 73.58 Acres	\$1,344,718.00	\$979,625.00	
Tooley Rd - 22.83 Acres	\$415,140.00	\$442,775.00	
Totals	\$1,759,858.00	\$1,422,400.00	\$3,182,258.00

C
HOWELL TOWNSHIP LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

RESOLUTION TO ADOPT CURRENT GUIDELINES FOR GRANTING POVERTY EXEMPTIONS

RESOLUTION NO. 05.25.

At a meeting of the Howell Township Board (the "Township"), County of Livingston, State of Michigan, held on May 12, 2025, located at the Township Hall, 3525 Byron Rd. Howell, MI 48855 at 6:30 P.M.

PRESENT:

ABSENT:

The following resolution was offered by ______ and supported by ______:

WHEREAS, PA 390, 1994 (MCL 211.7u, as amended) required the township to adopt guidelines for determining eligibility for hardship exemption from taxation for homesteads. PA 253 of 2020 made changes to legislation requiring updated poverty exemption guidelines,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the following Guidelines and Procedures to qualify for relief under the Act are hereby adopted:

- 1. TO BE ELIGIBLE THE APPLICANT SHALL DO ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ON AN ANNUAL BASIS:
 - A. Must be the property owner and taxpayer and occupy as a homestead the property for which an exemption from property taxes is requested. "Homestead" means that term as defined in section 508 of the Michigan Income Tax Act, being MCL 206.508, as amended. Applicants cannot be a corporation, trust or other business entity
 - B. File with the Township Assessor a completed Form 5737 Application for MCL 211.7u Poverty Exemption along with Form 5739 Affirmation of Ownership and Occupancy to Remain Exempt by Reason Poverty. APPLICATION MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX RETURNS FOR ALL PERSONS RESIDING IN THE HOMESTEAD, INCLUDING ANY PROPERTY TAX CREDIT RETURNS, filed in the immediately preceding year and in the current year.

- C. Produce a valid driver's license or other acceptable form of identification if requested by the Supervisor, Assessor or Board of Review.
- 2. Filing period and Appearance: Fully completed Poverty Exemption Applications with the required supporting information will be accepted through the last public meeting of the March Board of Review, and one week prior to the meetings of the July and December Board of Review. The filing of a completed application with required supporting documentation shall constitute an appearance before the Board of Review for the purpose of preserving the applicant's right to further appeal the decision of the Board of Review to the Michigan Tax Tribunal.

EVALUATION PROCEDURE

- 1. Meetings: Meetings of the Board of Review related to Poverty Exemption applications shall be held in compliance with the Michigan Open Meetings Act. All applications and supporting documentation are confidential and not available for public review, copy or inspection.
- 2. Applicant's Presence: The Board of Review may request an applicant to personally appear before the Board to respond to any questions the Supervisor, Board of Review or Assessor may have.
- 3. Investigation: Applicants for Poverty Exemption may be investigated by Howell Township to verify information submitted or statements made to the Supervisor, Board of Review or Assessor.
- 4. Oath: Applicants appearing before the Board of Review may be administered an oath affirming that the information submitted; both written and verbal is the truth.
- 5. Criteria for Determining Exemption: The Supervisor and Board of Review shall consider the following three (3) criteria to determine whether a poverty exemption shall be granted:

A. Income: The total income of the applicant and each member of the applicant's household income shall not exceed the Federal Poverty Guidelines adopted by the Howell Township Board (Federal Poverty Income Standards, adjusted annually). Income levels SHALL NOT BE SET LOWER than the Federal Poverty Income Standards, updated annually by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

B. Asset Test: The value of the Homestead, one automobile, and household personal property (i.e., clothing, furniture, and appliances) will not be included when determining the assets of the applicant. The assets of the applicant cannot exceed \$10,000. This includes liquid assets such as cash, bank accounts, retirement accounts etc. It also includes physical assets that can be sold such as boats, travel trailers, additional automobiles etc.

C. Contribution from other sources: If the Board of Review determines the applicant receives contribution toward taxes from other sources, such as trust, inheritance, co-owner, relative, dependent, friend or occupant of the homestead, the Supervisor or Board of Review may consider the amount of such contributions as an addition to the applicant's income. If the resulting sum exceeds the Income Guidelines, as adopted by the Howell Township Board (Federal Poverty Standards, adjusted annually) a hardship or poverty exemption shall be denied.

6. Granting Exemption: If an applicant's:

A. Total household income from all sources does not exceed the Federal Poverty Guidelines (Federal Poverty Standards, adjusted annually) as adopted by the Howell Township Board and:

B. Does not have assets which can reasonably be invested, sold or used to pay the property taxes: and

C. Does not receive or reasonably expect to receive contribution toward taxes from other sources.

D. The Board of Review may reduce the taxable value of the subject property and the tax liability of the owner and occupant of the homestead.

E. Public Act 253 of 2020 amended MCL 211.7u (5) states that The Board of Review shall follow the policy and guidelines of the local assessing unit in granting or denying an exemption under this section. If a person claiming an exemption under this section is qualified under the eligibility requirements in subsection (2), the board of review shall grant the exemption in whole or in part, as follows:

(a). A full exemption equals a 100% reduction in taxable value for the tax year in which the exemption is granted.

(b). A partial exemption equal to 1 of the following, either a 75% 50% or 25% reduction in taxable value for the tax year in which the exemption is granted.

(c). The Board of Review **shall not** act outside the guidelines due to compelling reasons or extenuating circumstances.

A roll call vote on the foregoing resolution was taken and is as follows:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

THE RESOLUTION WAS DECLARED _____.

STATE OF MICHIGAN)) ss COUNTY OF LIVINGSTON)

I, Sue Daus, the duly elected Clerk of the Township of Howell, hereby certify this to be a true and complete copy of this resolution, duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Township Board.

Sue Daus, Howell Township Clerk

8D



GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY

REMEDIATION AND REDEVELOPMENT DIVISION



PHILLIP D. ROOS DIRECTOR

April 10, 2025

VIA EMAIL

John Collias Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 27280 Haggerty Road, Suite C-11 Farmington Hills, Michigan 48331

Dear John Collias:

SUBJECT: Request to Complete Municipal Water Connections Related to Releases of Chlorinated Solvents into the Groundwater at Former Toyoda Machinery, 2280 West Grand River Avenue, Howell, Livingston County; Facility ID Nos.: 47000060 and 00002778

The Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE), Remediation and Redevelopment Division (RRD), has identified the former Toyoda Machinery property at 2280 West Grand River Avenue as a site of environmental contamination. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) on behalf of Textron Inc. submitted a response activity plan to EGLE proposing to connect two properties at 2225 West Grand River Avenue and 2325 West Grand River Avenue to the MHOG municipal water system due to groundwater contamination above Part 201, Environmental Remediation, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended, criteria.

The RRD has reviewed data which indicate chlorinated solvent groundwater contamination from the property at 2280 West Grand River Avenue located in the city of Howell has migrated onto the above-referenced properties located in Howell Township. The RRD supports water replacement connections to the MHOG municipal water system for 2225 West Grand River Avenue and 2325 West Grand River Avenue properties as a sustainable means to eliminate the risk of chlorinated solvents from entering the private wells used in these two businesses.

The RRD understands that Stantec has secured access to these properties to perform connections to the MHOG municipal water supply, and that permits from the city of Howell, Howell Township, and MHOG are required. The RRD encourages Stantec to proceed to gain approval using the locally established permitting procedures as soon as practical so that connections to the MHOG water supply may proceed this construction season.

The EGLE project manager for this facility will be available to discuss concerns relating to chlorinated solvents in the groundwater near Grand River Avenue with the city of Howell, Howell Township, and MHOG to expedite the permit process to connect these two Howell Township properties to a safe and reliable drinking water supply.

John Collias Stantec Consulting Services Inc. Page 2 April 10, 2025

Please contact me if you have any questions pertaining to this request. EGLE project manager, Rebecca Taylor, may be contacted at TaylorR@Michigan.gov or 517-284-5160 for assistance. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David LaBrecque, District Supervisor Lansing District Office Remediation and Redevelopment Division 517-285-7889 LaBrecqueD@Michigan.gov

cc: Jamison Schiff, Textron Inc. Matt Bolang, Livingston County Health Department (LCHD) Heather Blair, LCHD Mike Coddington, Supervisor, Howell Township Mike Spitler, Deputy Director of Public Services, City of Howell Greg Tatara, MHOG Rebecca Taylor, EGLE



N

Yorkshire

of the second

06-27-400-012

06-34-200-002

© All EagleView Technology Corporation

And a

8E



April 23, 2025

•

Jonathan Hohenstein, Township Treasurer Howell Township 3525 Byron Rd Howell, MI 48855

RE: Heritage Square Planned Unit Development – Water REU Allocation

Good afternoon, Jonathan,

Pursuant to the requirements of the Howell Township REU Split Policy adopted October 10, 2011, Resolution #10.11.296, we hereby request the Township Board's consideration of allowing the 75 water REU's that are currently allocated to the Heritage Square PUD to be split between parcels 4706-32-400-015 and 4706-32-400-16 according the following schedule:

- Parcel 1, Parcel number 4706-32-400-015 (Single-Family Residential Phase 1): 48 REU's
 - Parcel 2, Parcel number 4706-32-400-016 (Single-Family Residential Phase 2 & 3): 37 REU's • Note: 20 additional water REU's will be required for this parcel and will be purchased in
 - conjunction with the development of this parcel
- Parcel 3, Parcel number 4706-32-400-017 (Single-Family Residential Phase 4, 5 & 6): 71 REU's
 - Note: 71 water REU's will be required for this parcel and will be purchased in conjunction with the development of this parcel
- Parcel 4, Parcel number 4706-32-400-018 (Multi-Family Residential): 393 REU's
 - Note: 393 water REU's will be required for this parcel and will be purchased in conjunction with the development of this parcel

You will recall that the Township Board approved the reallocation of the existing sewer REU's at its meeting on April 14, 2025. We neglected to include the reallocation of the water REU's with that request.

Exhibits attached for your review and consideration:

- Township REU Split Policy
- Current Overall Parcel Exhibit
- Township Assessor Parcel Split Approval Letter
- Township Assessor "New" Parcel Exhibits

Respectfully,

David Straub M/I Homes of Michigan LLC P: (248)- 303-0455 E: dstraub@mihomes.com

Howell Township <u>**REU SPLIT POLICY</u>** Adopted October 10, 2011 Resolution #10.11.296</u>

WHEREAS, the township wishes to insure a fair and equitable allocation of REU's when property is split;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT upon a property split, the total number of REU's shall be evenly split across the parcel.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that if the REU's cannot be evenly split across the parcel, if the property split contains both Commercial and Residential, or if a portion of the property split becomes Commercial, the REU distribution shall be determined by the Howell Township Board at a regular board meeting. The owner of the parcel will be notified of the meeting date in writing at the last known address and is encouraged to attend the meeting.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that all REU allocations from a split must be approved in writing from the parcel owners.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that applications for REU splits shall be received on or before May 1st of each year for the summer tax billing period and on or before September 1st of each year for the winter tax billing period.

Moved by: Eaton Supported by: Hubbel Yes: Counts, Eaton, Coddington, Hubbel, Hohenstein, Henry.

No: None

Absent: Howard

I hereby affirm that this is a policy duly adopted by the Howell Township Board at its regular meeting held October 10, 2011 to which I add my signature this 12th day of October, 2011.

Carolyn J. Eaton

Clerk



FILEP\Projects\2021\21-C75 Heritage Square PUD\21-D75C Heritage Square (Single-Family)\D=g\Ergineering\21-D75C_SF_C-0.0_Phase.d+g PLOT DATE 2/19/2025 4.38 P

				г	5.4	OVAT	TIVE GE	OSPAT	44
				1	IIN	GINE	ERINGS	10 Up	245
				ant Engla		V		EA	10000
					295 N	FON	VLERVI IGAN 4 517-2	S DRIV	2
	Д							SDVOS	
	DENSITY AND UNIT COU	NT			-		9 H	Hong and	
	TOTAL SITE AREA: 92 ACRI			6	1	MC	DEVIN	TT	
	NOTE: DENSITY CALCULATIONS FROM APPRO MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT AND SENIOR LI SEE UNDERLYING PUD PLAN FOR DETAILS.	VED PUD PLAN. VING BY OTHERS.			1		10432	50	
		I.0 AC UPLAND) I.4 AC UPLAND)		1	-		1	Ten	7
	OPEN SPACE REQUIRED (27.07.E) 10% OF TOTAL LAND AREA EXCLUSIVE OF WA 10% * (68.4 ACRES UPLAND) = 6.84 ACRES	TER SURFACES		-	C	all	MISS		u di
	OPEN SPACES PROVIDED = 8.48 ACRES (11.9%	OF UPLAND AREA)			can's		T	Noti	
	ALLOWED DENSITY (27.07.A)				14.14	NUV F	nittedi	0'gi	
	UPLAND AREA SFR = 24.0 ACRES REDUCED 10% FOR REQUIRED OPEN SPACE = SFR = 3.0 UNITS/ACRE x 1.25 = 3 x 21.6 x 1.25	21.6 ACRES = 81 UNITS		186234				CONTENT	14 2 DIS
	UPLAND AREA MFR = 44.44 ACRES REDUCED 10% FOR REQUIRED OPEN SPACE = - MFR = 12 UNITS/ACRE x 1.25 = 12 x 40 x 1.25 =	0 ACRES		CUE				THES	CAT A
	TOTAL ALLOWED UNITS = 681						IOM		
	UNITS PROPOSED				50	wo	WGO	ARD	
	SINGLE FAMILY UNITS: 176 PROPOSED MULTI-FAMILY UNITS: 288 PROPOSED			B.00	451	ELD	HILLS,	MI 45	3304
	 55 - 3 BR / 2 BA - RANCH STYLE TOWN 81 - 2 BR/ 2.5 BA - 2-STORY TOWNHO 40 - 1 BR / 1 BA - GARDEN STYLE APA 	MES RTMENTS		РС (24	DA 3)-	221-	5011	B
	 112 - 3 BR / 2.5 BA - 2 STORY TOWNH 3 - STORY SENIOR LIVING BUILDING: APPROX. 5 					Γ	v		1
	TOTAL: 554 RESIDENTIAL UNITS						ROAL	R4E	NAS
IN PH	MERGENCY ACCESS DRIVE HASE 1. TO BE REMOVED			3		5	Z	3N,	UH
DER	PARK DRIVE IS CONSTRUCTED			SVIE		A MAIN	MASON	2. 1	Υ. Μ
	OPEN SPACE CALCULATO	DINS - BY PHASE		OVERVIEW		4	4	50	
		9,867 SF (70.47 ACRES)		0		CINICI	n.4		N CO
	(EXLUDES REGULATED WETLANDS AND PER PHASE 1	RMANENT WATER SURFACES)		AN		ADC	BURK	/4 OF	GSTO
	PHASE 1 AREA PHASE 1 OPEN SPACE =	= 757,829 SFT (17.40 ACRES) = 294,122 SFT		d		100		-	NN
	PERMANENT WATER AREA OPEN SPACE EXCLUSIVE OF WATER AREA OPEN SPACE PERCENT	= 45,053 SFT = 257,531 SFT = 34.0%	4-3	SING		LACE	CORN	T OF THE SE 1/4	WP, L
	PHASE 2 PHASE 2 AREA	= 1,208,468 SF (27.74 ACRES)		PHA			NN N	L I	-
5	TOTAL DEVELOPED AREA PHASE 2 OPEN SPACE	= 1,966,297 SF (45.14 ACRES) = 296,856 SF		0		-	AC.	5	HOWEL
•	PERMANENT WATER AREA OPEN SPACE EXCLUSIVE OF WATER AREA TOTAL OPEN SPACE EXCLUSIVE OF WATER	= 77,785 SF = 219,071 SF						PART	Ĭ
	OPEN SPACE PERCENT	= 476,602 SF = 24.2%	-	2	*	2			Т
	PHASE 3 PHASE 3 AREA	= 259,260 SF (5.95 ACRES)	DATE	05/09/202	09/18/202	10/14/202	11/04/2024	02/11/2025	
	TOTAL DEVELOPED AREA PHASE 3 OPEN SPACE	= 2,225,557 SF (51.09 ACRES) = 12,968 SF	°	05/0	60	101	12	02/1	
	TOTAL OPEN SPACE OPEN SPACE PERCENT	= 489,570 SF = 22.0%			TAL.		3	5	
	PHASE 4 PHASE 4 AREA	= 182,324 SF (4.19 ACRES)	SIONS		IIII	1	COVERACE	DOCUMENTS	
	TOTAL DEVELOPED AREA NO ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE	= 2,407,881 SF (55.28 ACRES)	REM		PLAN S	SUBMITTAL			ľ
	TOTAL OPEN SPACE OPEN SPACE	= 489,570 SF = 20.3%	SUDBAILTALS/REVISIONS	E	SILE P	INIT SUBMI	MAXIMUM	CONSTRUCTION	L
	PHASE 5 PHASE 5 AREA				N	PERMIT	PUD MA	ONSTR	
	TOTAL DEVELOPED AREA NO ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE	= 205,415 SF (4.72 ACRES) = 2,613,296 SF (59.99 ACRES)	PLAN	PLAN	-	INIO	REVISED PUD N		
	TOTAL OPEN SPACE OPEN SPACE PERCENT	= 489,570 SF = 18.7%		SITE	PHASE	ECLE	Rev.	REVISED	
	PHASE 6		o	RIGI			UE D		
	PHASE 6 AREA TOTAL DEVELOPED AREA	= 456,572 SF (10.48 ACRES) =3,069,867 SF (70.47 ACRES)	P	ROJ	ECI	T NO): 2	1-07	5C
	PHASE 6 OPEN SPACE TOTAL OPEN SPACE OPEN SPACE PERCENT	= 59,144 SF = 548,714 SF	s	CAL	E:	1"	-	120'	
	TOWNSHIP PUD ORDINANCE REQUIRES 10% MIN	=17.9 % IMUM OPEN SPACE.		0	-	1/	2*	1.	
	NOTE: AREAS DO NOT INCLUDE BURKHART ROAD		01	ELD:	NB	hr:	DC.M	N.CK.	ນ
				ECH					



Howell Township

3525 Byron Road • Howell, MI 48855 Phone: (517) 546-2817 • Fax (517) 546-1483 www.howelltownshipmi.org



April 22, 2025

Mason and Burkhart LLC 29350 Woodward Ave Royal Oak, MI 48073-0968

RE: Tax Code Number: 4706-32-400-013

New Tax Code Numbers: 4706-32-400-015, 4706-32-400-016, 4706-32-400-017 & 4706-32-400-018

Dear Mason and Burkhart LLC,

A land division referencing the above tax code numbers has been approved by the Howell Township Land Division Review Board. Parcel 4706-32-400-015 contains 18.608 acres of vacant land along with 48 Sewer REUs and 19 Water REUs. Parcel 4706-32-400-016 contains 34.391 acres of vacant land along with 57 Sewer REUs and 19 Water REUs. Parcel 4706-32-400-017 contains 19.383 acres of vacant land along with 71 Sewer REUs and 19 Water REUs. Parcel 4706-32-400-017 2.400-018 contains 22.637 acres of vacant land along with 393 Sewer REUs and 18 Water REUs. These new parcel numbers will be active on the **2026** tax roll. Building permits can now be applied for on the new parcels.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 517-546-2817 Ext. 111.

Rollin-

Brent J. Kilpela MAAO, MCPPE Howell Township Assessor Parcel Number: 4706-32-400-015

Jurisdiction: BRENT J. KILPELA ASSESSOR County: Livingston

Printed on 04/22/2025

Grantor Gr	rantee		Sale Price	Sale Date	Inst. Type	Terms of Sale	Liber & Page			Prcnt. Trans.
HOWELL TOWNSHIP MA	ASON AND BURKHA	ART LLC	675,000	01/07/2022	CD	03-ARM'S LENGTH	2022R-002	674 PRO	PERTY TRANSFE	r 100
Property Address			SIDENTIAL-VACA	-		lding Permit(s)	Date	Number	Stat	
BURKHART - VACANT			OWELL PUBLIC S	CHOOLS	SIG		08/29/2005	1	ON R	
Owner's Name/Address			0% Qual. Ag.		SIG	N	08/29/2005	5 4044	ON R	OLL
MASON AND BURKHART LLC 29350 WOODWARD AVE ROYAL OAK. MI 48073-0968		MAP:		st TCV Ten	-					
		Improv Public Improve	ements	Descrip		ontage Depth From	ictors *	di. Reaso	on	Value 153,492
Tax Description *BALANCE OF LEGAL ON FILE SEC 32 T3N R4E COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH S88°51'34'W 1672.68 FT; TH N00°57'35'W 1133.64 FT; TH S88°51'34'W 980.63 FT; TH N00°59'23'W 1155.52 FT; TH N88°56'58''E 1619.95 FT TO POB. TH N88°56'58''E 1030.00 FT; TH S01°03'31''E 878.71 FT; TH S88°56'29''W 265.41 FT; TH N01°03'31''W 39.00 FT; TH S88°56'29''W 528.00 FT; TH N01°03'31''W 123.00 FT; TH S88°56'29''W 280.95 FT; TH N01°03'31''W 54.00 FT; TH N10°23'21''E 41.88 FT; TH N32°56'47''E 47.73 FT; TH N35°42'01''E 54.00 FT; TH N32°01'30''E 67.18 FT; TH N21°22'28''E 70.17 FT; TH N10°20'34''E 70.17 FT; TH N00°41'19''W 70.17 FT; TH N07°00'51''W 67.14 FT; TH N04°22'23''W 56.70 FT; TH N09°29'34''W 56.13 FT; TH N46°51'34''W 114.72 FT; TH N01°03'02''W 20.00 FT TO POB Comments/Influences		Dirt R Gravel X Paved	Road		otal Acres	5	Total E	st. Land	Value = 4	53,492
		Standa Underg Topogra	lk							
		Site X Level Rollin Low High Landsc: Swamp Wooded Pond Waterf Ravine Wetland Flood	aped ront d	Year	Lanc Value	Building Value	Assessed Value	Board of Review	Tribunal/ Other	Taxab1e Value
		1.00		2026	Tentative					entative
		2	hen What 2023 RECORD C			e Tentative	Tentative 0		1	entative 0
		1	2023 RECORD C	1	Ĺ		V			U
		CAM 03/22/	ZUZI RECORD C	4K U 0						****
				U						

Real Estate Summary Sheet

Information herein deemed reliable but not guaranteed

Parcel:	4706-32-400-015		Current Clas		
Owner's Name:	MASON AND BURKH	ART LLC	Previous Cla Gov. Unit:	ASS 402 RESIDENTI 4706 HOWELL TO	
Property Address	BURKHART - VACA	NT	MAP	4700 HOWELL TO	WN2HIP
	HOWELL, MI 4884	3	School: Neighborhood	47070 HOWELL PU SOUTH METES & B	
Liber/Page: Split: Public Impr.:	2022R-002674 04/21/2025 Paved Road	Created: 04/21/2025 Active: Active	-		
Topography:	Level				
Mailing Address		escription:			
MASON AND BURKH 29350 WOODWARD ROYAL OAK, MI 4		38*51'34'W 980.63 FT; TH 88*56'58"E 1030.00 FT; TH 88*56'58"E 1030.00 FT; TH 7; TH N32*01'30"E 67.18 F 17 FT; TH N07*00'51"W 6 66*51'34"W 114.72 FT; TH ESTRICT OF RECORD. SPLIT 7, 4706-32-400-018;	N00°50°23"W 1155.52°F H S01°03'31"E 878.71 F 28.00 F; TH N01°03'3 10°23'21"E 41.88 FT; T; TH N21°22'28"E 70 7.14 FT; TH N04°22'22 N01°03'02"W 20.00 FT ON 4/21/2025 INTO 47(T; TH N88 56'58"E 161 T; TH S88 56'29"W 265 31"W 123.00 FT; TH S88 TH N32'56'47"E 47.73 .17 FT; TH N10'20'34"E 3"W 56.70 FT; TH N09'2 TO POB CONT 18.608 AC 06-32-400-015, 4706-32	00°57'35"W 1133.64 FT; TH 9.95 FT TO POB. TH .41 FT; TH N01'03'31"W 56'29"W 280.95 FT; TH FT; TH N35'42'01"E 54.00 70.17 FT; TH N00'41'19"W 9'34"W 56.13 FT; TH SUBJ TO ANY EASE OR -400-016, 4706-32-400-
	Sale Inform 2 for 675,000 by HC		*********		
	03-ARM'S LENGTH	WELL TOWNSHIP	Liber/Page:	2022r-002674	
	t Permit Info	mation	Liber/Fage.	20228-002074	
None Found	roperty Chara				
2026 S.E.V.:	Tentative	2026 Taxable:	Tentative	Lot Dimensions:	
2025 S.E.V.:	0 '	2025 Taxable:	0	Acreage:	18.61
Zoning:		Land Value:	Tentative	Frontage:	0.0
PRE:	0.000 Qualified Ag	. Land Impr. Value:	Tentative	Average Depth:	0.0
Improvement	t Data				
None					
	tion				
Tax Informa	acion	WHI			

Parcel Number: 4706-32-400-016

Jurisdiction: BRENT J. KILPELA ASSESSOR County: Livingston

04/22/2025

Printed on

Grantor	antor Grantee		Sale Price		Inst. Type		Liber & Page	Veri By	Verified By	
HOWELL TOWNSHIP	MASON AND BURKH	ART LLC	675,000	01/07/2022	CD	03-ARM'S LENGTH	2022R-0026	574 PROP	ERTY TRANSFE	R 100
Property Address			SIDENTIAL-VACA	-	Bui	lding Permit(s)	Date	Number	Statı	
BURKHART - VACANT		School: HC	WELL PUBLIC S	CHOOLS	SIG	N	08/29/2005		ON RC	DLL
Owner's Name/Address	***************************************)% Qual. Ag.		SIG	N	08/29/2005	4044	ON RC	DLL
MASON AND BURKHART LLC		MAP:								
29350 WOODWARD AVE			2026 E	st TCV Tent	ative					
ROYAL OAK, MI 48073-0968		Improve	ed X Vacant	Land Va	lue Estima	ates for Land Tabl	e 401MF.RESIDENTIA	L MULTI FA	MILY	
		Public Improve	ments	Descrip	tion Fro	ontage Denth Fro	actors * nt Depth Rate %A	dj. Reason		Value
Tax Description *BALANC SEC 32 T3N R4E COMM AT		Dirt Ro Gravel		MULTI F 34.39 T	AMILY RES otal Acres	34.3	91 Acres 42,750 Total E	50.000 MI st. Land V	XED USE 7 alue = 7	35,108 35,108
S88°51'34"W 1672.68 FT; TH N00°57'35"W 1133.64 FT TO POB. TH S88°51'34"W 980.63 FT; TH N00°59'23"W 944.78 FT; TH N89°00'37"E 930.65 FT; TH S07°26'31"E 22.21 FT; TH S19°27'15"W 70.81 FT; TH S06°46'03"W 70.65 FT; TH S00°14'50"E 59.83 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 486.00 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 110.00 FT; TH N01°03'31"E 175.60 FT; TH N88°30'58"E 50.00 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 408.00 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 110.00 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 110.00 FT; TH N01°03'31"W 287.00 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 280.95 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 123.00 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 528.00 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 39.00 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 265.41 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 495.69 FT; TH S05°02'11"E 10.20 FT; TH S88°51'37"W 904.12 FT; TH N0 Comments/Influences		1 1	k c Lights od Utilities ound Utils. phy of g aped					Board of	Tribunal/	Taxable
		Flood F	riann	Year	Lano Value		Assessed I Value	Review	Other	Value
			nen What	2026	Tentative		Tentative		T	entative
		CAM 05/15/	2023 RECORD CA	R 2025	(0 0	0			C
		CAM 09/22/	2021 RECORD CA	NR O						
				0						

Real Estate Summary Sheet

Information herein deemed reliable but not guaranteed

owner's Name: MASON AND BURKHART LLC Previous Class 402 RESIDENTIAL-VACANT Property Address: BURKHART - VACANT MAP HOWELL, MI 48843 School: 4706 HOWELL PUBLIC SCHOOLS Liber/Page: 2022R-002674 Created: 04/21/2025 Active: Active Neighborhood: SOUTH METES & BOUNDS 25-36 Public Impr.: Paved Road Evel School: 47070 HOWELL PUBLIC SCHOOLS Wailing Address: Description: School: 56237E 100.00 FT; TH 805'51'34"W 1672.68 FT; TH 900'57'35"W 1133.64 FT TH 800'59'23"W 944.78 FT; TH 800'59'23'W 944.78 FT; TH 800'59'FT;	Parcel:	4706-32-400-0	16	Current Class		RESIDENTIAL-VACANT		
Property Address: BURKHART - VACANT HOWELL, MI 48843 MAP School: 47070 HOWELL PUBLIC SCHOOLS Neighborhood: South METES & BOUNDS 25-36 Liber/Page: 2022R-002674 Created: 04/21/2025 Split: 04/21/2025 Active: Active Public Impr.: Paved Road Description: MASON AND BURKHART LLC 29350 WOODWARD AVE ROYAL OAK, MI 48073-0968 Sec 32 T3N R4E COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH 588*51'34"W 1672.68 FT; TH M00'57'35"W 1133.64 FT TT POR. TH 588*51'34"W 380.63 FT; TH M00'52'23"W 944.78 FT; TH 489'00'37"E 930.65 FT; TH POR. TH 588*51'34"W 380.63 FT; TH 500'622"W 944.78 FT; TH 489'00'37"E 930.65 FT; TH POR. TH 588*51'34"W 380.60 FT; TH 588*51'24"W 904.12 FT; TH 501'03'31"E 110.00 FT; TH 588*51'24"E 110.00 FT; TH 588*51'24"E 100.00 FT; TH 588*51'24"E 20.00 FT; TH 588*51'2	Owner's Name:	MASON AND BUR	KHART LLC					
Liber/Page: 2022R-002674 Created: 04/21/2025 split: 04/21/2025 Active: Active Public Impr.: Paved Road Created: 04/21/2025 Mailing Address: Description: Mason And Burkhart LLC SEC 32 T3N M4E COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH S88*51'34"W 1672.68 FT; TH N00°57'35"W 1133.64 FT TH P00°57'35"W 112'52'25'25'25'25'25'25'25'25'25'25'25'25	<pre>Property Address: BURKHART -</pre>		CANT		4700 HOWLLL H	JMIIOUTI		
<pre>split: 04/21/2025 Active: Active public Impr.: Paved Road Topography: Level wailing Address: Description: Sec 32 T3N Rde Conv At SE COR SEC 32 TH S88*51'34"w 1672.68 FT; TH N00'57'35"w 1133.64 FT T Post FT H S88*51'34"w 980.63 FT; TH N00'59'22"w 944.78 FT; TH N89*00'37"E 930.65 FT; TH 29350 WOODWARD AVE ROYAL OAK, MI 48073-0968 So 7'26'31"E 22.21 FT; TH N38'56'29"E 10.00 FT; TH N88'56'29"E 10:00 FT; TH N88'56'29"E 10:00 FT; TH N88'56'29"E 22.21 FT; TH S01'03'31"E 110.00 FT; TH N81'56'29"E 238.00 FT; TH N88'56'29"E 238.00 FT; TH S01'33'1"E 123.00 F4 50.00 FT, CENTRAL ANGLE OF 31'15'3'1, ALG THE ARC OF A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH RADIUS OF 380'W 71.90'FT; TH N88'56'29"E 238.00 FT; TH N88'56'29"E 238.00 FT; TH S01'33'1'E 110.00 FT; H S01'33'1'E 100.00 FT; H S01'33'1'E 100.00 FT; H S01'33'1'E 100.00 FT; H S01'33'1'E 100'H S0'FT; H S0'FT; H S0'FT A TH KARC OF ACURVE TO THE LEFT WITH RADIUS OF S80'H ACUE OF ACURVE TO THE LEFT WITH RADIUS OF S80'H ACUE OF ACUE S9'H ACUE OF ACUE S9'H ACUE S9</pre>		HOWELL, MI 48	843					
Mailing Address: Description: MASON AND BURKHART LLC SEC 32 Tan RdE COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH 588'51'34"W 1672.68 FT; TH N00"57'35"W 1133.64 FT TH 507'35"W 1237.944.78 FT; TH N89"00'37"E 930.65 FT; TH S00"57'26'31"E 22.21 FT; TH S19'27'15"W 70.81 FT; TH S06'46'03"W 70.65 FT; TH N80"56'29"E 100.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 280.95 FT; TH S00"331"E 120.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 280.95 FT; TH S00"331"E 120.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 280.95 FT; TH S01"03'31"E 120.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 200.57 FT; TH S01"03'31"E 120.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 200.57 FT; TH S01"03'31"E 120.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 120.57 FT; TH S01"03'31"E 120.00 FT; TH N81"56'29"E 120.52 FT TO FT S01"29"E 200"E 75.00 FT; TH S01"57'35"E 122.52 FT TO POB CONT 34.391 AC SUBJ TO ANY EASE OR RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD. MOSt Recent Sale Information	Split:	04/21/2025		-				
MASON AND BURKHART LLC SEC 32 T3N R4E COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH S88'51'34'W 1672.68 FT; TH N00'57'35'W 1133.64 FT TH P06. TH S88'51'34'W 980.63 FT; TH S00'63'27'E 930.65 FT; TH S00'67'26'31'E 22.21 FT; TH S19'27'15'W 70.81 FT; TH S06'60'3W 70.65 FT; TH S01'03'31''E 75.60 FT; TH S01'03'31''E 123.00 FT; TH N88'56'29''E 280.95 FT; TH S01'03'31''E 123.00 FT; TH S01'03''E 123.00 FT; TH S01'OS'E 123.00 FT; TH S	Topography:	Level						
29350 WOODWARD AVE ROVAL OAK, MI 48073-0968 Pob. TH s88'51'34'' 980.63 FT; TH S00'59'23'' 944.78 FT; TH N89'00'37''E 930.65 FT; TH S01'03'31''E 123.00 FT; TH N80''50'29''E 10.00 FT; TH N01'03'31''E 123.00 FT; TH N80''50'29''E 10.00 FT; TH N80''50'29''E 10''' 15''' 15''' 15''' 15''' 15''' 15''' 15''' 15'''' 15''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15'''' 15''''' 15''''' 15''''''''	Mailing Address	:	Description:					
sold on 1/7/2022 for 675,000 by HOWELL TOWNSHIP Terms of sale: 03-ARM'S LENGTH Liber/Page: 2022R-002674 Most Recent Permit Information	29350 WOODWARD	AVE	POB. TH S88'51'34"W 980.63 S07'26'31"E 22.21 FT; TH S FT; TH S01'03'31"E 486.00 N88'30'58"E 50.00 FT; TH N 110.00 FT; TH N01'03'31"W N88'56'29"E 528.00 FT; TH 495.69 FT; TH S05'02'11"E S88'56'29"W 643.30 FT; TH FT, CENTRAL ANGLE OF 31'56 THE ARC OF A CURVE TO THE A CHORD WHICH BEARS S71'06	FT; TH N00°59'23"W 94 19°27'15"W 70.81 FT; FT; TH N88°56'29"E 110 88°56'29"E 408.00 FT; 287.00 FT; TH N88°56'2 S01°03'31"E 39.00 FT; 10.20 FT; TH S88°51'37 27.32 FT ALG THE ARC 0 '34", AND A CHORD WHIC RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF '42"W 27.31 FT: TH S01	4.78 FT; TH N89°00'; TH SO6°46'03'W 70.6 .00 FT; TH N01'03'3 TH S01'03'31''E 110. 9"E 280.95 FT; TH S TH N88°56'29''E 265 "W 904.12 FT; TH N0 F A CURVE TO THE LE H BEARS S72'58'12''W 56.00 FT, A CENTRA '08'22''E 15.62 FT:	37"E 930.65 FT; TH 5 FT; TH S00°14'50"E 59.8 L"E 75.60 FT; TH 20 FT; TH N88°56'29"E 21°03'31"E 123.00 FT; TH 41 FT; TH S01°03'31"E L°03'31"W 386.15 FT; TH T WITH RADIUS OF 49.00 26.97 FT; TH 27.59 FT AL _ ANGLE OF 28°13'35", AND H S88°51'38"W 71.90 FT:		
Most Recent Permit Information None Found Physical Property Characteristics 2026 S.E.V.: Tentative 2026 Taxable: Tentative Lot Dimensions: 2025 S.E.V.: 0 2025 Taxable: 0 Acreage: 34.39 Zoning: Land Value: Tentative Frontage: 0.0 PRE: 0.000 Qualified Ag. Land Impr. Value: Tentative Average Depth: 0.0 Improvement Data								
None Found Physical Property Characteristics 2026 S.E.V.: Tentative 2026 Taxable: Tentative Lot Dimensions: 2025 S.E.V.: 0 2025 Taxable: 0 Acreage: 34.39 Zoning: Land Value: Tentative Frontage: 0.0 PRE: 0.000 Qualified Ag. Land Impr. Value: Tentative Average Depth: 0.0 Improvement Data	Terms of Sale:	03-ARM'S LENGTH	H	Liber/Page:	2022R-002674			
2026 S.E.V.: Tentative 2026 Taxable: Tentative Lot Dimensions: 2025 S.E.V.: 0 2025 Taxable: 0 Acreage: 34.39 Zoning: Land Value: Tentative Frontage: 0.0 PRE: 0.000 Qualified Ag. Land Impr. Value: Tentative Average Depth: 0.0 Improvement Data	None Found							
2025 S.E.V.: 0 2025 Taxable: 0 Acreage: 34.39 Zoning: Land Value: Tentative Frontage: 0.0 PRE: 0.000 Qualified Ag. Land Impr. Value: Tentative Average Depth: 0.0 Improvement Data	-			Tentative	Lot Dimensions:			
Zoning: Land Value: Tentative Frontage: 0.0 PRE: 0.000 Qualified Ag. Land Impr. Value: Tentative Average Depth: 0.0 Improvement Data						34.39		
Improvement Data	Zoning:		Land Value:	Tentative	-			
None	PRE:	0.000 Qualified	Ag. Land Impr. Value:	Tentative	Average Depth:	0.0		
None	Improvement	t Data ———				*****		
	•							

Parcel Number: 4706-32-400-017 Jurisdiction: BRENT J. KILPELA ASSESSOR County: Livingston

04/22/2025 Printed on

Grantor	Grantee		Sale Price	Sale Date	Inst. Type	Terms of Sale	Liber & Page	Ve By	rified	Prcnt. Trans.
HOWELL TOWNSHIP MASON AND BURK		ART LLC	675,000	01/07/2022	CD	03-arm's length	2022r-0	02674 PR	OPERTY TRANSFEF	r 100
Property Address MASON RD - VACANT		Class: RESII School: HOWE		-	Bui SIG	lding Permit(s) N	Date 08/29/20	Number 205 4045	- Statu ON RC	
Owner's Name/Address MASON AND BURKHART LLC 29350 WOODWARD AVE ROYAL OAK, MI 48073-0968	wner's Name/Address ASON AND BURKHART LLC 9350 WOODWARD AVE			st TCV Ten		N ates for Land Table	08/29/20		ON RC)LL
Tax Description *BALANCE OF LEGAL ON FILE SEC 32 T3N R4E COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH		Improved Public Improveme Dirt Road Gravel Ro X Paved Roa) Dad	Descrip MULTI F		* Fa ontage Depth From 19.38	actors * nt Depth Rate 83 Acres 50,211	%Adj. Reas	on MIXED USE 4	Value 86,623 86,623
S88°51'34"W 1672.68 FT; TH N00°57'35"W 1133.64 FT; TH S88°51'34"W 980.63 FT; TH N00°59'23"W 944.78 FT TO POB. TH N00°59'23"W 210.74 FT; TH N88°56'58"E 1619.95 FT; TH S01°03'02"E 20.00 FT; TH S46°51'34"E 114.72 FT; TH S09°29'34"E 56.13 FT; TH S04°22'23"E 56.70 FT; TH S07°00'51"E 67.14 FT; TH S00°41'19"E 70.17 FT; TH S10°20'34"W 70.17 FT; TH S21°22'28"W 70.17 FT; TH S32°01'30"W 67.18 FT; TH S35°42'01"W 54.00 FT; TH S32°56'47"W 47.73 FT; TH S10°23'21"W 41.88 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 287.00 FT; TH S88°56'29"W 110.00 FT; TH N01°03'31"E 75.60 FT; TH S88°56'29"W 408.00 FT; TH S88°30'58"W 50.00 FT; TH S01°03'31"E 75.60 FT; TH S88°56'29"W 110.00 FT; TH N01 Comments/Influences		Storm Sev Sidewalk Water Sewer Electric Gas Curb Street Li Standard	ights Utilities and Utils. ay of	Year	Lan Value		Assessed Value	Board of Review	Tribunal/ Other	Taxabl
		Who Wher CAM 05/15/20	23 RECORD CA		Tentativ	e Tentative 0 0	Tentative 0		T	entativ (
		САМ 09/22/20	21 RECORD CA	AR 0 0						

Real Estate Summary Sheet

Information herein deemed reliable but not guaranteed

Parcel: Owner's Name: Property Address	4706-32-400-01 MASON AND BURK : MASON RD - VAC HOWELL, MI 488	HART LLC	Current Class: Previous Class Gov. Unit: MAP School:		AL-VACANT WNSHIP
Liber/Page: Split: Public Impr.: Topography: Mailing Address:	2022R-002674 04/21/2025 Paved Road Level	Created: 04/21/2025 Active: Active Description:	Neighborhood:		
MASON AND BURKH 29350 WOODWARD A ROYAL OAK, MI 4		SEC 32 T3N R4E COMM AT SE S88'51'34'W 980.63 FT; TH N88'56'58'E 1619.95 FT; TH 56.13 FT; TH S04'22'23'E 5 S10'20'34'W 70.17 FT; TH S FT; TH S32'56'47'W 47.73 F S88'56'29'W 110.00 FT; TH 50.00 FT; TH S01'03'31'E 7 N00'14'50'W 59.83 FT; TH N FT; TH S89'00'37'W 930.65 RECORD. SPLIT ON 4/21/2025 400-018;			
Sold on 1/7/202 Terms of Sale:		HOWELL TOWNSHIP	Liber/Page: 2	2022R-002674	
None Found	: Permit Info				
2026 s.e.v.: 2025 s.e.v.: Zoning: PRE: Improvement	Tentative O 0.000 Qualified	2026 Taxable: 2025 Taxable: Land Value: Ag. Land Impr. Value:	0 Tentative	Lot Dimensions: Acreage: Frontage: Average Depth:	19.38 0.0 0.0
_{None} Tax Informa	ition				

Parcel Number: 4706-32-400-018 Jurisdiction: BRENT J. KILPELA ASSESSOR County: Livingston

Printed on

04/22/2025

rantor Grantee			Sale Price	Sale Date	Inst. Type	Terms of Sale	Liber & Page	Ver By	rified	Prcnt Trans
HOWELL TOWNSHIP MASON AND BURKH		RT LLC	675,000	01/07/2022	CD	03-ARM'S LENGTH	2022R-00	2674 PRC	PERTY TRANSFE	R 10
Property Address MASON RD - VACANT		School: HOW	DENTIAL-VACAN ELL PUBLIC SC Qual. Ag.	-	Bui SIGN SIGN		Date 08/29/200 08/29/200	1	Stat ON R ON R	OLL
Owner's Name/Address		MAP: Improved Public Improvem	X Vacant	Descrip	lue Estima	ontage Depth Fron	ctors *	Adj. Reas	on	Value
Tax Description *BALANCE OF LEGAL ON FIL SEC 32 T3N R4E COMM AT SE COR SEC 32 TH S88°51'34'W 903.41 FT TO POB. TH S88°51'34'W 769.27 FT; TH N00°57'35'W 1256.16 FT; TH N88°51'38''E 71.90 FT; TH N01°08'22''W 15.62 FT; TH 27.59 FT ALG TH ARC OF A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH RADIUS (56.00 FT, A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 28°13'35'', AND A CHORD WHICH BEARS N71-°06'42''E 27 FT; TH 27.32 FT ALG THE CURVE TO THE RIGHT, WITH A RADIUS OF 49.00 FT, A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 31°56'34'', AND A CHORD WHICH BEARS N72°58'12''E 26.97 FT; TH N 88°56'29''E 643.30 FT; TH S01°03'31''E 1286.57 FT TO POB. CONT 22.637 AC. SUBJ TO ANY EASE OR RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD. SPLIT ON 4/21/2025 INTO 4706-32-400-015 4706-32-400-016, 4706-32-400-017, 4706- -400-018; Comments/Influences		Curb Street L Standard Undergro Topograp Site X Level Rolling Low High	oad ad wer Utilities und Utils. hy of	22.64 1	otal Acres	5	Ťotal	Est. Land	Value = 5	53,583
			nt ain		Lanc Value Tentative (Value	Assessed Value Tentative 0	Board of Review	Other	Taxabl Value entativ

Real Estate Summary Sheet

Information herein deemed reliable but not guaranteed

Parcel: Owner's Name: Property Address			Current Class Previous Clas Gov. Unit: MAP School: Neighborhood:	s 402 RESIDENTIAL-VACANT 4706 HOWELL TOWNSHIP 47070 HOWELL PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Liber/Page: Split: Public Impr.: Topography:	2022R-002674 04/21/2025 Paved Road Level	Created: 04/21/2025 Active: Active	Kerginber noor i	
Mailing Address:		Description:		
MASON AND BURKHA 29350 WOODWARD A ROYAL OAK, MI 48	AVE	FT; TH N00°57'35"W 1256.16 ALG THE ARC OF A CURVE TO T A CHORD WHICH BEARS N71-°06 RADIUS OF 49.00 FT, A CENTR FT; TH N 88°56'29"E 643.30	FT; TH N88°51'38"E 7 HE LEFT WITH RADIUS O '42"E 27.31 FT; TH 27 AL ANGLE OF 31°56'34" FT; TH S01°03'31"E 12 ORD. SPLIT ON 4/21/20	4"W 903.41 FT TO POB. TH S88°51'34"W 769.27 1.90 FT; TH N01'08'22"W 15.62 FT; TH 27.59 FT F 56.00 FT, A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 28°13'35", AND .32 FT ALG THE CURVE TO THE RIGHT, WITH A , AND A CHORD WHICH BEARS N72'58'12"E 26.97 86.57 FT TO POB. CONT 22.637 AC. SUBJ TO ANY 25 INTO 4706-32-400-015, 4706-32-400-016,
Most Recent sold on 1/7/2022 Terms of Sale:		HOWELL TOWNSHIP	Liber/Page:	2022r-002674
Most Recent	: Permit In	formation ———		

None Found Physical Property Characteristics 2026 S.E.V.: Tentative 2026 Taxable: Tentative Lot Dimensions: 2025 S.E.V.: 0 2025 Taxable: 0 Acreage: 22.64 Zoning: Land Value: Tentative Frontage: 0.0 PRE: 0.000 Qualified Ag. Land Impr. Value: Tentative Average Depth: 0.0 Improvement Data -None Tax Information

8F

Howell Township Human Resources Committee Meeting Date April 24, 2025 4:00 pm

Attending: Mike Coddington, Sue Daus, Brent Kilpela, Jonathan Hohenstein

Deputy Zoning Administrator and Deputy Assessor Duties

Discussion on the on-going projects in the Assessing Department and in the Zoning Department and the amount of time needed to complete the work due to the Township's on-going growth. In order to dedicate the time needed to these tasks the HR Committee is recommending that Deputy Assessor Carol Makushik's time be dedicated to only the Assessing work and to add the Deputy Zoning Administrator responsibilities to Marnie Hebert. Assessor Brent Kilpela recommended a wage change for the Assessing work to \$28.00/hour. It was recommended that Marnie sign up for the Citizen Planner class from MSU Extension and seek other educational opportunities in the future. The Deputy Zoning Administrator will be compensated at \$27.61/hour upon completion of the class and starting July 1st, 2025. The change in duties will be effective immediately and Brent will work on making changes to the budget for these items.

Respectfully submitted, Jonathan Hohenstein

8G

HOWELL TOWNSHIP RESOLUTION OF REPRIMAND AND CENSURE OF TRUSTEE BOB WILSON

Resolution Number 5.25.

At a regular meeting of the Howell Township Board, Livingston County, Michigan, held at the

Township Hall, 3525 Byron Road, Howell Michigan, on the 12th day of May 2025 at 6:30 p.m.,

Eastern Daylight Time.

Present:

Absent:

The following resolution was offered by _____ and supported by _____:

WHEREAS, Bob Wilson, was duly elected to serve as a trustee of the Howell Township Board ("Board");

WHEREAS, Trustee Wilson, in assuming public office, assumed the obligation to uphold the laws of the State of Michigan, the policies and ordinances of Howell Township, and the state and local ethical standards expected of public officials;

WHEREAS, Trustee Wilson, in his capacity as a Trustee, has the responsibility to conduct himself in a manner reflecting integrity, respect, and accountability for the operations of local government and the public's trust;

WHEREAS, Trustee Wilson has engaged in conduct inconsistent with these expectations and deemed inappropriate and not in alignment with the Township's standards and ethical guidelines;

WHEREAS, Trustee Wilson's conduct has impaired the effective functions of the Board, general staff morale, and undermined public trust in the government of Howell Township;

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the actions and decisions made by Trustee Wilson in the execution of his duties and found numerous discrepancies and violations of his fiduciary duties to his elected office that warrant formal censure;

WHEREAS, the actions of Trustee Wilson, which are inconsistent with the expectations and responsibilities of his office, include:

1. On or about February 10th through the 13th, of 2025, Trustee Wilson filed six (6) Ordinance violation complaints with the Township Ordinance Officer. Trustee Wilson requested to file these complaints in secret (anonymously) so he would not be identified as the complainant. Several of these residents appear to have had no prior contact with Trustee Wilson and appear to have been targeted by him at random. One victim of these complaints was a neighbor of Trustee Wilson's and had previously spoken out against Trustee Wilson's abhorrent past behavior. This resident appeared to have been targeted by Trustee Wilson for exercising that right, and part of the complaint attempted to prohibit that neighbor, a resident of the Township for over 50 years, from owning a tractor on his property.

Trustee Wilson's complaints against other unsuspecting residents appear to have been solely used to weaponize the Township Ordinances in an attempt to further Trustee Wilson's personal beliefs and misguided defense of another Township Board member who was later found to be responsible for violating the Township's Home Occupation Ordinance in the 53rd District Court. These "anonymous", secret Ordinance complaints filed by Trustee Wilson, an elected Township Official, created the very real possibility of hostilities between Township neighbors where none existed.

- 2. At a Special Planning Commission Meeting on February 11, 2025, Trustee Wilson, an elected Township Official, maliciously slandered the Planning Commissioners during a call to the public by accusing them of "being rogue as hell" among other derogatory statements. This slander was presumably because Trustee Wilson disagreed with the Planning Commission's well researched and discussed findings concerning detached Accessory Dwelling Units. Trustee Wilson was strongly in support of having detached Accessory Dwelling Units as rentals allowed and had been heard expressing his personal desire to have one in his own backyard as a source of income.
- 3. On February 25, 2025, at a second Howell Township Planning Commission meeting, Trustee Wilson again slandered the Planning Commissioners by accusing them of being "Dictators." This was again at the call to the public and was again referring to the Accessory Dwelling Units issue. It should be noted that as a sitting Township Board Member, Trustee Wilson plays a role in appointing Planning Commission members.
- 4. At a Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals meeting on April 15, 2025, Trustee Wilson slandered another Township Board Representative performing his duties by calling him "Mr. Corruption" and stating to him, "you're so corrupt" with absolutely no basis.
- 5. On April 22, 2025, at a Howell Township Planning Commission meeting, Trustee Wilson, while recording the meeting from his chair in the audience, focused his recording on the posterior of an applicant addressing the Planning Commission. Trustee Wilson later posted a still photograph of his posterior video on local Facebook social media pages with the phrase "PSA. Never trust a fart". This behavior by an elected official, targeting a random business applicant of the Township, is juvenile and unprofessional, and has no place in Township governance.
- 6. On or about April 23, 2025, Trustee Wilson authored a public Facebook post in which he released information he was not lawfully authorized to disclose.

- 7. Trustee Wilson has attended Township Board meetings with the smell of marijuana on or about his person, indicating recent use. A Board member or Township staff member smelling of intoxicating substance(s) at a Public Meeting would not and should not be tolerated.
- 8. In November of 2024, Trustee Wilson started a social media thread suggesting donating municipality millage collected funds (taxpayer dollars) to a non-profit organization. After being advised very specifically that it was most likely illegal for this to happen and that the penalty in Michigan was a felony, Trustee Wilson responded, "Rules can be bent and changed". This is a gross violation of his fiduciary duty, Township's Ethic policy, and should be against any elected official's personal code of conduct.
- 9. Trustee Wilson has a long history of unprofessional conduct, disrespect and campaigning for personal causes and not those in the best interest of the Township as a whole. Trustee Wilson often fails to understand or educate himself on basic concepts that are essential to his effective role as a Trustee, such as the difference between net and gross, and often immediately claims corruption for his failure to understand or accuses others of being untruthful. Trustee Wilson consistently then presents this misinformation as facts to the public.
- 10. Trustee Wilson's past actions and threats, which include death threats, to Township Board members and Staff has resulted in numerous law enforcement complaints and the necessity of having an armed law enforcement Officer present for Township Board Meetings for safety reasons, this is at considerable expense to the Township. Township Staff have explored the need for security measures within their workplace due to Trustee Wilson's actions.

WHEREAS, in November of 2024, the Township's Ethics policy was reviewed and updated with the attached resolutions which were adopted unanimously, and which applied to Trustee Wilson's conduct. Trustee Wilson has violated numerous sections of the Ethics policy that he pledged to uphold. The Township Employee Code of Conduct is attached. A review of what is expected from Township employees should be the minimum expected of an elected official.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Howell Township Board hereby issues this formal reprimand to Trustee Wilson, formally censuring him for his aforementioned conduct.

WHEREAS, the Board hereby expresses its strong disapproval of Trustee Wilson's behavior and calls upon him to adhere to the highest standards of conduct and ethics moving forward;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board provides this censure as a formal reprimand and reminder of the standards of all township officials, and notes that further inappropriate conduct may result in additional actions, up to and including removal from office by the Governor of the State of Michigan as per the applicable laws and regulations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board expects Trustee Wilson to refrain from conduct that disrupts the business of Howell Township, violates ethics policy, or erodes the trust of the public.

Yeas:

Nays:

RESOLUTION DECLARED _____

STATE OF MICHIGAN)) ss COUNTY OF LIVINGSTON)

I, Sue Daus, Clerk of Howell Township, hereby certify this to be a true and complete copy of this resolution, duly adopted on this day at a regular meeting of the Township Board.

Sue Daus, Howell Township Clerk

Ethical Standard – Fiduciary Duties

- Township board members are agents of their township. Accordingly, they are trusted to carry out public functions for the good of the public and to promote a township's interests, not their own. *People ex rel Plugger v Overyssel Tp Bd*, 11 Mich 222, 226 (1863).
- The Court of Appeals in *Township of Kochville* discussed township board members as fiduciaries of a township. *Dept of Transp v Twp of Kochville*, 261 Mich App 399, 403; 682 NW2d 553 (2004).
- "Fiduciary" Someone who is required to act for the benefit of an organization on all matters and owes a duty of good faith, loyalty, care, and disclosure.
 - Duty of Good Faith Faithfulness towards one's obligation as a township board member.
 - Duty of Loyalty Acting in the interests and advances the interests of your township (not yourself).
 - Duty of Care Making reasoned decisions as a township board member.
 - Duty of Disclosure Duty to reveal relevant information (e.g., potential conflict of interest or financial interest) to township before making a decision, if applicable.
 - Definitions adopted for township officials from terms in Blacks Law Dictionary (11th ed. 2019).

HOWELL TOWNSHIP ETHICS POLICY

Adopted November 10, 2014

Public Office is a public trust to be used solely to advance the public interest. Decisions should be made on the merits and based on objective judgment. Public officials must be accountable for their actions. All actions are considered public. You are no longer a private citizen once you take on an elected or appointed role in the Township. Avoid conduct which could create an appearance of impropriety.

- In conducting their official duties, township officials and employees shall observe both the spirit and intent of all applicable laws, township ordinances, and township policies and procedures. Township officials shall act in a fair, impartial manner.
- Actions of officials and employees shall be consistent with the township's best interest, rather than for personal gain.
- The township shall practice transparency in its affairs, unless there is a legal necessity for confidentiality.
- Civility and respect will be demonstrated in all governance processes and in the delivering township programs and services.
- Honor and respect democratic principles by observing not only the letter of the law but also its spirit.

Several Public Acts guide Public Ethics and are noted below. We have elaborated where necessary in *italics*: PA196 of 1973-Standards of Conduct for Public Officers and Employees

A public officer or employee shall not:

- Divulge confidential information- A public servant shall not disclose any confidential information, without prior formal authorization of the public body having jurisdiction, concerning any township official or employee, or any other person.
- Represent own opinion as government's opinion.
- Use government personnel property or funds for personal gain. Public officials must use public assets for authorized purposes only, and not for personal or political benefit, or for the political benefit of someone else. Political activity should not be permitted under any circumstances during business hours.

- Solicit or accept a gift, loan or thing of value tending to influence performance of official duties.
- Engage in business transactions in which he or she may profit from official position or confidential information.
- Accept employment or render services in conflict with official duties.
 - A public servant shall not engage in private employment with, or render services for, any private person who has business transactions with the township, without first making a full public disclosure of the nature and extent of such employment.
 - There are standards governing an official holding more than one public office at the same time, and they are found in the Incompatible Public Offices Act (IPOA) 1978 PA566 MCL 15.181 es seq. Section 1 (b) of the Act defines "incompatible offices as public offices held by a public official which, when the official is performing the duties of any of the public offices, result in any of the following with respect to said offices: The subordination of one public office to another.

Adopted at a regular township board meeting November 10, 2014 by unanimous vote.

HOWELL TOWNSHIP LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN RESOLUTION OF PRINCIPLES OF TOWNSHIP GOVERNANCE EXCELLENCE RESOLUTION No. 11.24.547

At the regular meeting of the Howell Township Board, County of Livingston, State of Michigan, held at the Howell Township Hall, 3525 Byron Road, Howell, MI 48855 on November 4, 2024 at 6:30 pm.

Present: Coddington, Daus, Hohenstein, Counts, Melton, Wilson

Absent: Smith

The following resolution was offered by Counts and supported by Melton:

WHEREAS, the Howell Township Board adopts the Principles of Township Governance Excellence. To maintain the high standards and traditions of Michigan townships, the Howell Township Board adopts the following dynamic principles of governance excellence to guide our stewardship, deliberations, constituent services and commitment to safeguard our community's health, safety and general welfare.

WHEREAS, the Howell Township Board pledges to:

- Insist on the highest standards of ethical conduct by all who act on behalf of this township.
- Bring credit, honor and dignity to our public offices through collegial board deliberations and through diligent, appropriate responses to constituent concerns.
- Actively pursue education and knowledge and embrace best practices.
- Treat all people with dignity, respect and impartiality; without prejudice or discrimination.
- Practice openness and transparency in our decisions and actions.
- Cooperate in all reasonable ways with other government entities and consider the impact our decisions may have outside our Township's borders.
- Communicate to the public Township issues, challenges and successes, and welcome the active involvement of stakeholders to further the Township's wellbeing.
- Strive for compliance with state and federal statutory requirements.
- Refuse to participate in any decisions or activities for personal gain, at the expense of the best interests of the Township.
- Further the understanding of the obligations and responsibilities of American citizenship, democratic government and freedom.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Township Board Adopts the Principles of Township Governance Excellence.

Yeas: Coddington, Counts, Wilson, Daus, Hohenstein, Melton

Nays:

RESOLUTION DELCARED Adopted

)

STATE OF MICHIGAN

) ss COUNTY OF LIVINGSTON)

I, Sue Daus, Clerk of Howell Township, hereby certify this to be a true and complete copy of this resolution, duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Township Board.

Sue Daus, Howell Township Clerk

HOWELL TOWNSHIP LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN **RESOLUTION OF TOWNSHIP BOARD MEMBER CODE OF CONDUCT** RESOLUTION No. 11.24.548

At the regular meeting of the Howell Township Board, County of Livingston, State of Michigan, held at the Howell Township Hall, 3525 Byron Road, Howell, MI 48855 on November 4, 2024 at 6:30 pm.

Present: Coddington, Daus, Hohenstein, Counts, Melton, Wilson

Absent: Smith

The following resolution was offered by Counts and supported by Hohenstein:

WHEREAS, the Howell Township Board adopts the Howell Township Board Member Code of Conduct.

WHEREAS, Board members shall:

- o Attend as many Board meetings as possible and become informed concerning issues to be discussed and shall inform the Supervisor of any impending absences from a Board meeting.
- o Exercise his or her obligation to vote upon the question unless a conflict of interest is present.
- o Adopt policy only after full discussion of the issues at public Board meetings.
- o Encourage the free expression of opinion by all Board members and seek systematic communication between the Board and the community.
- o Work with other Board members to establish effective policy and to delegate authority for the administration of the Township to Township staff.
- o Communicate with other Board members and the Supervisor to manage the public reaction to Board policy and Township programs.
- o Become informed about current Township government issues by individual study and through participation in programs providing needed information, such as those sponsored by the Michigan Townships Association.
- o Support the employment of those people best qualified to serve as Township staff and insist on a regular impartial evaluation of all staff.
- o Avoid being placed in a position of conflict of interest and refrain from using the Board position for personal or partisan gain; and take no action that will compromise the Board or the Township staff and respect the confidentiality of information that is privileged under applicable law.
- NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Township Board Adopts the Principles of Township Board Member Code of Conduct. Yeas: Melton, Wilson, Counts, Coddington, Daus, Hohenstein

Nays:

RESOLUTION DELCARED Adopted

STATE OF MICHIGAN

) SS COUNTY OF LIVINGSTON

ue Daus, Clerk of Howell Township, hereby certify this to be a true and complete copy of this resolution, duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Township Board.

Sue Daus, Howell Township Clerk

7.0 CODE OF CONDUCT

Employees of Howell Township work and provide services for the public. It is extremely important that they conduct themselves at all times in a manner that will reflect credit upon themselves and the Township, provide for the protection and well-being of all Township employees and the general public, and ensure an orderly, efficient and productive workplace. The following Code of Conduct is provided so that you will know what is expected of you. Before an employee receives a disciplinary suspension or is discharged, the employee will be given written or oral notice of the basis for the proposed disciplinary action, and an opportunity to present his or her position. The Township may place an employee on an immediate or investigatory suspension, with or without pay, pending a final determination. This action is not a disciplinary suspension unless it is subsequently stated as such. If the investigation does not result in disciplinary action, the employee will be returned to work and paid for all lost time. If an employee is disciplined, he/she may use the dispute resolution procedure described in this Manual.

1)

Because each instance can differ, the Township retains the right to treat each occurrence on its individual merits and without creating a precedent for the treatment of any other case that may arise in the future.

No Code of Conduct could cover all possible acts of improper behavior; therefore, each employee must exercise good judgment for proper and mature behavior. Any employee who engages in any job related misconduct, although the conduct is not specifically named in the Code of Conduct, may be disciplined or discharged. At its discretion, the Township may administer discipline in a progressive manner, including a verbal reprimand (and with a supporting memorandum placed in the employee's personnel file), written reprimand, suspension with or without pay, and discharge. Because you are a public employee and occupy a special status in the work force, arrest or conviction for conduct occurring outside working hours, or any other off-duty involvement in inappropriate or unprofessional conduct or behavior which could reflect negatively on the Township or its reputation in the community, may result in suspension and/or disciplinary action.

Nothing in this section should be construed as in any way altering an employee's at-will status. Both the employee and the Township are free, at any time, with or without notice and with or without cause, to terminate the employment relationship.

The Code of Conduct and policies contained in the Manual are neither intended to conflict with, nor will they be applied to limit, an employee's rights under any federal and/or state employment and labor law, including the right to organize or to discuss terms and conditions of employment.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of actions which may result in immediate discharge:

- a) Violation of specific department work rules, policies or procedures, or the rules, policies and procedures set forth in this Manual.
- b) Stealing Township or another employee's property or the property of a vendor, citizen or other non-Township employee.
- c) Refusal to do an assigned job with respect to one's employment.
- d) Sleeping while on duty except as authorized by immediate supervisor.
- e) Excessive absenteeism or tardiness including late return from breaks and lunch period.
- f) Careless conduct or neglect of safety rules and procedures.

- g) Leaving work without permission from immediate supervisor.
- h) Fighting or gambling while on duty.
- i) Carrying weapons on duty without specific authorization from immediate supervisor.
- j) Negligent or careless use of Township equipment.
- k) Discourtesy to the public.
- 1) Falsifying, and/or failing to accurately complete, employment records, employment information or other Township records.
- m) Using abusive language towards another employee, or towards citizens, vendors and other non-Township employees.
- n) Conviction of a felony.
- o) Rude or inappropriate behavior to or harassment of employees, supervisors or citizens, vendors or other third parties.
- p) Instigating, aiding or participating in any strike, work stoppage or work slowdown.

Howell Township Treasurer

From:
Sent:
То:
Subject:

Bob Wilson Friday, February 14, 2025 5:15 PM Howell Township Treasurer Re: Violations

The addresses appear correct to my recollection. Thank you. Looking forward to making it fair for everyone in the township. We have a lot of work to do.

From: Howell Township Treasurer <treasurer@howelltownshipmi.org> Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 5:04 PM To: Bob Wilson Subject: RE: Violations

Bob, The list as I have it is: 3353 Bowen 3408 Cheryl 3750 Bowen 2900 Brewer 3710 Bowen 222 Bain

Are those all correct?

Thanks, Jonathan

Howell Township Treasurer Howell Township Zoning Administrator treasurer@howelltownshipmi.org 517-546-2817

From: Bob Wilson

Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 4:52 PM To: Howell Township Treasurer <treasurer@howelltownshipmi.org> Subject: Re: Violations

These are not the only 2. Harold Melton junk cars, camper and junk in yard was one. 2900 Brewer farm tractor on less than 2 acres and junk in yard was one as well Chuck camper in driveway again in front of home. Bowen rd The other landscape company on Bowen rd as well. 2750 Bowen? Business at 3710 Bowen plumbing company. 222 Bain, construction business in front yard and camper in driveway.

6 total complaints to confirm.
Howell Twp. Board 2-12-2024

E. Walking Path Maintenance Proposal

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed the walking path behind the Township Hall and using an herblcide to keep the path clear. It was the consensus of the Board to get a quote on a child-safe, pet-safe product.

F. METRO Act Extension Request - ACD.net

Treasurer Hohenstein discussed the METRO Act extension request from ACD.net. Motion by Hohenstein, Second by Melton, "To accept METRO Act right-of way permit extension from ACD.net as presented." Motion carried.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

Spoke on road improvements

front of his house, what is a safe speed to travel down a bumpy gravel road, how fast Bob Wilson drives down Brewer Road and other actions by Mr. Wilson when he drives on Brewer Road, enforcing the nuisance ordinance, how long Mr. Wilson's lawn is, about Mr. Wilson's Christmas lights on his roof and what it spells, Mr. Wilson shooting at all times of the night, Mr. Wilson's other noise ordinance violations including running engines with no muffler and putting speakers in his yard and playing music and sounds at a high volume all day and night.

At the second and the second second and the second and the second s

Merriam- Webster Dictionary Th	aurus rogue X Q Games Word of the Da
Dictionary	rogue 2 of 3 noun
Definition	1 : a dishonest or worthless person : SCOUNDREL
adjective	2 : a mischievous person : SCAMP
verb	3 : VAGRANT, TRAMP
Synonyms	4 : a horse inclined to shirk or misbehave
Example Sentences	5 : an individual exhibiting a chance and usually inferior bi
Word History Phrases Containing Rhymes Entries Near	roguish (rō-gish •) adjective roguishly adverb roguishness noun

· · ·

a . . .

の教授書で

Excerpt Social media post from Trustee Wilson on or about 23 April 2025. Release of Confidential Information. eyes. If he really did loose, why did we vote whether or not to change the twp ordinance to make it more clear on at home business's. The present ordinance says that at home work shops are allowed. They have already spent over 18k on attorney fee's fighting him and voted to spend another \$7500 of our tax dollars to file an appeal with costs for everything else in court/ phone calls/ paperwork etc. So, little over \$26,000 so far, I am going to guess its going to cost way over

NOV. 2024 NexTROOF



NOV. 2024 NEAT DOUG



Township Board Ethics

October 24, 2024

During Trustee Bob Wilson's two years on the Township Board he has displayed on numerous occasions inappropriate behavior, language, and actions unbecoming of a Township Trustee.

At the September 9, 2024 Board meeting Trustee Wilson wrote a wholly unacceptable note about the Township Clerk, displayed this note to the crowd, and left it at his Board seat for Township staff to find. This behavior is appalling. Due to the content of Trustee Wilson's note it cannot be included in the Township Board packet, but a copy will be provided to the Board members.

At the October 7, 2024 Board meeting, during the call to the public, Trustee Wilson's conduct was not only unacceptable as a member of the Township Board it was in direct violation of the Township's ethics policy. Treating a member of the public in the manner Trustee Wilson did by yelling and screaming over a citizen's comments during the period in the meeting where the public gets an opportunity to address the Township Board and giving his middle finger during this interaction is beyond belief.

These are just a few of the most recent examples of Trustee Bob Wilson's unethical behavior. Trustee Wilson has also made false statements and allegations of Township Board members and Township staff, has suggested the Township not enforce its Zoning Ordinance for his friends and an organization that he belongs to, and has threatened Township Board members and their families. The Township has had to take actions including paying a Sheriff to attend meetings because of Trustee Wilson's threats.

The Township Board can censure members of the Board for their behavior and can petition the Governor to remove an elected official. These are extreme steps that the Board can contemplate but I wanted to make all Board members aware of the Township's ethics policy, which is attached, and propose two resolutions: Principles of Township Excellence in Governance and a Code of Conduct for Board Members. I hope the Board will see the advantage of these resolutions on behalf of the Township's residents. Should the Board see the benefit we could also work with the Township attorney to adopt an ethics ordinance, such as the model ethics ordinance from the Michigan Attorney General's Office, attached.

Respectfully submitted, Jonathan Hohenstein September 15, 2024

Howell Township Board:

I am writing this letter in response to Howell Township Trustee Bob Wilson's email to the Board for the September 9, 2024 Township Board meeting, attached to this letter, which accuses me of asking for \$8,000 annually to train my replacement while ignoring my current job, but still getting paid.

I take offense to these accusations made by Mr. Wilson. His accusations have no merit and are just his opinion not based in fact. Mr. Wilson, you seem to be on a smear campaign to everyone in this office without any factual basis.

Here are the facts: I have worked at Howell Township since 2006 and I have never asked for a raise, never asked for money to train new employees, never asked for money to take on other tasks when we were short-staffed, and I have never ignored my job responsibilities in my 18 years of service to this Township. Mr. Wilson, you know nothing about my work ethic or how I collaborate with office staff.

Even though you have been provided with all the financial documents, Mr. Wilson, and Deputy Supervisor Brent Kilpela even made time to sit down with you to talk it all through, you still do not seem to understand how we get paid. But as opposed to asking questions and gaining information and knowledge, you instead make accusations. I have seen you persistently try to bully Treasurer Jonathan Hohenstein and now you are trying to bully me. If you have questions, ask them. If you have concerns, raise them. But your bullying behavior is completely unacceptable of an elected official.

Since your accusations were made public, since you requested it as an agenda item at a public meeting, and since it was discussed at the September 9, 2024 Township Board meeting, I feel you owe me a public apology. Either in a forum open to the public, like the next Board meeting, or in a letter to be placed in the Township Board packet for all to see.

Carol Makushik Howell Township Deputy Assessor Howell Township Deputy Zoning Administrator

Howell Township Treasurer

From:	Bob Wilson >
Sent:	Wednesday, September 4, 2024 11:44 PM
То:	Howell Township Assessor; Howell Township Clerk; Howell Township Supervisor; WHMI
	News; Howell Township Treasurer
Subject:	Agenda Items for 9-9-24 Board meeting.

8-A 4. Carol in office. Few meetings ago asked for additional money, \$8K annually? to train her replacement. Is this a forever thing? Even after the training is over? Isn't the money she gets from the other 2 jobs she is ignoring at the time enough, that she gets paid for whether she is doing them or not? On another payroll note.. Please explain when the salary plus hourly pay kicks in as last yr Hohenstein collected an additional \$18k for hourly pay? What is Hohenstein's expected income to be for 2024 if his salary is 104k, what is the hourly going to be approx? and a fill a loss of the part of the state o ion ee W **ismili** ect "\ name entennen enten datager ei alterteken (d. 1999 <mark>: 1999) en en enten die ei die die die staterteken (</mark>d. 1997). all. ng sanan mangangangan sana ar pangangan pangan bi an ang dan pangan s

 terbeing cords do bonstas en Valor

10B

Park and Rec Investments						
Vehicle	Amount	Start Date	End Date	Rate		Interest Earned
90 day CD	\$100,000.00	8/27/2024	11/25/2024		1.60%	\$394.52
120 day CD	\$200,000.00	8/27/2024	12/25/2024		1.80%	\$1,183.56
180 Day CD	\$400,000.00	8/27/2024	2/23/2025		4.65%	\$9,172.60
365 Day CD	\$500,000.00	8/27/2024	8/27/2025		4.45%	
90 Day CD	\$100,000.00	11/25/2024	2/23/2025		4.40%	\$1,084.93
119 Day CD	\$200,000.00	12/30/2024	4/28/2025		4.20%	\$2,738.63
90 Day CD	\$100,000.00	2/25/2025	5/25/2025		4.20%	
119 Day CD	\$400,000.00	2/25/2025	6/23/2025		4.20%	
119 Day CD	\$200,000.00	4/28/2025	8/25/2025		4.20%	

General Fund Investments					
Vehicle	Amount	Start Date	End Date	Rate	Interest Earned
90 Day CD	\$100,000.00	8/27/2024	11/25/2024	1.60%	\$394.52
120 Day CD	\$300,000.00	8/27/2024	12/25/2024	1.80%	\$1,775.34
180 Day CD	\$700,000.00	8/27/2024	2/23/2025	4.65%	\$16,052.05
365 Day CD	\$1,900,000.00	8/27/2024	8/27/2025	4.45%	
90 Day CD	\$100,000.00	11/25/2024	2/23/2025	4.40%	\$1,084.93
119 Day CD	\$300,000.00	12/30/2024	4/28/2025	4.20%	\$4,107.95
90 Day CD	\$100,000.00	2/25/2025	5/25/2025	4.20%	
119 Day CD	\$700,000.00	2/25/2025	6/23/2025	4.20%	
119 Day CD	\$300,000.00	4/28/2025	8/25/2025	4.20%	

HOWELL TOWNSHIP

1Q25	
G2G CLOUD SOLUTIONS	
Transaction Amount	\$2,170.73
Enhanced Access Fees	\$73.61
Net Enhanced Access Fees	\$45.64
G2G CLOUD SOLUTIONS Share Back Amount	\$22.84

1Q25	
1Q25 Total Quarterly Share Back Amount	\$22.3

1Q25	Product Name	Payment Type	Quantity	Transaction Amount	Enhanced Access Fees
	DLQ PERSONAL PROPERTY - OTC	CREDIT CARD	2	\$1,116.42	\$33.36
	DOG LICENSES - OTC	CREDIT CARD	2	\$35.00	\$5.00
	GENERAL - OTC	CREDIT CARD	2	\$260.00	\$10.75
	HOWELL TWP-MHOG	CREDIT CARD	1	\$34.00	\$2.50
	SUMMER TAX - OTC	CREDIT CARD	1	\$725.31	\$22.00
	TOTAL		8	\$2,170.73	\$73.61

2Q25	
G2G CLOUD SOLUTIONS	
Transaction Amount	\$3,508.53
Enhanced Access Fees	\$119.50
Net Enhanced Access Fees	\$74.09
G2G CLOUD SOLUTIONS Share Back Amount	\$37.06
2Q25	
2Q25 Total Quarterly Share Back Amount	\$37.06

2Q25	Product Name	Payment Type	Quantity	Transaction Amount	Enhanced Access Fees
	DLQ PERSONAL PROPERTY - OTC	CREDIT CARD	1	\$50.00	\$4.00
	DOG LICENSES - OTC	CREDIT CARD	4	\$125.00	\$11.50
	GENERAL - OTC	CREDIT CARD	3	\$164.24	\$10.50
	SUMMER TAX - OTC	CREDIT CARD	1	\$279.78	\$7.93
	WINTER TAX - OTC	CREDIT CARD	5	\$2,889.51	\$85.57
	TOTAL		14	\$3,508.53	\$119.50

YTD	\$5,679.26	\$193.11

G2G CLOUD SOLUTIONS Share Back Total	\$59.90
FY2025 Total Year To Date Share Back Amount	\$59.90

10C

Howell Township 3525 Byron Road + Howell, MI 48855 Phone: (517) 546-2817 + Fax (517) 546-1483 www.howelltownshipmi.org



TO: Howell Township Board

FROM: Sue Daus, Howell Township Clerk

DATE: May 1, 2025

SUBJECT: Adobe Acrobat Pro

I am requesting the Board's approval for the purchase of Adobe Acrobat Pro software licenses for the Township Hall computers. This software is essential to manage, create and edit PDF documents efficiently, which is essential for the daily operations of our departments. The proposed purchase includes seven licenses to cover the necessary workstations for the township departments. The estimated cost for this purchase is \$1679.16 annually (\$239.88 x 7 = \$1,679.16), which includes licensing fees and taxes. I respectfully request the Board's approval to proceed with this purchase. Thank you for considering this request.

Acrobat Pro Most popular US\$239.88/yr
Edit text and images, reorder, and delete pages in a PDF
Convert PDFs and export to Word, Excel, and PowerPoint
Easily create, fill, sign, and send forms
Sign documents, request signatures, and track responses in real time
Add security and password-protect PDF files
Turn scanned documents into editable, searchable PDFs
Redact to permanently remove sensitive visible information
Compare two versions of a PDF to review all differences
Add a logo to brand your agreements and upload existing PDF forms to instantly create web forms

10D

Monthly Permit List

Commercial Land Use

Permit #	Applicant	Address	Fee Total	Const. Value
P25-058	Trish Adams Work Description: A	1475 N BURKHART RD # G-240 dding two new sinks to existing flo	\$50.00 or plan.	\$0.00
P25-060	HALSTEAD	NY ANNA 3275 COUNTY AIRPORT DRIVE	\$250.00 office buildi	\$0.00

Total Permits For Type:2Total Fees For Type:\$300.00Total Const. Value For Type:\$0.00

MHOG				
Permit #	Applicant	Address	Fee Total	Const. Value
РМНОG25-002	ANDREW JOHNSON Work Description:	675 E HIGHLAND	\$0.00	\$0.00

Total Permits For Type:	1
Total Fees For Type:	\$0.00
Total Const. Value For Type:	\$0.00

Residential Land Use

....

Permit #	Applicant	Address	Fee Total	Const. Value
P25-073	BEACH NICHOLAS Work Description: New	4706-06-300-026 home 2141 sq ft with attache	\$75.00 ed garage on a base	\$0.00 ement.
P25-056	Michael Chosid Work Description: New	1040 ADMIRAL DR mobile home installation	\$0.00	\$0.00
P25-066		E 3620 AMBER OAKS DR white vinyl poly privacy fend	\$50.00 ce - rear yard	\$0.00
P25-052		1026 AVONDALE DR mobile home installation	\$0.00	\$0.00
P25-053	Michael Chosid Work Description: New	1030 AVONDALE DR mobile home installation	\$0.00	\$0.00
P25-071		NTS 327 CIMARRON DR ishing the basement, framing vall, flooring, adding 1/2 ba		\$0.00 electrical,
Р25-055	Michael Chosid Work Description: New	1047 ELLINGTON DR mobile home installation	\$0.00	\$0.00
P25-054	Michael Chosid Work Description: New	1048 ELLINGTON DR mobile home installation	\$0.00	\$0.00

wa P25-065 wa P25-068 wa P25-046 wa P25-047 wa P25-047 wa P25-047 wa P25-048 wa P25-050 wa P25-050 wa		102 ew mobile h 104 ew mobile h 105 ew mobile h 105 ew mobile h 440 ew mobile h 442 ew mobile h 442 e-roof hous Total P Total P Total S	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 95 WARNER RD ² ON house only 08 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 12 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 70 WYNNWOOD DR	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 Type: Type: Type:	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
Wa P25-065 Wa P25-046 Wa P25-047 Wa P25-047 Wa P25-047 Wa P25-047 Wa P25-048 Wa P25-048 Wa P25-048 Wa P25-048 Wa	rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No DUSTIN rk Description: ro Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No ROOFING PD rk Description: Ro TOtal	102 ew mobile h 100 ew mobile h 103 ew mobile h 103 ew mobile h 442 ew mobile h 443 e-roof hous Total P Total P	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 95 WARNER RD 5 on house only 08 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 12 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 70 WYNNWOOD DR se and garage Permits For 7	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 Type:	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
wa P25-065 wa P25-068 wa P25-046 wa P25-049 wa P25-049 wa P25-047 wa P25-048 wa P25-074 wa P25-050 wa	rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No ROOFING AND BEYON JUSTIN rk Description: ro Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No ROOFING PD	102 ew mobile h 100 ew mobile h 102 ew mobile h ND 359 eplace roof 440 ew mobile h 442 ew mobile h	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 95 WARNER RD ² ON house only 08 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 12 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 70 WYNNWOOD DR	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
wa P25-065 wa P25-068 wa P25-046 wa P25-047 wa P25-047 wa P25-048 wa P25-074 wa P25-074 wa	rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No ROOFING AND BEYON JUSTIN rk Description: ro Michael Chosid rk Description: No	102 ew mobile h 100 ew mobile h 103 ew mobile h ND 359 eplace roof 440 ew mobile h 442	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 95 WARNER RD ² on house only 08 WILLOWBANK DR nome installation 12 WILLOWBANK DR	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
wa P25-065 wa P25-068 wa P25-046 wa P25-047 wa P25-047 wa P25-048 wa P25-048 wa	rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No ROOFING AND BEYON JUSTIN rk Description: ro Michael Chosid	102 ew mobile h 104 ew mobile h 105 ew mobile h ND 359 eplace roof 440	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 95 WARNER RD ² on house only 08 WILLOWBANK DR	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
wa P25-065 wa P25-068 wa P25-046 wa P25-057 wa P25-049 wa P25-047 wa P25-047 wa	rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No ROOFING AND BEYON JUSTIN	102 ew mobile h 100 ew mobile h 103 ew mobile h ND 359	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 95 WARNER RD	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
wa P25-065 wa P25-068 wa P25-046 wa P25-047 wa P25-047 wa	rk Description: No Michael Chosid rk Description: No Michael Chosid	102 ew mobile h 100 ew mobile h 102	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 74 RIVER LINE DR	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00
Wa P25-065 Wa P25-068 Wa P25-046 Wa P25-049 Wa P25-049	rk Description: No Michael Chosid	102 ew mobile h 100	25 RIVER LINE DR nome installation 68 RIVER LINE DR	\$0.00	
Wa P25-065 Wa P25-068 Wa P25-046 Wa P25-057 Wa		102	25 RIVER LINE DR		\$0.00
Wa P25-065 Wa P25-068 Wa P25-046 Wa		2 X 10 U		nome - detached	
Wa P25-065 Wa P25-068 Wa P25-046	SUPERIOR CUSTOM F rk Description: 12		22 RIVER LINE DR	\$50.00	\$0.00
Wa P25-065 Wa P25-068	Michael Chosid rk Description: No		20 RIVER LINE DR nome installation	\$0.00	\$0.00
Wo P25-065			24 POOLSIDE DR osmetically attached	\$75.00 d garage to existing	\$0.00 manufacture
				\$75.00 on a crawl with a 30	\$0.00 0' x 30'
P25-072		e-roof of h	nouse with no struct	\$10.00 tural changes	\$0.00
P25-061 Wo	GOTO Roofing Inc.	. 23	55 KAREN DR		
P25-059 Wo	GOTO Roofing Inc.	0' x 40' pc	ole attached with a	\$75.00 breeze way to home.	\$0.00

PWS25-047Michael Chosid1026 AVONDALE DR\$5000.00\$0.00Work Description: Sewer connection

PWS25-049	Michael Chosid	1030 AVONDALE DR	\$5000.00	\$0.00
	Work Description: Sewer co	nnection		
PWS25-057	THE SUMMIT COMPANY ANNA HALSTEAD	3275 COUNTY AIRPORT DRIVE	\$2150.00	\$0.00
	has REUs	<pre>nance 21 this building will re . Current connection fee for = \$2,150.00.</pre>	equire .43 REUs. sewer is \$5,000.	Property .43 REU
PWS25-053	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer Co	1047 ELLINGTON DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-051	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer co	1048 ELLINGTON DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-035	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer Co	1020 RIVER LINE DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-041	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer co	1025 RIVER LINE DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-037	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer co	1068 RIVER LINE DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-039	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer co	1074 RIVER LINE DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-043	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer co	4408 WILLOWBANK DR nnecction	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-045	Michael Chosid Work Description: Sewer co	4412 WILLOWBANK DR nnection	\$5000.00	\$0.00

Total Permits For Type:12Total Fees For Type:\$57150.00Total Const. Value For Type:\$0.00

Sign				
Permit #	Applicant	Address	Fee Total	Const. Value
P25-069	R. GARI SIGN	1475 N BURKHART RD	\$75.00	\$0.00
	Work Description: 6'x30)' temporary sign for Kensington	Valley Outle	ts
P25-070	R. GARI SIGN	1475 N BURKHART RD	\$75.00	\$0.00
	Work Description: 7'x40)' temporary sign for Kensington	Valley Outle	ts
P25-063	ALLIED SIGNS, INC	3419 COUNTY AIRPORT DR	\$225.00	\$0.00
	Work Description: 67" >	x 164" = 76.30 sq. ft. wall sign		
P25-064	ALLIED SIGNS, INC	3420 COUNTY AIRPORT DRIVE	\$225.00	\$0.00
	Work Description: 58" >	(199" = 80.15 sw. ft. wall sign		
	To	tal Permits For Type	:	4
		Total Fees For Type		\$600_00

Total Fees For Type:\$600.00Total Const. Value For Type:\$0.00

Water	Connection			
Permit #	Applicant	Address	Fee Total C	onst. Value
PWS25-056	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1040 ADMIRAL DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-048	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1026 AVONDALE DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-050	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1030 AVONDALE DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-058	HALSTEAD Work Description: Pe	Y ANNA 3275 COUNTY AIRPORT r Ordinance 21 this building s REUs. Current connection	will require .43 REUs	\$0.00 5. Property 0043 REU
		\$5,000 = \$2,150.00.	,	
PWS25-054	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1047 ELLINGTON DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-052	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1048 ELLINGTON DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-036	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1020 RIVER LINE DR ter connectuib	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-042	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1025 RIVER LINE DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-038	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1068 RIVER LINE DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-040	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	1074 RIVER LINE DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-044	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	4408 WILLOWBANK DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
PWS25-046	Michael Chosid Work Description: Wa	4412 WILLOWBANK DR ter connection	\$5000.00	\$0.00
	_	otal Permits For ⁻ Total Fees For ⁻ Const. Value For ⁻	Туре: \$57	12 150.00 \$0.00
		Grand Total F		650.00 53.00

Grand Total Permits: 53.00

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status
3735 PARSONS RD Complaint	O'CONNOR SEAN AND	4706-28-300-012	05/05/2025	PUBLIC - COMPL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
	e for over 6 months. The house is being	g powered by a generator.			
Comments					
5495 OAK GROVE RD	LORENZ ROBERT & TR	4706-02-401-001	05/01/2025	ANONYMOUS	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
Complaint Blighted property and Nuisan excrement and vermin.	ce . Property is in a condition and disr	epair. Accumulation of filth, g	arbage, dismantled car	s, auto parts, vegetation ove	ergrowth, decayed trees, junk, anima

Comments

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status	
1013 E MARR RD Complaint Excessive noise from const	BOUDREAU BRIAN AN ruction equipment entering and leaving t	4706-12-400-031 he property for an at home bu	04/08/2025 siness.	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE	
Comments 4.7.25 - Complaint received 4.10.25 - Site visit completed, photos attached 4.30.25 - Site visit completed, photos attached						
5800 PRESTON RD Complaint Trash and furniture left at th	BARROW JAMES A & G	4706-02-200-007	02/26/2025	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE	
3.31.25 - Site visit complet	int. Site visit completed. Letter sent to o ed, violation still present. Letter sent to o ed, violation still present. Will prepare ti	owner.				

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status
222 BAIN DR Complaint Business being run out of t	OTREMBA EMILY AND he house, camper in front yard, business tr	4706-14-401-039 rucks, building built without	02/13/2025 a permit.	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
3.4.25 - Spoke to owner RI 3.5.25 - Spoke to owner RI 3.31.25 - Site visit complet 4.1.25 - Received emails fr 4.2.25 - Received emails fr 4.3.25 - Received emails fr 4.13.25 - Received emails fr 4.15.25 - Received emails fr 4.16.25 - Received emails 4.16.25 - Received emails 4.17.25 - Received emails 4.20.25 - Received emails 4.20.25 - Received email fr 4.23.25 - Received email fr 4.23.25 - Received email fr 4.23.25 - Received email fr 4.28.25 - Received email fr 4.29.25 - Received email fr 4.29.25 - Received email fr 4.29.25 - Received email fr 4.29.25 - Received email fr 4.30.25 - Spoke with home 4.30.25 - Spoke to homeow	ted. Review completed. Letter sent to ow E violations and remediation. E violations and remediation. ted, camper parked in driveway in front ya om neighbor with photographs com neighbor with photographs rom neighbor with photographs rom neighbor with photographs rom neighbor with photographs from neighbor with photograph from neighbor with photographs from neighbor with photographs	rd. No other vehicles or trail			

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status	
3710 BOWEN RD Complaint	ORDUNA PLUMBING I	4706-21-100-013	02/13/2025	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE	
Plumbing company is bei	ng run out of a home with many vehicles a	and commercial dumpster in th	ie front yard.			
2.27.25 - Letter sent to ov 3.31.25 - Site visit compl	omplete, site visit completed					
3750 BOWEN RD	BENFORD ANDREW T	4706-21-100-028	02/13/2025	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE	
Complaint Business being run out of	the home, over 20 vehicles, trailers, and e	quipment in yard with many t	emporary buildings.			
Business being run out of the home, over 20 vehicles, trailers, and equipment in yard with many temporary buildings. Comments 2.13.25 - Complaint received 2.26.25 - Investigation complete, site visit completed 2.27.25 - Letter sent to owner 3.12.25 - Spoke to owner, plans to remediate violation 3.31.25 - Site visit completed, some clean up has occurred 4.30.25 - Site visit completed, major clean-up efforts observed. A few trailers and snow plowing equipment being stored in rear yard.						

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status	
2900 BREWER RD Complaint Broken down vehicle in from	LECHEVALIER KAYED at yard, farm tractor on a lot under 2 acre	4706-22-200-014 es.	02/13/2025	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE	
Comments 2.13.25 - Received complaint 2.14.25 - Spoke to homeowner about violations 2.19.25 - Letter sent to homeowner 2.19.25 - Homeowner provided proof of registration and insurance 2.25.25 - Spoke to homeowner and Twp. Planner RE parking 3.31.25 - Site visit completed, violations still present. Waiting on letter from Twp. Planner.						
3408 CHERYL DR	MELTON HAROLD D &	4706-14-401-029	02/10/2025	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE	
Complaint Has 3 junk cars, junk boat, junk camper, and at least 80 yards of debris scattered in his backyard.						
Comments 2.10.25 - Complaint received. 2.11.25 - Site visit completed. 2.12.25 - Letter sent to owner. 2.18.25 - Owner came into the Township and discussed the violations. The owner has agreed to a schedule to remediate the violations. 3.31.25 - Site visit completed, no visible change. 4.30.25 - Site visit completed, one vehicle no longer on site						

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status
3353 BOWEN RD Complaint Camper parked in the front	FRANTJESKOS CHARL	4706-21-400-005	02/10/2025	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
2.25.25 - Sent letter to Hom 3.31.25 - Site visit complete	d ers mer RE violation ndence from Homeowner RE violation a eowner RE remediation agreement	-	nt to owner.		
5704 CRANDALL RD Complaint	JEWETT RICHARD L &	4706-05-200-004	11/25/2024	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
A person is living in an RV	in the back of the property against Town	nship Ordinance.			
 1.27.25 - Site visit complete 2.11.25 - Requested addition 3.10.25 - January letter reture 3.11.25 - December letter reture 3.31.25 - Site visit complete 4.7.25 - Copy of letter given 4.14.25 - Spoke to homeowy 	turned unclaimed. d. New letter mailed out. a to homeowner. Spoke to homeowner - ner on the phone. Spoke to Jake at LCH he RV (Wes Gray) on the phone. Jake fi	admitted that someone is livi ID on the phone, they received	d a complaint about sev	vage being discharged onto	

141 W CDAND DIVED A		Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status
4141 W GRAND RIVER A Complaint	TONON CHIARINA S	4706-20-400-012	09/24/2024		OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
House is neglected, building ur	nsafe, junk in yard.				
Comments					
duties. 10.17.24 - Letter sent to owner 12.19.24 - No response receive 1.9.25 - Spoke to owner, is get 1.27.25 - Violation still present 3.31.25 - Site visit completed,	ed. Second letter sent to owner with ting quotes from companies to demo	tracking. olish the structures. Provided c			
5407 OAK GROVE RD	CITIZENS BANK NA	4706-02-401-008	09/10/2024	PUBLIC - EMAIL	OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE
Complaint Garbage outside on the lawn su	urrounding the house and overflowir	ng from the garage. Garbage is	attracting vermin.		
C omments 9.10.24 - Complaint received.	Site visit completed. Letter sent to	owner and to bank. t to owner and to bank.			

4.30.25 - Site visit completed, pile of rubbish is still at the road, will contact owners

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status
3265 W GRAND RIVER A	AMERICAN LEGION P	4706-28-200-010	05/21/2024		OPEN - COMPLANT RECEIVE

Complaint

Starting to add more parking on adjacent lot owned by MDOT without permits.

Comments

4.25.24 - Received call regarding work being done by American Legion. Site visit, verified work was underway. Contacted MDOT RE approval.

5.21.24 - Site visit completed, violation still present. Sent letter to American Legion.

6.18.24 - Site visit. More work has been completed including installing gravel in excavated area and a tent and fencing has been erected next to gravel area on MDOT property. Letter sent to American Legion.

8.1.24 - Site visit completed. Tent and fencing have been removed, large pile of dirt has been removed, additional gravel parking area still on MDOT property.

9.4.24 - Site visit completed. Violation still present. Posted Notice of Violation Ticket to front door, mailed a copy of the violation. Ticket #: 0202

9.4.24 - Phone conversation with Commander Laura Goldthwait. Requested letter explaining the violation and steps moving forward. Mailed to Legion, emailed to Laura, attached.

9.12.24 - Received correspondence from Legion's attorney denying all responsibility. Documents provided to Township's attorney. Township's attorney has contacted Legion's attorney. 10.8.24 - Site visit completed. Photos of Legion using the additional parking attached.

12.10.24 - Site visit completed. Christmas trees located in additional parking area and land east of building. Letter sent regarding temporary uses requiring permits.

1.27.25 - No change to property

3.31.25 - No change to property

4.30.25 - No change to property

3590 W GRAND RIVER	HASLOCK PROPERTIE	4706-28-100-024	05/06/2024	OPEN - FIRST LETTER SENT

Complaint

Zoning Violations:Outdoor storage without screening, setback issues, parking not hard surfaced, no sign permit.

Comments

5.13.24 - Violation letter to Occupant returned.

5.20.24 - Received phone call from owner. Will be preparing a site plan to take before the Planning Commission for approval.

6.20.24 - Received phone call from owner, discussed site plan requirements.

9.4.24 - Sent letter to owner RE site plan progress.

9.12.24 - Spoke to owner, Engineer has site plans almost complete. Will submit for review in the near future.

2.27.25 - Spoke to owner, Engineer will be submitting plans in the next week or two.

3.31.25 - Site visit completed, violations still present

4.30.25 - Site visit completed, violations still present

5.1.25 - Property owner turned in site plan. Currently considering if they would like to schedule a pre-conference prior to formally submitting the site plan.

Address	Owners Name	Parcel Number	Date Filed	Origin	Status
5057 WARNER RD	HARTER EDWARD H	4706-19-200-005	03/14/2022	PUBLIC/ EMAIL	OPEN - SECOND LETTER SEN
Complaint					
LARGE AMOUNT OF JUN	IK AND LITTER IN THE YARD.				

Comments

4.17.2023 THERE IS MORE JUNK NOW THEN THERE WAS LAST MARCH OF 2022 OR JANUARY OF 2023.
5.25.2023 I SPOKE WITH MR. HARTER HE IS STARTING TO CLEAN THE SITE UP, HE SAID THAT IT WILL TAKE SOME TIME TO GET IT ALL CLEANED UP. I WILL BEE CHECKING ON HIS PROGRESS EVERY FEW WEEKS TO MAKE SURE HE IS MAKING PROGRESS.
6.29.2023 SOME PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE. WILL CHECK BACK IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS.
1.9.2024 did a site vist there has been no progress made on the clean up.

1.11.2024 Finial letter sent.

3.20.24 - Site visit. No remediation of issues has taken place. Photos attached.

3.25.24 Spoke to owner. Owner is working on cleaning up the property, has dumpsters being delivered, scrap is in piles and ready to be taken to the scrap yard. Has requested 3 months to get the property cleaned up. Letter sent in confirmation of agreement. Scheduled visit for June 25th.

4.23.24 - Site visit. Violation still present. Scheduled reinspection.

5.20.24 - Site visit. Work has been started. Violation still present. Scheduled reinspection.

6.18.24 - Site visit. Violation still present, no evidence of continued clean up activity. Will reinspect on June 25th as agreed.

6.25.24 - Site visit. Minimal changes to site, violation still present. Letter sent to owner.

8.1.24 - Site visit completed. Owner still working on clean-up.

9.4.24 - Site visit completed, spoke to homeowner. Owner claims to have back of property nearly complete. Dumpster to be arriving next week, neighbors helping to remove scrap in the next few days.

10.8.24 - Site visit completed. No evidence of activity. Final violation letter sent to owner.

11.6.24 - Site visit completed. No evidence of activity. Will check property on 11.14.24 per letter.

11.14.24 - Site visit completed. No evidence of activity. Ticket number 0204 issued. Ticket mailed to homeowner 11.18.24.

12.4.24 - Spoke to homeowner. He will be completing a clean-up schedule and providing it to the Township. If the schedule is followed and clean-up of property is achieved ticket will be waived.

12.10.24 - Schedule has not been provided to Township. Site visit completed, no change.

1.27.25 - Site visit completed, no change. Schedule has not been provided to Township. Final violation letter sent to owner.

2.3.25 - Received phone call from owner's wife, owner is currently in jail. By February 24th they will contact the Township to discuss deadlines for removing the junk from the site.

Letter sent to owner to confirm same.

2.24.25 - Spoke to owner's wife.

2.28.25 - Spoke to owner's wife, came to agreement on clean up schedule. Letter on agreement sent to owner.

3.17.25 - 2.28 letter returned. Mailed out letter again.

3.21.25 - Homeowner left message stating that all scrap metal has been removed, two vehicles will be removed this week. We may stop by any time to see the progress.

3.31.25 - Site visit completed, violation still present

4.30.25 - Site visit completed, violation still present. May 4th is the clean-up deadline, will make site visit Monday May 5th to check status.

10E

Monthly Activity Report for April 2025 – Assessing Dept/Brent Kilpela

MTT UPDATE:

Howell W P Acquisition Group, LLC v Howell Township: Petitioner shared the results of their appraisal. Waiting for the 2025 appeal to be filed before settlement negotiations will begin.

SMALL CLAIMS TRIBUNAL:

No Open Appeals

ASSESSING OFFICE:

ASSESSOR: Rolled the Assessing database over to the 2026 Assessment year. Completed the back log of land divisions that happen each year when the Assessment Roll is handed over to the March Board of Review and County Equalization takes place. The current Deputy Assessor has relinquished her Zoning Administrator duties. She will be full-time in the Assessing Department for now. It will allow me to move all the Apex drawing duties to the Deputy. She will be able to take on more projects such as moving the historical record cards from the Agricultural Class to the BS&A Cloud. We have made strides to become a paperless department but there is more work to be done. The Hardship Exemption from 2010 did not pass the PA 660 audit. I have prepared an updated version that has the appropriate language and requirements.

OTHER: Attended April Wastewater Treatment Plant meeting. Completed the proposed 2025-2026 Howell Township Budget.

10H

DRAFT

HOWELL TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

3525 Byron Road Howell, MI 48855 April 22, 2025 6:30 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Wayne Williams	Chair
Robert Spaulding	Vice Chair
Mike Newstead	Secretary
Tim Boal	Board Representative
Chuck Frantjeskos	Commissioner
Matt Stanley	Commissioner
Sharon Lollio	Commissioner

Also in Attendance:

Township Planner Grayson Moore, Timothy Zimmer from Livingston Engineering, Steve Schimpke from Schafer Construction and Zoning Administrator Jonathan Hohenstein,

Chairman Williams called the meeting to order at 6:30 pm. The roll was called. Chairman Williams requested members rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:

Motion by Boal, Second by Spaulding, "To amend the current agenda and add 12A number two for the discussion of Renewable Energy." Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING MINUTES:

March 25, 2025

Motion by Boal, Second by Newstead, "To approve the minutes with a minor edit on page two paragraph A 2/3 of the way down, arborvitae." Motion carried.

Call to the Public

Bob Wilson, 2945 Brewer Rd.- Spoke on his social media survey, Zoning Administrator Hohenstein, the Shane Fagan lawsuit, and his dissatisfaction with Township's record keeping.

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS REPORT:

Minutes are not finalized and will be included in May packet

TOWNSHIP BOARD REPORT:

Draft minutes were not finalized in time to be included in the packet. Board Representative Boal gave an update of the meeting.

ORDINANCE VIOLATION REPORT:

Report in packet. Commissioner Lollio questioned 5757 Warner Rd and the process of this violation.

Scheduled Public Hearings:

A. Alisa and Marc Seyburn, PC2025-03, 4706-12-400-010 Request to rezone from Single Family Residential (SFR) to Agricultural Residential (AR). Township Planner Moore discussed the future Township Master Land Plan and the request to rezone is in alignment with the Master Plan and does not see any negative impacts in the area. Marc Seyburn discussed purchasing the property last Summer and would like to create a possible property split for two homes with animal structures to rescue larger animals. Alisa Seyburn spoke on their request to rezone in order to operate a private animal rescue sanctuary dedicated to the care and rehabilitation of neglected and abused animals. These animals will not be used for recreation or work. Their mission is to offer these animals a second chance at life. Activities conducted on property will align with intended uses under the Residential Agricultural Zoning which includes raising/care of livestock and barns to shelter the animals. Commissioner Lollio questioned if there is someone who will oversee the rescue. Vice Chair Spaulding questioned if there will be a house built on the property. Commissioner Frantjeskos questioned if there would be funding for the rescue. Board Representative Boal questioned if they have experience or if they have partnered with anyone to help. Motion by Boal, Second by Spaulding, "To open the public hearing." Motion carried.

Anthony Swarthout, 1389 E. Marr Rd.- spoke on his support for the rezoning of the property

Motion by Boal, Second by Newstead, "To close the public hearing." Motion carried. Vice chair Spaulding questioned if there was a description of Agricultural Preservation in the zoning ordinance book. Discussion followed. Motion by Boal, Second by Lollio, "To recommend to the Township Board approval of the rezoning application PC2025-03, for parcel 4706-12-400-010 to rezone from single family residential to agricultural residential based on the following findings pursuant of section 23.02 of the Howell Township ordinance under A) rezoning is consistent with the policies and uses proposed for that area in the Township Master Land Use Plan B) All uses allowed under the proposed rezoning would be compatible with other zones and used in the surrounding area C) public services and facilities or faculties would not be significantly adversely impacted by development or use allowed under the requested rezoning and D) the uses allowed under the proposed rezoning would be the findings." Motion carried.

Other Matters to be Reviewed by the Planning Commission:

A. Legal Update- Legal bulletin from Fahey Schultz Burzych Rhodes regarding Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs.)

BUSINESS ITEMS:

- A. Old Business:
 - 1. ADU Ordinance- Township Planner Moore gave an amendment update to add a new category that would be Permitted Accessory Special Uses with Conditions for an ADU, this would be a more appropriate categorization. Vice Chair Spaulding questioned if someone wanted to put an ADU on a home if they would need a Special Land Use permit and go in front of the Planning Commission, if the applicant needed a document to be filed with the register of deeds and if the wording septic should be replaced with sanitary sewer service. Discussion followed. Motion by Spaulding, Second by Boal, with a friendly amendment "To recommend the Township Board to approve proposed zoning ordinance text amendment based on the findings that it is consistent with the goals

and policies of the Master Plan that supports the public health, safety and welfare of the Township, to add to the motion making the changes to the zoning ordinance 16.23 with the change of septic in line (I) to sanitary sewer service." Motion carried.

- Renewable Energy-Cohoctah Township Supervisor will be present at the next Planning Commission meeting to discuss how they have managed Renewable Energy Zoning in their Township. Consensus was for Commissioners to submit their questions by May 13th to Chairman Williams to be addressed at the May 27th meeting.
- B. New Business:
 - Kory Leppek, PC2025-04, 4706-20-100-027, 4940 W. Grand Rive Ave., Final Site Plan Review. Township Planner Moore gave a review of the final site plan and his recommendations to be addressed by the board. Timothy Zimmer with Livingston Engineering was available to answer questions and addressed comments from previous meetings. Discussion followed. Motion by Newstead, Second by Boal, "To approve the Leppek Landscapes proposed final site plan PC2025-04 for parcel 4706-20-100-027. The commission finds that the landscape screening meets the intent of the conditional rezoning and approval is subject to the following conditions the applicant addresses the outstanding items in the Planner's report dated March 31, 2025." Motion carried.
 - 2. Agape City Church, PC2025-05, 4706-28-400-012, Vacant Grand River Ave., Preliminary Site Plan Review. Township Planner Moore gave an overview of the site plan. The applicant is proposing the construction of a 30,320 square foot worship center. This building will consist of a worship space. lobby area, preschool rooms, elementary school rooms and middle school rooms. These rooms will be used for youth activities during service. Steve Schimpke from Schafer Construction gave his overview of the proposed worship center and answered questions. Pastor Brad Tate spoke on his history as a pastor and his dedication to help the community and answered questions. Vice Chair Spaulding guestioned if they plan to keep their offices in Downtown Howell or move them to the new location. Commissioner Lollio questioned time frame of breaking ground. Board Representative Boal questioned if they had reached out to MDOT regarding the traffic in the area, if there will be two entrances/exits and if the drainage has been addressed. Motion by Spaulding, Second by Stanley, "To approve the Agape City Church proposed Preliminary Site Plan PC2025-05, for parcel 4706-28-400-012 on a newly created 10 acre parcel subject to the following conditions. Address any outstanding items in the Planner's report dated April 14, 2025, and address any outstanding items in the Engineer's report dated April 7, 2025, address any concerns from MDOT received April 15th, addressing any concerns from MHOG on their correspondence dated April 1st, 2025 and I believe there is an email from Mr. Recker in here, address any concerns from an email from Livingston County Drain Commissioner's office dated March 26, 2025 and the correspondence from Howell Area Fire Department dated April 1st, 2025." Motion carried.
 - 3. Mark Juett, PC2025-06, 4706-28-100-071, Vacant Hydraulic Dr., Preliminary Site Plan Review. Township Planner Moore gave a review of the site plan. They are requesting preliminary site plan approval for the construction of an outdoor storage facility. The site was previously rezoned from Industrial (I) to Industrial Flex Zone (IFZ) at the beginning of 2025. The development will contain storage for boats, trucks, RVs and small contractor's equipment. It is permitted if completely enclosed and screened from external visibility. Special Land Use Permit is needed per section 12.03

of the Zoning Ordinance for RV storage. There will be no employees on site. Applicant Mark Juett gave an overview and addressed previous concerns that were noted relating to the landscaping and screening of the site and answered questions. Chairman Williams questioned dumpster/ waste disposal on site and if contractors would be allowed to work on their equipment there. Board Representative Boal questioned time frame between phases, what problems were endured with their site on Rock Road, if storage containers would be allowed, cedar fences and landscaping. Commissioner Lollio questioned if there would be fuel storage tanks allowed for equipment on property. Vice Chair Spalding questioned if storage/shipping containers are allowed in the Industrial Flex (IFZ) Zoning. Board Representative Boal guestioned whether the Special Land Use Permit is needed before the Board can approve the Preliminary Site Plan. Motion by Franjeskos, Second by Newstead, "To postpone the action on Juett Outdoor storage proposed preliminary site plan PC2025-06, parcel # 4706-28-100-071, until the applicant has addressed the outstanding preliminary site plan issues identified in the Planner's report dated April 15, 2025, the Howell Area Fire Department report dated April 1st 2025 and the applicant has applied for a Special Use Permit and the storage containers permitted in the Industrial Flex Zone, I guess we would just need to get clarification on that." Motion carried.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

None

ADJOURMENT:

Motion by Newstead, **Second** by Spaulding, **"To Adjourn."** Motion carried. The meeting was adjourned at 8:04 P.M.

Date

Mike Newstead Planning Commission Secretary

Marnie Hebert Recording Secretary

I
HOWELL TOWNSHIP ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS DRAFT MINUTES: April 15,2025 3525 BYRON RD. HOWELL, MI 48855 (517-546-2817)

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ken FrengerChairmanCarol WeaverVice ChairJim McEvoySecretaryMatt CountsBoard RepAlso Present:

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Wayne Williams

PC- Rep.

Carol Makushik Deputy Zoning Administrator

Ken Frenger called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. The roll was called.

<u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA:</u> MOTION by Counts Seconded by Weaver, "To Approve the April 15, 2025, Zoning Board of Appeals Agenda" Motion carried.

<u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u>: MOTION by Counts Seconded by McEvoy, "To Approve the January 21, 2025, Zoning Board of Appeals Minutes as Presented" Motion carried.

TOWNSHIP BOARD REPORT: Counts asked for questions, none, regarding the report, question as to the alternate process for Board Member absence, Counts reviewed the procedure based on the bylaws. Understanding was imparted.

PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT: Counts asked for questions, none

OLD BUSINESS: None

NEW BUSINESS:

A. Public Hearing: PZBA2025-01, Parcel #: 4706-05-202-019, 4229 W. Allen Rd., Howell, MI 48855. Article III, Section 3.17 – Schedule of Area, Height, and Setback Regulations Article IV, Section 4.06 – Dimensional Regulations Request: Variance request to build an addition on existing house within the setback area

Staff Report

Background:

The existing house was built in 1971. The current owner purchased the property in 2018. Parcel is .223 acres and is located in the AR zoning district.



Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals Draft Minutes: 4-15-2025

Findings of Fact:

Current Zoning Ordinance regulations limit the size of parcels in the AR zoning district without access to municipal sewer and water to a minimum of 1-acre. The AR zoning district allows a maximum of 20% lot coverage. The current parcel has a lot coverage percentage, just below 15%. Setbacks for the AR zoning district under section 3.17 and 4.06 are as follows:

Front	Side	Rear
50 feet from road R.O.W.	20 feet from each side	50 feet

The setbacks of the structure from the property lines are shown in orange, and the current setbacks of the AR zoning district are shown in blue in the image below:



Zoning Ordinance Standards:

The parcel is a legal nonconformity under Section 17 of the Zoning Ordinance; it does not meet the minimum size requirements and does not meet the setback requirements of the current Zoning Ordinance. The parcel currently conforms to the lot coverage requirements under the Ordinance. However, should the applicant build an addition to the house within the setbacks, they would be limited in the square footage of the addition and would exceed the lot coverage requirement.

Under Section 22.06-B the Zoning Board of Appeals must hear and decide on matters related to non-conforming uses and structures.

Under Section 22.07 the Zoning Board of Appeals shall make a finding that the reasons set forth in the application justify the granting of the variance, and the variance is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure in the zoning district in which it is located. The Board shall further make a finding that the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Ordinance and will not be injurious or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the zoning district in which it is located.

Discussion

Bailey Hessler-Tolentino discussed the need for the addition to the home. The intent was to build vertically within the dimensions of the existing structure. Thereby not increasing the footprint of the home.

Questions from the board focused on the chimney, which was shown in the drawing, this would have changed the footprint. Bailey Hessler-Tolentino stated that this was not planned. The sole plan was to build vertically.

Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals Draft Minutes 4-15-2025

Other comments included the septic field and the small area for expansion. The comment to check with regard Department of Health regarding the septic field. Board Members expressed no issues if the building was done vertically and did not exceed the height restriction. The find was the addition would be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the ordinance.

Public input: none

Motion by Weaver Seconded by McEvoy "On PZBA2025-01, Parcel #: 4706-05-202-019, 4229 W. Allen Rd., Howell, MI 48855 for a variance to build an addition on existing house within the setback area"

Roll Call

Frenger -Yes, Weaver-Yes, McEvoy- Yes, Counts -Yes MOTION CARRIED 4-0

Other Business

A. ZBA Annual Report- no comments

Call to Public:

Bob Wilson made a comment about making the call to the public at the beginning of the meeting. Chairman stated call to public would be done after the presentation if present. Therefore, not necessary. McEvoy to review by laws on matter.

Jim McEvoy, Secretary

Adjournment: Meeting adjourned at 7:05 P.M.

Approved:	
As Presented:	
As Amended:	
As Corrected:	
Dated:	

Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals 2024 Annual Report

Introduction

The Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals is the body responsible for hearing and deciding questions that arise in the administration of the zoning ordinance, including the interpretation of the zoning maps, appeals from and review any administrative order, requirement, decision, or determination made by an administrative official or body charged with enforcement of the Zoning Ordinance.

The annual report of the ZBA increases information sharing between staff, boards, commissions, and the governing body. The report details and allows for greater anticipation of upcoming issues and priorities, providing for improved preparation and budgeting as necessary.

This report was prepared by the Howell Township Zoning Administrator.

Membership

Planning Commission		Term Expiration
Ken Frenger, Chair		12.31.2026
Carol Weaver, Vice Chair		12.31.2026
Jim McEvoy, Secretary	Reappointed 12.9.24	12.31.2027
Jeff Smith, Board Rep.		11.20.2024
Wayne Williams, PC Rep.	Reappointed to PC 12.9.24	12.31.2027
Harold Melton		11.20.2024

Zoning Board of Appeals Meetings

The ZBA met 5 times on the following dates:

February 20 April 16 May 21 August 20 September 17

Requests Considered

Date, Section	n, Request							
February 20Section 14.07Variance to allow accessory building in front of the rear line of the house								
April 16	Section 14.07	Variance to allow accessory building in front of the rear line of the house						
April 16	Section 14.35, Section 26.05	Variance to allow a detention basin in a setback area						

2024 Howell Township Zoning Board of Appeals Annual Report

May 21	Section 14.07	Variance to allow accessory building in front of the rear line of the house
August 20	Section 14.07	Variance to allow accessory building in front of the rear line of the house
Sept. 17	Section 14.07	Variance to allow accessory building in front of the rear line of the house
Sept. 17	Section 14.35	Variance to allow a detention basin in a setback area

10J

Howell Township Wastewater Treatment Plant Meeting: April 16, 2025

Attending: Greg Tatara, Jim Aulette, Sue Daus, Brent Kilpela

Please see the attached report for details on the plant operation.

Plant Doors Refurbishment Project: Security Lock Service provided a detailed quote to refurbish the dilapidated doors at the Plant. Both the quote and pictures that correspond with the quote are provided in the report. The proposed work would bring the original doors back to like new condition. These doors are original to the plant and have never been repaired or repainted. We recommend approving the Security Lock service quote to not exceed \$15,000. This amount would give them leeway to finish the job as the exact amount of labor hours is an estimate.

Recommend approval for wastewater project as discussed.

Respectfully submitted, Brent Kilpela

Howell Township Monthly Wastewater Operations Report



North Clarifier Work

April 2025

Howell Township Wastewater System Operations Report April 2025

Table of Contents

Section 1 – Plant Operation

Attachment 1.1 – Written Operations Summary Attachment 1.2 - Plant Performance Summary Attachment 1.3 – EGLE Discharge Monitoring Report for March 2025 Attachment 1.4 – Process Data Attachment 1.5 – Brighton Analytical Data Attachment 1.6 – Plant Operations Project List (More Detail in New CIP) Attachment 1.7 – New Influent Sampler Shed

Section 2 – Collection System Operation

Attachment 2.1 – Written Maintenance Summary Attachment 2.2 – Weekly Pump Station Inspection Data Attachment 2.3 – Monthly Miss Dig Log for March 2025 Attachment 2.4 – Problem Manhole Routine Cleaning Attachment 2.5 – Generator Maintenance Repair List and Approved Repair Quotes Attachment 2.6 – Station 76 after Heavy Rain

Section 3 – Repairs and Capital Improvements

Attachment 3.1 – March 2025 Capital Projects Cost and Status Summary Attachment 3.2 – Quote for Door Repairs/Replacement Attachment 3.3 – Photographs of Doors Section 1

Plant Operation

Howell Township Plant Operations

Summary for March Activities:

Wastewater Treatment: The Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) processed a total of **10.94** million gallons (MG) of wastewater in March with no permit violations.

Preventative Maintenance: All scheduled monthly preventative maintenance tasks were completed as planned. These tasks are critical to maintaining the efficient and reliable operation of the WWTP.

Clarifier Replacement: FHC is currently onsite working on the rehabilitation of the clarifier. Installation of the new mechanism is taking longer than anticipated, and the projected start-up has been rescheduled to April 22nd.

RAS Pump Start-Up: Hamlett Environmental was onsite to initiate start-up of the new Return Activated Sludge (RAS) pump. A few issues were encountered, including the need to switch the pressure sensor and integrate the temperature monitor into the control panel. UIS Instrumentation has been scheduled to complete the necessary installation.

Process Summary:

- EQ Tank
 - o Operating North Tank
 - o 5 broken gate valves
- Influent Sampler:
 - Normal Operation
- Headworks:
 - Normal Operation
 - FeCl2 Chemical Room
 - Normal Operation
- Aeration Basin:

Need to Replace broken diffuser

- Junction Chamber:
 - Normal Operation
 - RAS Building & Clarifier:
 - Clarifier Rehabilitation ongoing
 - RAS/WAS Pump Start-Up in Progress
- Sand Filters:
 - Normal Operation
- Post Aeration:
 - Normal Operation
- UV System:
 - Normal Operation
- Recycle Pump Station:
 - Normal Operation

Howell Township W	WIP
Plant Performance	Mar-25
HT WWTP Flows	
TOTAL MONTHLY EFF (MG)	10.58
TOTAL MONTHLY INF (MG)	10.94
Final Effluent Monitoring	g
INF pH	7.21
EFF pH	7.15
NF NH3-mg/L	33.92
EFF NH3-mg/L	0.29
NF PO4-mg/L	6.09
EFF PO4-mg/L	0.27
NF TSS-mg/L	250.00
EFF TSS-mg/L	5.94
NF CBOD-mg/L	185.32
EFF CBOD-mg/L	1.72
AVG.% NH3-N REMOVAL	99.15%
AVG.% TOTAL P REMOVAL	95.65%
AVG.% TSS REMOVAL	97.63%
AVG.% CBOD REMOVAL	99.07%
AVG.% OVERALL REMOVAL RATE	97.87%
Chemical Used	
Ferric Gallons	1,065
Utilities	
Gas	-9,644
Power KWH	57,440
Vater Gallons	6,331
Sludge Processing	
Gallons Wasted	365,749
Gallons Hauled	
Weather Summary	
TOTAL PRECIPITATION	3.55
AVG DAILY PRECIPITATION	0.39
IAX DAILY	0.65

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVI	RONMENTAL QUALITY:
PERMITTEE NAME:	Howell Township WWTP
MAILING ADDRESS:	3525 Byron Road
	Howell, MI 48855
FACILITY:	Howell Township WWTP
LOCATION:	1222 Packard Drive

Permit NO.

MI0055727

PARAMETER	FLOW	SUSPEND	DED SOLIDS			CBODS				AMMONIA			OTAL			TOTAL	MERCURY			Chloride	Sulfate	FECAL C	OLIFORM	pH MIN	pH MA
Dates	MGD	7 DA	Y AVG			<1.0		daily max	<0.01	7 DAY	daily max	-	<0.1									7 DAY	0=1	6.5	9.0
		mg/l	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/avg	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/avg	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/day	ng/L	lbs/day	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	mg/L	mg/L	GEO MEAN	daily MAX	SU	SU
Saturday, March 1, 2025	0.3306													*g	*g	*8	*g	*g	*g					7.06	7.06
Sunday, March 2, 2025	0.3090			9.0	23	1.7		4.4	0.30		0.8	0.22	0.6											7.05	7.05
Monday, March 3, 2025	0.3033			5.4	14	1.2		3.0	0.04		0.1	0.15	0.4										8	7.03	7.03
Tuesday, March 4, 2025	0.3216			6.0	16	1.5		4.0	0.02		0.1	0.18	0.5										4	7.19	7.19
Wednesday, March 5, 2025	0.4925			11.4	47	1.7		7.0	0.02		0.1	0.26	1.0										23	6.93	6.93
Thursday, March 6, 2025	0.3779			7.4	23	1.2		3.8	0.02		0.1	0.19	0.6										8	7.13	7.13
Friday, March 7, 2025	0.3577	7.8	25				4.4			0.2												7	4	7.15	7.15
Saturday, March 8, 2025	0.3545	7.8	25				4.4			0.2												7		7.22	7.22
Sunday, March 9, 2025	0.3503	7.5	24	7.2	21	1.8	4.6	5.3	1.26	0.8	3.7	0.19	0.5									7		7.22	7.22
Monday, March 10, 2025	0.3614	9.2	30	13.8	42	1.8	5.1	5.4	0.29	1.0	0.9	0.52	1.6									7	E	7.22	7.22
Tuesday, March 11, 2025	0.3146	8.9	29	4.6	12	1.0	4.8	2.6	0.02	1.0	0.0	0.21	0.5									7	5	7.27	7.27
Wednesday, March 12, 2025	0.3473	8.1	24	7.4	21	1.2	4.1	3.5	0.79	1.4	2.3	0.24	0.7							240	31				
Thursday, March 13, 2025	0.2911	7.5	21	4.6	11	1.2	3.9	2.9	1.13	1.9	2.7	0.23	0.6							240	51	4	1	7.31	7.31
Friday, March 14, 2025	0.3099	7.5	21				3.9			1.9	-		0.0									4	1	7.37	7.37
Saturday, March 15, 2025	0.3055	7.5	21				3.9			1.9												3	1	7.27	7.2
Sunday, March 16, 2025	0.3600	6.8	19	3.4	10	2.7	4.5	8.1	0.04	1.2	0.1	0.25	0.7									3		7.19	7.19
Monday, March 17, 2025	0.3550	4.8	13	4.2	12	2.9	5.1	8.6	1.90	2.2	5.6	0.33	1.0									3		7.06	7.06
Tuesday, March 18, 2025	0.3133	5.0	14	5.6	15	2.7	6.0	7.1	0.09	2.2	0.2	0.34	0.9									2	1	7.19	7.19
Vednesday, March 19, 2025	0.3326	4.9	13	6.6	18	1.7	6.3	4.7	0.04	1.8	0.1	0.26	0.7									3	34	7.27	7.27
Thursday, March 20, 2025	0.3360	5.0	14	5.2	15	1.6	6.6	4.5	0.03	1.2	0.1	0.20	0.6									5	16	7.00	7.00
Friday, March 21, 2025	0.3692	5.0	14			1.0	6.6	4.5	0.05	1.2	0.1	0.20	0.0									6	14	7.05	7.05
Saturday, March 22, 2025	0.3480	5.0	14				6.6			1.2												11	22	7.01	7.01
Sunday, March 23, 2025	0.3129	5.1	14	4.0	10	0.9	5.4	2.3	0.02	1.2	0.0	0.22	0.6									11		7.13	7.13
Monday, March 24, 2025	0.3070	5.1	14	4.2	11	1.5	4.5	3.8	0.02	0.1	0.1	0.22	0.6									11		7.20	7.20
Tuesday, March 25, 2025	0.3336	4.6	13	3.2	9	2.1	4.2	5.8	0.20	0.2	0.6	0.22	0.6									23	42	7.15	7.15
Wednesday, March 26, 2025	0.3288	4.0	11	3.2	9	1.8	4.3	4.9	0.02	0.2	0.0	0.26	0.8									26	57	7.12	7.12
Thursday, March 27, 2025	0.3221	3.8	10	4.2	11	2.9	5.0	7.8	0.02	0.2	0.1	0.28	0.8									43	210	7.05	7.05
Friday, March 28, 2025	0.3271	3.8	10	4.6		2.3	5.0	7.0	0.05	0.2	0.1	0.20	0.8									73	190	7.13	7.13
Saturday, March 29, 2025	0.3273	3.8	10				5.0			0.2												116	220	7.14	7.14
Sunday, March 30, 2025	0.3934	4.3	12	6.8	22	1.7	5.6	5.6	0.04	0.2	0.1	0.57	10									116		7.10	7.10
Monday, March 31, 2025	0.3831	4.1	12	3.2	10	1.0	5.5	3.2	0.04	0.2	0.1	0.32	1.9									116		7.05	7.05
		Sala Lanca	and the Real Property in	3.2	10	and a feature	5.5	3.2		IONIA	AVG	0.32	0.55									115	41	7.38	7.38
PARAMETER	FLOW	SUSPEND	ED SOLIDS			CBODS				OGEN	AVG		PHORUS				TOT	AL MERCURY				FECAL CO	LIFORM	pH MIN	pH MA
Name/Tele of Principal Executive Officer Ge	r Authorized Agent		Ecertify under penal information sumbits responsible for obta	ed herein; and ba	sed on my inquiry of	d shose introdua	it immediately				TWE OFFICER OR AUT											FROM		3/1	/2025
								Deputy D	irector:		James /	Aulette										то			1/2025

When completed mail this report to: PCS-Data Entry, MDEQ-WB, P.O. Box 30273, Lansing MI, 48909-7773

DAILY DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT

Violations NO. Parameter

Limit

X D.O. Daily MIN

mg/l	
10.3	
11.2	
10.7	
10.6	
9.8	
10.4	
10.5	
10.7	
10.7	
9.5	
10.1	
10.3	
10.3	
10.2	
9.8	
9.5	
9.3	
10.0	
9.8	
9.6	
10.2	
10.2	
10.4	
10.1	
10.2	
10.0	
10.1	
9.7	
9.8	
9.7	
 9.8	
D.O.	

Code	Description											
*A	Sampling Equipment Failure											
*В	Insufficient Flow for Sampling											
*C	Laboratory Problem/Error	-										
*D	Laboratory Results Not Received in Time for Report											
*E	This Effluent Limit Not Applicable in this Reporting Period											
*F	Not in Operation during this Reporting Period											
*G	Not Required this Reporting Period/Monitoring is Conditional											
*H	Did not sample as required by permit											
*T	Alternative to TTO Monitoring											
*w	Toxicity Test not Conducted for this Species this Reporting Period											

Process Data Report

DATE			rocess Tes	ting		Fer	ric	Clarifier Sludge Blanket	Wastings	IS RAS	Sludge Tanks				Generator		
	PO4 COMP	NH3 COMP	D.O.	Mixed Liquor	Settling	Daily Inches	Gallons	ft	GPD	GPD	1	2	3	GAS METER	KWH* 160	WATER	Hours
Saturday, March 1, 2025	0.43		10.28			4	32	1.5			6.50	6.25	6.00	9,866	30961	1402390	
Sunday, March 2, 2025	0.50	0.31	11.20			4	32	2.0	5,937		6.50	6.25	6.00	9,880	30973	1402396	
Monday, March 3, 2025	0.14		10.68		5350	4	32	1.5	5,932		6.50	6.25	6.00	9,939	30981	1402396	
Tuesday, March 4, 2025	0.17		10.61			4	32	2.0			6.50	6.25	6.25	9,961	30995	1402399	
Wednesday, March 5, 2025	0.45		9.79			4	32	6.0	8,324		6.50	6.25	6.25	9,993	31009	1402402	
Thursday, March 6, 2025	0.44		10.41			4	32	4.0	5,795		6.50	6.25	6.25	1	31024	1402492	
Friday, March 7, 2025	0.19		10.50		5880	4	32	3.0	5,808		6.50	6.25	6.25	13	31038	1402493	
Saturday, March 8, 2025	0.30		10.65			4	32	3.0	10,573		6.50	6.25	6.25	24	31055	1402495	
Sunday, March 9, 2025	0.31	1.30	10.66			4	32	2.5	11,000		6.50	6.25	6.25	33	31070	1402504	
Monday, March 10, 2025	0.48	0.29	9.49		6020	4	32	2.0	8,474		6.50	6.25	6.25	38	31078	1402510	
Tuesday, March 11, 2025	0.50		10.08			4	32	3.5	22,150		9.00	6.00	6.00	43	31092	1408227	
Wednesday, March 12, 2025	0.53	0.76	10.34			4	32	2.8	11,066		6.00	6.50	6.50	48	31104	1408228	
Thursday, March 13, 2025	0.59	1.13	10.28			4	32	3.3	11,100		6.00	6.50	6.50	55	31115	1408228	
Friday, March 14, 2025	0.61	0.50	10.22		5740	4	32	2.0	11,140		6.00	6.50	6.50	59	31129	1408233	
Saturday, March 15, 2025	0.79	0.11	9.81			4	32	2.0	11,124		6.00	6.50	6.00	61	31144	1408290	
Sunday, March 16, 2025	0.73		9.48			4	32	2.5	11,113		6.00	6.00	6.00	61	31157	1408290	
Monday, March 17, 2025	0.79	2.00	9.27		6800	6	49	2.0	11,113		6.00	6.00	6.00	68	31173	1408292	
Tuesday, March 18, 2025	0.80	0.09	9.98		5960	6	49	1.5	44,215		6.00	6.00	6.00	75	31184	1408293	
Wednesday, March 19, 2025	0.66	0.03	9.80			6	49	2.0	40,194		4.50	4.00	4.00	77	31196		
Thursday, March 20, 2025	0.44	0.03	9.55			5	41	2.0	10.925		4.50	4.00	4.00	78	31208	1408295 1408297	
Friday, March 21, 2025	0.38		10.20		5610	5	41	2.3	10,887		4.50	4.00	4.00	87	31205	1408297	
Saturday, March 22, 2025	0.20		10.18			5	41	2.0	10,717		4.50	4.00	4.00	96	31225	1408297	
Sunday, March 23, 2025	0.44	0.04	10.38			5	41	1.5	10,678		4.50	4.00	4.00	105	31255	1408298	
Monday, March 24, 2025	0.36	0.02	10.11		5520	5	41	2.0	10,956		4.50	4.00	4.00	123	31255	1408299	
Tuesday, March 25, 2025	0.41	0.20	10.23			4.5	36	1.5	10,702		4.50	4.00	4.00	123	31269		
Wednesday, March 26, 2025	0.53		10.03			4	32	2.0	10,933		4.50	4.00	4.00	158	31283	1408459	
Thursday, March 27, 2025	0.22		10.13			4	32	1.8	10,693		4.50	4.00	4.00	217		1408496	
Friday, March 28, 2025	0.51		9.70		5830	3	24	1.0	10,640		4.50	4.00	4.00		31306	1408588	
Saturday, March 29, 2025	0.63		9.80			5	41	2.0	11,699		4.50	4.00	4.00	222	31320	1408721	
Sunday, March 30, 2025	1.35		9.69					1.8	10,960		4.50	4.00	4.00	224	31332	1409035	
Monday, March 31, 2025	0.77		9.78		7380	4	32	2.3	10,901		4.50	4.00	4.00	225 227	31348	1409036	
AVG	0.50	0.49	10.11	#DIV/0!	6009	4.38	36	2	12,612		4.30	4.00	4.00		31361	1409037	
Total						132	1,065	71	365,749					-9,644	57440	6331	

Monthly Influent Report

	WEAT	THER						RAW SEWA	GE QUALITY				
	TEMP	PRECIP	Meter Total	TEMP	pH	ci	BOD ₅	Sus	Solids	тот	AL - P	N	He-N
	AIR TEMP F	Inches	INF MGD	C*	SU	mgil	LBS	mg1	LBS	mg/l	LBS	ngn	LBS
Saturday, March 1, 2025	20		0.355498	7.8	7.1								200
Sunday, March 2, 2025	19		0.343620	8.7	7.0	204	585			5.3	15.2	30.7	88.0
Monday, March 3, 2025	22		0.338630	10.3	7.0	164	463			5.0	14.1	34.6	97.7
Tuesday, March 4, 2025	38	0.50	0.475200	8.6	7.2	135	535			5.1	20.1	30.5	120.9
Wednesday, March 5, 2025	46	0.60	0.445890	9.9	7.1	131	487			4.3	16.1	30.3	112.7
Thursday, March 6, 2025	25	SNOW	0.375165	7.6	7.2	136	426			5.8	18.0	32.0	100.1
Friday, March 7, 2025	30		0.359637	8.3	7.2								100.1
Saturday, March 8, 2025	25		0.362960	7.8	7.3								
Sunday, March 9, 2025	41		0.352956	8.5	7.2	245	721			5.4	15.9	31.5	92.7
Monday, March 10, 2025	36		0.345621	8.7	7.2	177	510			6.6	19.0	34.0	98.0
Tuesday, March 11, 2025	48		0.345029	9.8	7.2	190	547			6.0	17.2	33.1	95.2
Wednesday, March 12, 2025	28		0.327031	8.2	7.3	194	529			6.5	17.6	36.1	98.5
Thursday, March 13, 2025	27		0.345975	11.7	7.1	176	508			6.0	17.2	35.3	101.9
Friday, March 14, 2025	41		0.322244	9.1	7.3						11.2	55.5	101.9
Saturday, March 15, 2025	62	0.30	0.319355	10.1	7.0								
Sunday, March 16, 2025	50	0.65	0.397470	10.4	7.1	180	597			5.2	17.3	37.4	124.0
Monday, March 17, 2025	28		0.377754	9.6	7.2	154	485			5.8	18.1	32.9	103.7
Tuesday, March 18, 2025	40		0.326523	10.0	7.3	156	425			6.7	18.2	36.9	103.7
Wednesday, March 19, 2025	50		0.324369	11.0	7.4	199	538			7.5	20.2	37.9	100.5
Thursday, March 20, 2025	42	0.20	0.339937	11.0	7.2	222	629			6.5	18.4	38.4	102.5
Friday, March 21, 2025	47	0.20	0.357065	10.6	7.1					0.5	10.4	30.4	108.9
Saturday, March 22, 2025	32		0.306450	8.6	7.2								
Sunday, March 23, 2025	31		0.332166	8.8	7.3	190	526			5.5	15.2	27.3	
Monday, March 24, 2025	32		0.302101	9.9	7.2	262	660			6.2	15.5	32.1	75.6 80.9
Tuesday, March 25, 2025	32		0.338987	10.1	7.3	194	548			8.6	24.3	37.3	105.5
Vednesday, March 26, 2025	29		0.328332	9.9	7.3	196	537			6.5	17.8	37.3	
Thursday, March 27, 2025	33		0.328853	7.8	7.4	263	721			6.8	18.7	37.1	101.6
Friday, March 28, 2025	43		0.322660	10.7	7.3					0.0	10.7	38.2	104.8
Saturday, March 29, 2025	59	0.15	0.326135	11.2	7.2								
Sunday, March 30, 2025	59	0.55	0.419737	11.7	7.2	161	564			5.4	18.8	32.6	
Monday, March 31, 2025	37	0.40	0.399044	10.4	7.3	148	493			7.6	25.3	32.6	114.1 100.2
TL		3.55	10.94								2.3.3	50.1	100.2
AVG	37.16	0.39	0.35	9.6	7.21	185.3	547.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	6.1	18.1	33.9	101.3

BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL - Howell WWTP

SAMPLE DAY	Chloride	Sulfate	FINAL EFF = 0.5 FINAL EFF	UNCORR 0.5 GRAB: UNCORR	FIELD BLANK 0.2 FIELD BLANK	0.2 METH BLAN
	mg/L	mg/L	MERCURY (ng/L)	MERCURY (ng/L)	MERCURY (ng/L)	MERCURY (ng/
03/01/25			*g	*g	*g	*g
03/02/25				3	9	9
03/03/25						
03/04/25						
03/05/25						
03/06/25						
03/07/25						
03/08/25						
03/09/25						
03/10/25						
03/11/25						
03/12/25	240	31				
03/13/25						
03/14/25						
03/15/25						
03/16/25						
03/17/25						
03/18/25						
03/19/25						
03/20/25						
03/21/25						
03/22/25						
03/23/25						
03/24/25						
03/25/25						
03/26/25						
03/27/25						
03/28/25						
03/29/25						
03/30/25						
03/31/25						

*g Not Required this Reporting Period

Howell Township WWTP Projects for 2025

Project	Notes
Replace Broken Diffusers in Aeration Basin	Waiting for the weather to warm up
Install D.O. Probe in Aeration Basin	UIS needs to Install
New UV Unit	Waiting on quote from UIS
Clarifier Startup	April 16th
Replace Influent Sampler Shed	Wind and poor construction has destroyed old shed
Replace Lights in Headworks, Blower Building and RAS Building	Need to rent man lift – get a quote from K&J, go with LED
Install Bypass line in Post Aeration Tank	Need a quote from D'Angelo
Empty and Inspect South Clarifier	This Summer
New Heater in Ferric Room or Temp Alarm in Bldg	Accutemp Quote and/or UIS
Service Exterior HVAC unit on Headworks Bldg.	???
Fix Doors on Blower Bldg., RAS Building, and Headworks	Security Lock
Fix Screens on Admin to reduce fall bug infestation	
Sand Filter Air Lift Tubes Repaired	Weld Existing Tubes and Re-install



Section 2

Collection System Operation

Howell Township Pump Stations

Summary for March Activities:

Pump Station Inspections: All pump stations were inspected on a weekly basis throughout the month of March to ensure proper operation and maintenance.

Union at Oak Grove Pump Station: We met with the Annex Group to confirm that all punch list items we provided were completed. The block heater outlet was replaced, the block heater was found to be operational, and the pump rail system was upgraded to stainless steel. Kennedy has been scheduled to install the alarm system; once complete, we will proceed with taking ownership of the station.

Problem Area Sewer Cleaning: We continued our routine cleaning of manholes in known problem areas. Included are photos of the downstream manhole near McDonald's, which has previously contributed to sewer backups at the Booze Barn on several occasions. The photo shows that the inlet from the Booze Barn was partially obstructed. This is why we perform quarterly inspections of this manhole—to help prevent future backups.

Generator Maintenance: Routine generator preventative maintenance was completed. Two generators required replacement of their primary fuel pumps—PS 67 and the portable generator. The portable unit has been repaired, and the pump at PS 67 is currently being replaced.

Cummins also recommended replacing the aging batteries at the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) and the air filter at PS 72. Both tasks were completed in-house to reduce costs

Pump Station Status: The following stations are operating under normal conditions:

- PS-70: Normal Operations
- **PS-71:** Normal Operations
- **PS-72:** Normal Operations
- PS-73: Normal Operations
- **PS-74:** Normal Operations
- **PS-75:** Normal Operations
- **PS-76:** Normal Operations
- **PS-77:** Normal Operations
- **PS-78:** Normal Operations

1

Pump Station 70 Howell Township April 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	кwн	Generator Hours	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Cleaned Transducer?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Heater On?	Blow By?	Ran Generator?	Fuel Level in Generator	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Generator Net	Comments
3/4/2024	12:55 PM	wd	5876.0	5592.2	60009	497	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	75%	15.3	14.7	167.3	7.0	2.196	2.109	510.0	0.4	
3/11/2024	2:05 PM	bc	5892.1	5608.0	60533	497	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	75%	16.1	15.8	169.2	7.0	2.284	2.242	524.0	0.3	
3/18/2024	1:35 PM	db	5907.5	5623.2	61031	497	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	75%	15.4	15.2	167.5	7.0	2.207	2.178	498.0	0.2	
3/25/2024	8:55 AM	bo	5922.0	5637.3	61563	498	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	75%	14.5	14.1	163.3	6.8	2.131	2.072	532.0	0.3	
4/1/2024	9:50 AM	db	5937.4	5652.0	62099	498	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	75%	15.4	14.7	168.9	7.0	2.188	2.089	536.0	0.2	
4/8/2024	1:54 PM	sl	5956.2	5667.6	62634	498	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	3/4	18.8	15.6	172.1	7.2	2.622	2.176	535.0	0.2	
3/3/2025	10:55 AM	sl	6698.2	6401.5	82869	523	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	FULL	16.3	15.9	169.4	7.1	2.309	2.252	522.0	0.2	
3/10/2025	10:30 AM	wd	6714.0	6417.0	83373	523	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	FULL	15.8	15.5	167.6	7.0	2.263	2.220	.504.0	0.8	
3/17/2025	10:40 AM	bc	6729.6	6433.2	83827	524	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	FULL	15.6	16.2	168.2	7.0	2.226	2.312	454.0	0.3	CALCULAR CONTRACT
3/24/2025	1:15 PM	wd	6745.3	6448.9	84307	524	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	FULL	15.7	15.7	170.6	7.1	2.209	2.209	480.0	0.3	
3/31/2025	9:40 AM	JM	6760.5	6464.1	84755	524	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	FULL	15.2	15.2	164.4	6.9	2.219	2.219	448.0	0.3	MICS SERVICE
4/8/2025	10:35 AM	bc	6782.7	6486.2	85372	524	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO		NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	FULL	22.2	22.1	192.9	8.0	2.762	2.749	617.0	0.2	UDHE/SZAMES

Pump Station 71 Howell Township April 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	кwн	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Cleaned Transducer?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Odor from Carbon Cannister?	Heater On?	Blow By?	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	Y AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Comments
3/4/2024	1:20 PM	wd	5870.2	207.2	71940	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	NO	d and the	YES	NO	3.7	5.5	168.0	7.0	0.529	0.786	266.0	
3/11/2024	1:45 PM	bc	5874.2	212.8	72220	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	1	YES	NO	4.0	5.6	168.4	7.0	0.570	0.798	280.0	
3/18/2024	2:00 PM	db	5878.3	218.8	72508	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	States and	YES	NO	4.1	6.0	168.3	7.0	0.585	0.856	288.0	
3/25/2024	9:30 AM	bo	5882.9	223.3	72805	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.6	4.5	163.5	6.8	0.675	0.661	297.0	And a start of the Art. A.
4/1/2024	9:10 AM	db	5887.8	227.5	73097	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.9	4.2	167.7	7.0	0.701	0.601	292.0	
4/8/2024	1:34 PM	sl	5892.9	231.9	73404	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	N.	YES	NO	5.1	4.4	172.4	7.2	0.710	0.613	307.0	
3/3/2025	10:38 AM	sl	6104.1	450.4	86639	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.6	4.7	168.7	7.0	0.654	0.669	308.0	
3/10/2025	10:10 AM	wd	6108.6	455.2	86941	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.5	4.8	167.5	7.0	0.645	0.688	302.0	
3/17/2025	10:15 AM	bc	6113.0	460.0	87221	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.4	4.8	168.1	7.0	0.628	0.685	280.0	
3/24/2025	12:15 PM	wd	6117.6	464.7	87514	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.6	4.7	170.0	7.1	0.649	0.664	293.0	
3/31/2025	10:10 AM	JM	6122.0	469.5	87795	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	4.4	4.8	165.9	6.9	0.636	0.694	281.0	
4/8/2025	10:15 AM	bc	6127.7	475.4	88158	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO		NO	NO		YES	NO	5.7	5.9	192.1	8.0	0.712	0.737	363.0	

Pump	Station 72
Howell	Township
Apr	il 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	кwн	Generator Hours	Fuel/Gas Read		Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Heater On?	Ran Generator?	Blow By?	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIKE / DAY PUNP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Generator Net	Fuel/Gas Net	Comments
3/4/2024	1:25 PM	wd	618.3	1550.1	78129	1255	1289	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	1.0	168.0	7.0	0.143	0.143	342.0	0.5	2.0	
3/11/2024	1:55 PM	bc	619.3	1551.1	78460	1255	1290	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	1.0	168.5	7.0	0.142	0.142	331.0	0.5	1.0	the Walter of the second
3/18/2024	2:15 PM	db	620.3	1552.2	78695	1256	1291	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	1.1	168.3	7.0	0.143	0.157	235.0	0.5	1.0	Part and a straight state
3/25/2024	9:40 AM	bo	621.3	1553.1	79018	1256	1293	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	0.9	163.4	6.8	0.147	0.132	323.0	0.7	2.0	Constant Strength Strength
4/1/2024	9:20 AM	db	622.3	1554.0	79277	1257	1294	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	0.9	167.7	7.0	0.143	0.129	259.0	0.5	1.0	Magnetic Indian Second
4/8/2024	1:42 PM	si	623.3	1555.0	79521	1257	1296	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	1.0	172.4	7.2	0.139	0.139	244.0	0.5	2.0	
3/3/2025	10:45 AM	sl	668.7	1599.1	90860	1281	1358	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.1	0.9	168.8	7.0	0.156	0.128	428.0	0.3	1.0	The second s
3/10/2025	10:20 AM	wd	669.7	1600.1	91261	1281	1360	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	1.0	167.6	7.0	0.143	0.143	401.0	0.8	2.0	
3/17/2025	10:20 AM	bc	670.6	1601.0	91559	1282	1361	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	0.9	0.9	168.0	7.0	0.129	0.129	298.0	0.3	1.0	
3/24/2025	1:00 PM	wd	671.6	1602.0	91892	1282	1362	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.0	1.0	170.7	7.1	0.141	0.141	333.0	0.3	1.0	
3/31/2025	10:05 AM	JM	672.5	1602.9	92206	1282	1363	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	0.9	0.9	165.1	6.9	0.131	0.131	314.0	0.4	1.0	
4/8/2025	10:20 AM	bc	673.8	1604.1	92609	1283	1365	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	1.3	1.2	192.2	8.0	0.162	0.150	403.0	0.8	2.0	

Pump Station 73
Howell Township
April 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	Pump 3	кwн	Generator Hours	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Odor from Carbon Cannister?	Heater	Ran Generator?	Blow By?	Fuel Level in Generator	Hours #1	Hours #2	Hours #3	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTINE / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY	AVC RUNTIME / DAY FUMP 3	KWH Net	Generator Net	Comments
4/2024	1:50 PM	wd		909.3	577.9	3601	616	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	10.2	3.8	168.0	7.0	0.000	1.457	0.543	12.0	0.4	and the second
11/2024	1:30 PM	bc		919.9	582.0	3613	617	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	10.6	4.1	167.7	7.0	0.000	1.517	0.587	12.0	0.4	
18/2024	1:35 PM	db		925.7	587.1	3625	617	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	5.8	5.1	168.1	7.0	0.000	0.828	0.728	12.0	and the second second	
/25/2024	10:00 AM	bo		929.8	591.3	3643	618	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	4.1	4.2	164.4	6.9	0.000	0.598	0.728	12.0	0.4	
4/1/2024	9:20 AM	db		934.3	596.1	3662	618	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	4.5	4.8	167.3	7.0	0.000	0.645	Contraction of the second	Company and the second	0.4	
4/8/2024	1:21 PM	SI		939.5	601.2	3682	618	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	52	51	172.0	7.0	0.000	0.726	0.688	19.0	0.4	
3/3/2025	10:15 AM	sl	1	1121.5	788.1	4071	637	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	4.9	5.2	168.4	7.0	0.000	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	0.712	20.0	0.4	
/10/2025	10:00 AM	wd		1126.7	793.4	4083	638	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	the second s	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	5.2	5.3	167.7	7.0	ALCONG DOLLARS	0.698	0.741	13.0	0.4	
17/2025	10:00 AM	bc	1	1130.9	797.6	4093	638	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	Contraction of the	YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	4.2	4.2	107.7	7.0	0.000	0.744	0.758	12.0	0.8	
/24/2025	1:35 PM	wd		1134.7	801.5	4103	639	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO		VES	NO	the state of a	nor the second	0.0	1	0.0	168.0	7.0	0.000	0.600	0.600	10.0	0.4	
3/31/2025	10:00 AM	JM	The second second	1138.8	805.6	4113	639	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO		Same and	CARLEN STATE	VCO		NO	FULL	0.0	3.8	3.9	171.6	7.1	0.000	0.532	0.546	10.0	0.4	
and the second second	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR	UNI						all and see	101000023-			and the second	NO	NO		YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	4.1	4.1	164.4	6.9	0.000	0.598	0.598	10.0	0.4	
4/8/2025	10:05 AM	bc		1146.4	813.5	4128	639	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO		YES	NO	NO	FULL	0.0	7.6	7.9	192.1	8.0	0.000	0.950	0.987	15.0	0.4	

Pump Station 74 Howell Township April 2025

Date	Time	Initials		Pump 2	Sec.	Generator Hours	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Odor from Carbon Cannister?	Heater On?	Ran Generator?	Blow By?	Fuel Level in Generator	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Generator	Comments
3/4/2024	2:00 PM	wd	109.5	124.9	254.0	2273	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	1.5	1.6	100.0	70					the second s
3/11/2024	1:05 PM	bc	111.2	126.6	259.0	2274	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	and the second	and the second				168.0	7.0	0.214	0.229	5.0	1.5	
3/18/2024	1:25 PM	db	112.9	128.3	264.0	2375	YES	YES	YES	and the second second	and the second	and the second	A COLORADO	and the second	Lace -	NO	NO	50%	1.7	1.7	167.1	7.0	0.244	0.244	5.0	0.8	
3/25/2024	and the second second		and the states	and the second second	1	and the second		and the second second	(YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	1.7	1.7	168.3	7.0	0.242	0.242	5.0	100.8	
Participation of the	10:15 AM	bo	114.4	129.7	270.0	2276	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	1.5	1.4	164.8	6.9	A CONTRACTOR OF		Same States	and the second second	and the second second
4/1/2024	9:35 AM	db	115.9	131.3	275.0	2277	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	and the second second				and the second	0.218	0.204	6.0	-99.1	
4/8/2024	1:07 PM	el	117.6	133.1	278.0	2347	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	ALL PROPERTY		1000	and the second second	NO	75%	1.5	1.6	167.3	7.0	0.215	0.229	5.0	0.8	
3/3/2025	12:11 PM	or	100.0	107.0	and the second		and the second of	and the second second	1. Carlo and a second		and the second	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	1.7	1.8	171.5	7.1	0.238	0.252	3.0	70.3	
	and the second second	sl	182.2	197.2	483.0	2417	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	2.0	2.0	170.9	7.1	0.281	- Contraction of the second	and the second	and the second second	Sector Se
3/10/2025	9:45 AM	wd	184.2	199.2	489.0	2418	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	and the second		ALC: NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF	1.1	and the second second	0.281	6.0	0.7	
3/17/2025	9:35 AM	bc	185.6	200.7	494.0	2418	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	1		TEO			50.96	2.0	2.0	165.6	6.9	0.290	0.290	6.0	0.9	
3/24/2025	1:45 PM	245	a second second	and the second second	1 - Contraction	A CONTRACTOR	The Charles of the Control of the Co	A CARDEN -	Contraction of the second	Long Street	本的 医门腔 经日本日本	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	1.4	1.5	167.8	7.0	0.200	0.214	5.0	0.6	
		wd	187.0	202.0	499.0	2419	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	1.4	1.3	172.2	72	0.195		Contraction (see	A MARSHAN AND	
3/31/2025	9:25 AM	JM	188.4	203.4	504.0	2420	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	509/				1.2		0.181	5.0	0.7	
4/8/2025	9:50 AM	bc	191.8	206.8	512.0	2421	NO	NO	YES	YES	(San Sat (San and)	ADALASSAL CA	21.358 A.22		1451-95KS-1		NO	50%	1.4	1.4	163.7	6.8	0.205	0.205	5.0	1.0	「中午や「時代時代」でなどのなどのなど。
					MITO			110	1120	125	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	3.4	3.4	192.4	8.0	0.424	0.424	8.0	0.7	

Pump Station 75 Howell Township April 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	кwн	Generator Hours	Fuel/Gas Read		Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Heater On?	Ran Generator?	Blow By?	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Generator Net	Fuel/Gas Net	Comments
3/4/2024	2:25 PM	wd	837.7	2619.3	2851	885	4086	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	3.9	4.0	167.9	7.0	0.557	0.572	5.0	0.3	2.0	
3/11/2024	12:45 PM	bc	841.8	2623.1	2856	886	4089	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.1	3.8	166.3	6.9	0.592	0.548	5.0	0.4	3.0	
3/18/2024	1:10 PM	db	846.0	2627.0	2860	886	4092	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.2	3.9	168.4	7.0	0.599	0.556	4.0	0.4	3.0	
3/25/2024	10:50 AM	bo	850.0	2630.9	2869	887	4095	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.0	3.9	165.7	6.9	0.579	0.565	9.0	0.4	3.0	
4/1/2024	10:10 AM	db	854.0	2634.8	2877	887	4098	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.0	3.9	167.3	7.0	0.574	0.559	8.0	0.4	3.0	
4/8/2024	12:47 PM	sl	858.5	2639.1	2885	887	4100	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.5	4.3	170.6	7.1	0.633	0.605	8.0	0.4	2.0	
3/3/2025	11:56 AM	sl	1061.2	2833.0	3261	907	4228	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	5.3	5.1	170.9	7.1	0.745	0.716	10.0	0.4	2.0	
3/10/2025	9:30 AM	wd	1066.4	2837.9	3271	908	4233	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	5.2	4.9	165.6	6.9	0.754	0.710	10.0	0.7	5.0	
3/17/2025	9:10 AM	bc	1071.3	2842.6	3279	908	4236	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.9	4.7	167.7	7.0	0.701	0.673	8.0	0.7		20 STOLINARY 25 BROTTON AT THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE
3/24/2025	10:45 AM	wd	1076.2	2847.2	3289	909	4238	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	4.9	4.6	169.6	7.1	0.693	0.651		0.4	3.0	
3/31/2025	9:10 AM	JM	1081.2	2851.8	3297	909	4242	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO			126 1			A		10.0	0.4	2.0	
the second second	and the second s	JIVI	11 11 11 11 11	AS REAL SUCC	and the second	10.100.000.000	1-201262	And the second second	and the second se	RADER NO.	1. S. A.S.			1.000	The second second	NO	NO	5.0	4.6	166.4	6.9	0.721	0.663	8.0	0.6	4.0	
4/8/2025	9:30 AM	bc	1088.5	2859.0	3310	910	4245	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	7.3	7.2	192.3	8.0	0.911	0.898	13.0	0.4	3.0	

Pump Station 76 Howell Township April 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	KWH	Generator Hours	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Heater On?	Ran Generator?	Blow By?	Fuel Level in Generator	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY: PUMP 2	KWH Net	Generator Net	Comments
3/4/2024	2:15 PM	wd	3245.9	2601.7	2905	592	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	FULL	5.1	5.8	167.9	7.0	0.729	0.829	240.0	0.7	
3/11/2024	12:35 PM	bc	3250.9	2607.0	3258	592	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	5.0	5.3	166.3	6.9	0.721	0.765	353.0	0.3	
3/18/2024	1:00 PM	db	3255.9	2612.4	3573	592	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	5.0	5.4	168.4	7.0	0.713	0.770	315.0	0.4	Charles of the second
3/25/2024	10:40 AM	bo	3260.7	2617.7	3944	593	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	4.8	5.3	165.7	6.9	0.695	0.768	371.0	0.3	A CONTRACTOR OF
4/1/2024	9:50 AM	db	3265.4	2623.0	4277	593	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	4.7	5.3	167.2	7.0	0.675	0.761	333.0	0.3	Contraction of the second
4/8/2024	12:37 PM	sl	3270.6	2628.9	4604	593	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	50%	5.2	5.9	170.8	7.1	0.731	0.829	327.0	0.4	
3/3/2025	11:50 AM	sl	3504.6	2876.6	15923	610	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	5.5	5.4	170.9	7.1	0.772	0.758	366.0	0.4	Construction of the state
3/10/2025	9:20 AM	wd	3509.8	2881.6	16269	611	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	5.2	5.0	165.5	6.9	0.754	0.725	346.0	0.8	
3/17/2025	9:00 AM	bc	3515.0	2886.2	16598	611	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	5.2	4.6	167.7	7.0	0.744	0.658	329.0	0.3	
3/24/2025	10:35 AM	wd	3520.0	2890.7	16938	612	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	5.0	4.5	169.6	7.1	0.708	0.637	340.0	0.4	
3/31/2025	9:05 AM	JM	3524.7	2895.0	17261	612	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	4.7	4.3	166.5	6.9	0.677	0.620	323.0	0.5	and the second
4/8/2025	9:15 AM	· bc	3531.7	2901.4	17676	613	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	75%	7.0	6.4	192.2	8.0	0.874	0.799	415.0	0.4	



Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	КМН	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Heater On?	Blow By?	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Comments
3/4/2024	2:30 PM	wd	381.8	538.0	19562	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.3	0.3	149.7	6.2	0.048	0.048	58.0	
3/11/2024	12:25 PM	bc	382.1	538.3	19628	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.3	0.3	165.9	6.9	0.043	0.043	66.0	
3/18/2024	12:55 PM	db	382.5	538.6	19684	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.4	0.3	168.5	7.0	0.057	0.043	56.0	
3/25/2024	11:00 AM	bo	382.9	538.9	19780	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.4	0.3	166.1	6.9	0.058	0.043	96.0	West and the second states of the second
4/1/2024	10:25 AM	db	383.2	539.3	19846	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.3	0.4	167.4	7.0	0.043	0.057	66.0	
4/8/2024	12:26 PM	sl	383.6	539.6	19911	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.4	0.3	170.0	7.1	0.056	0.042	65.0	and the second
2/24/2025	8:40 AM	bo	397.9	552.4	22302	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.2	0.1	141.5	5.9	0.034	0.017	77.0	Los and the property was
3/3/2025	11:27 AM	sl	398.4	552.9	22380	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.5	0.5	170.8	7.1	0.070	0.070	78.0	
3/10/2025	9:05 AM	wd	398.8	553.3	22454	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.4	0.4	165.6	6.9	0.058	0.058	74.0	
3/17/2025	8:45 AM	bc	399.2	553.6	22504	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.4	0.3	167.7	7.0	0.057	0.043	50.0	
3/24/2025	10:30 AM	wd	399.6	554.0	22567	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	0.4	0.4	169.7	7.1	0.057	0.057	63.0	
3/31/2025	9:00 AM	JM	406.2	554.3		NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	6.6	0.3	166.5	6.9	0.951	0.043	-22567.0	
4/8/2025	9:00 AM	bc	407.2	554.3	22701	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	1.0	0.0	192.0	8.0	0.125	0.000	22701.0	pump #2 tripped.

Pump Station 78 Howell Township April 2025

Date	Time	Initials	Pump 1	Pump 2	кwн	Operated Pump 1 in Hand?	Operated Pump 2 in Hand?	Quiet?	Cleaned Floats?	Tested High Level Alarm Float?	Wet Well Needs Cleaning?	Grass Needs Mowing?	Heater On?	Blow By?	Hours #1	Hours #2	HOURS SINCE LAST CHECK IN	# OF DAYS	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 1	AVG RUNTIME / DAY PUMP 2	KWH Net	Comments
3/4/2024	12:35 PM	wd	1600.6	1729.0	10305	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	9.4	9.7	167.4	7.0	1.348	1.391	335.0	
3/11/2024	2:30 PM	bc	1610.1	1738.9	10645	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	9.5	9.9	169.9	7.1	1.342	1.398	340.0	
3/18/2024	2:35 PM	db	1619.5	1748.7	10983	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	9.4	9.8	168.1	7.0	1.342	1.399	338.0	the state of the state of the
3/25/2024	8:45 AM	bo	1628.4	1758.0	11303	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	8.9	9.3	162.2	6.8	1.317	1.376	320.0	And the second second second
4/1/2024	8:40 AM	db	1637.6	1767.4	11633	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	9.2	9.4	167.9	7.0	1.315	1.344	330.0	
4/8/2024	2:12 PM	sl	1647.5	1777.6	11983	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	9.9	10.2	173.5	7.2	1.369	1.411	350.0	
3/3/2025	11:16 AM	sl	2108.4	2241.4	28942	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	10.3	10.3	169.0	7.0	1.463	1.463	355.0	
3/10/2025	11:00 AM	wd	2118.4	2251.5	29288	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	10.0	10.1	167.7	7.0	1.431	1.445	346.0	
3/17/2025	11:00 AM	bc	2128.6	2261.7	29640	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	10.2	10.2	168.0	7.0	1.457	1.457	352.0	
3/24/2025	12:00 PM	wd	2138.6	2271.8	29988	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	10.0	10.1	457.0	19.0	0.525	0.530	348.0	
3/31/2025	10:15 AM	JM	2148.2	2281.5	30322	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	9.6	9.7	-121.7	-5.1	-1.892	-1.912	334.0	Runtimes Normal, Error in Spreadsheet
4/8/2025	10:55 AM	bc	2163.6	2296.9	30830	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	15.4	15.4	192.7	8.0	1.918	1.918	508.0	

Monthly Missdig Log

				Marcl	h-25						
	Mis			-	Ма	rked					
Received	Positive Response	Marked	Cleared	Out of System		мнос	OPW	LE	G/O	OPS	нт
0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
20	16	7	9	0		2	0	0	0	5	0
21	32	8	24	0		5	0	0	3	0	0
14	11	7	4	0	1. A	6	0	0	0	0	1
91	15	10	5	0	1	6	0	0	4	0	0
48	55	13	42	0	1. 18	2	7	0	0	4	0
0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
38	41	19	22	0		6	7	0	0	6	0
91	54	28	26	0		2	14	0	0	12	0
138	43	21	122	0		2	8	0	0	9	2
118	168	16	152	0		6	0	0	5	5	0
89	75	16	59	0		9	0	0	7	0	0
6	2	2	0	0		1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
103	95	54	41	0	1.00	3	21	0	4	25	1
72	128	78	50	0		5	35	0	3	35	0
92	73	7	66	0		5	0	0	2	0	0
42	79	7	72	0		4	1	0	0	1	1
46	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
64	101	13	88	0		3	4	0	0	6	0
26	29	20	9	0	1.	8	0	0	6	3	2
52	73	18	55	0		8	3	0	3	4	0
52	57	6	51	0	1 1	1	2	0	1	2	0
68	48	8	40	0		4	1	0	1	1	1
3	2	1	1	0	1 1	1	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
55	93	23	70	0		14	0	0	9	0	0
1389 Received	1290 Positive Response	382 Marked	1008 Cleared	0 Out of System		103 мнос	103 OPW	0 LE	49 G/O	118 OPS	8 HTS
45	42	12	33	0		Tot			0/0	381	
		erage Per I			% Ma	arked to		ived			
						289					

Saturday, March 01, 2025

Date

Sunday, March 02, 2025 Monday, March 03, 2025 Tuesday, March 04, 2025 Wednesday, March 05, 2025 Thursday, March 06, 2025 Friday, March 07, 2025 Saturday, March 08, 2025 Sunday, March 09, 2025 Monday, March 10, 2025 Tuesday, March 11, 2025 Wednesday, March 12, 2025 Thursday, March 13, 2025 Friday, March 14, 2025 Saturday, March 15, 2025 Sunday, March 16, 2025 Monday, March 17, 2025 Tuesday, March 18, 2025 Wednesday, March 19, 2025 Thursday, March 20, 2025 Friday, March 21, 2025 Saturday, March 22, 2025 Sunday, March 23, 2025 Monday, March 24, 2025 Tuesday, March 25, 2025 Wednesday, March 26, 2025 Thursday, March 27, 2025 Friday, March 28, 2025 Saturday, March 29, 2025 Sunday, March 30, 2025 Monday, March 31, 2025 Total

> MHOG = MHOG Water System OPW = Oak Pointe Water System

LE = Lake Edgewood Sewer System

G/O = G/O Sewer System

OPS = Oak Pointe Sewer System

HTS = Howell Township Sewer System





ID#	DTE Location Address	Contact	MAKE	ĸw	Engine Model #	Engine SN#	FUEL	REPAIR NEEDED
WWTP	1222 Packard Drive	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Onan	400	400DFCE-821	B000068411	Diesel	Replace Batteries Due to Age
PS-70	1034 Austin Court Howell MI 48843	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Kohler	55	ROZJ	251251	Diesel	
PS-72	1009 N Burkhart Road Howell MI 48855	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Cummins	100	GGHH-5763460	E060924626	Nat Gas	Air Filter Needs to be Replaced
PS-73	1575 N Burkhart Road Howell MI 48855	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Cummins	250	DQDAA-5867826	G070078203	Diesel	
PS-74	2700 Tooley Road Howell MI 48855	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Cummins	150	DSHAA-5859796	E070063414	Diesel	
PS-75	2571 Oak Grove Road Howell MI 48855	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Cummins	185	185GFBA	HM06G112529	Nat Gas	
PS-76	3888 Oak Grove Road Howell MI 48855	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Cummins	50	DGCA-5764798	F060933259	Diesel	Fuel Primary Pump Needs Replacement & Fan Belt Needs Replacement
ortable	1222 Packard Drive - Pole Barn	Jim: 517-672-9653 jima@mhog.org	Kohler	125	125R0ZJ71	258440	Diesel	Replaced Fuel Primary Pump

Annual Generator Preventive Maintenance Field Report

Alt. Contact Greg cell: 810-623-4725 greg@mhog.org



NEW HUDSON MI BRANCH 54250 Grand River Avenue New Hudson, MI 48165-(248)573-1900

Payment terms are 30 days from invoice date unless otherwise agreed upon in writing. Remit to: Cummins Sales and Service PO Box 772639 Detroit, MI 48277-2639

INVOICE NO

ESTIMATE

PAGE 2 OF 2

0.00

TO PAY ONLINE LOGON TO customerpayment.cummins.com

BILL TO

DATE

12-MAR-2025

212305

269790

QUANTITY ORDERED

CUSTOMER NO.

REF. NO.

OSN/MSN/VIN

2

1

1

BACK ORDERED

TAX EXEMPT NUMBERS:

HOWELL TOWNSHIP 3525 BYRON RD HOWELL, MI 48855-7751

QUANTI SHIPPE

OWNER

1 48855	5-7751		ELL, MI 48855-9537 AULETTE - 517 672-9653		*** CHARGE *'	**	
CUSTO	IER ORDER NO.	DATE IN SERVICE	ENGINE MODEL	PUMP NO.	EQUIPM	IENT MAKE	
NO PO REQUIRED		03-OCT-2006	DGCA-5764798			ONAN	
8HIP VIA		FAIL DATE 10-MAR-2025	ENGINE SERIAL NO. F060933259	CPL NO. QFKIT		ENT MODEL GEN SET	
SALESPERSON TP565		PARTS DISP.	MILEAGE/HOURS 610.3 / 610.3	PUMP CODE	UNIT NO. WATER #8 DIESEL		
UANTITY SHIPPED	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PRODUCT CODE		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	
F0609	33259						
0	3963983	WASHER, SEALING	CECO		4.23	8.46	
0	FS1251	PAC, FS	FLG		23.88	23.88	
0	FF5079	FF PKG	FLG		13.95	13.95	
BERS		PART	rs:			319.99	

HOWELL TWP WATER #8

3888 OAK GROVE RD

PARTS:		319.99
PARTS COVERAGE CREDIT:		0.00 CR
TOTAL PARTS:	319.99	
SURCHARGE TOTAL:		0.00
LABOR:		540.80
LABOR COVERAGE CREDIT:		0.00CR
TOTAL LABOR:	540.80	
TRAVEL:		104.00
TRAVEL COVERAGE CREDIT:		0.00 CR
TOTAL TRAVEL:	104.00	
MISC.:		54.50
MISC. COVERAGE CREDIT:		0.00 CR
TOTAL MISC.:	54.50	
FREIGHT		15.00
ROAD MILEAGE		39.50

LOCAL

Completion date : 11-Mar-2025 11:29AM. Estimate expires : 12-Jun-2025 05:48AM.

Billing Inquiries? Call (877)480-6970			
THERE ARE ADDITIONAL CONTRACT TERMS ON DOCUMENT, INCLUDING LIMITATION ON WARRA EXPRESSLY INCORPORATED HEREIN AND WHIG BEEN READ AND FULLY UNDERSTOOD.	NTIES AND REMEDIES, WHICH ARE	SUB TOTAL: TOTAL TAX:	1,019.29 0.00
		TOTAL AMOUNT: US \$	1,019.29
AUTHORIZED BY (print name)	SIGNATURE	DATE	
james aulette	james aulette (Apr 14, 2025 09:40 EDT)	Ар	r 14, 2025



NEW HUDSON MI BRANCH 54250 Grand River Avenue New Hudson, MI 48165-(248)573-1900 Payment terms are 30 days from invoice date unless otherwise agreed upon in writing. Remit to: Cummins Sales and Service PO Box 772639 Detroit, MI 48277-2639

INVOICE NO

ESTIMATE

PAGE 1 OF 2

*** CHARGE ***

TO PAY ONLINE LOGON TO customerpayment.cummins.com

BILL TO

HOWELL TOWNSHIP 3525 BYRON RD HOWELL, MI 48855-7751 OWNER

HOWELL TWP WATER #8

HOWELL, MI 48855-9537

JIM AULETTE - 517 672-9653

3888 OAK GROVE RD

DATE	CUSTOMER ORDER NO.	DATE IN SERVICE	ENGINE MODEL	PUMP NO.	EQUIPM	IENT MAKE				
2-MAR-2025	NO PO REQUIRED	03-OCT-2006	DGCA-5764798			ONAN				
CUSTOMER NO.	SHIP VIA	FAIL DATE	ENGINE SERIAL NO.	CPL NO.	EQUIPM	ENT MODE				
212305		10-MAR-2025	F060933259	QFKIT		GEN SET				
REF. NO.	SALESPERSON	PARTS DISP.	MILEAGE/HOURS	PUMP CODE		IIT NO.				
269790	TP565		610.3 / 610.3		WATER #	8 DIESEL				
UANTITY BACK RDERED ORDERED	QUANTITY PART Shipped Number	DESCRIPTION	PRODUCT CODE		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT				
DSN/MSN/VIN	F060933259									
COMPLAINT	ESTIMATE #: S6-269 FROM PMWO #: 923									
		NNED MAINTENANCE SERVIC IE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL F E:								
	REPLACE FAILED LIFT PUMP/PRIMER PUMP.									
	REPLACE THE FAN	BELT. IT IS ORIGINAL AND ST	ARTING TO CRACK.							
CAUSE	RECOMMENDED RE	PAIRS FOUND AT TIME OF PI	M SERVICE							
CORRECTION	REPAIR(S) TO BE C	OMPLETED								
COVERAGE	CUSTOMER BILLAB	LE								
REMARK	MON-FRI. OVERTIM	S REPAIR SCHEDULING DUR E CHARGES WILL NEED TO B R NEEDS TO BE DONE DURING	E APPLIED FOR AFTERHOUF							
		ESTIMATE, PLEASE E-SIGN O PPLICABLE). OTHERWISE, PA 3.		1						
	THANK YOU FOR YO	OUR CONTINUED BUSINESS!								
1	0 5690177	BELT,V RIBBED	CECO		81.07	81.0				
1	0 4983584	PUMP, FUEL TRANSFER	CECO		186.61	186.6 ⁻				
1	0 3939258	GASKET,COVER PLATE	CECO		6.02	6.02				
moletion date • 1	1-Mar-2025 11·29AM Estir	nate expires : 12-Jun-2025 05:	48AM							

THERE ARE ADDITIONAL CONTRACT TERMS ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING LIMITATION ON WARRANTIES AND REMEDIES, WHICH ARE EXPRESSLY INCORPORATED HEREIN AND WHICH PURCHASER ACKNOWLEDGES HAVE BEEN READ AND FULLY UNDERSTOOD.



Section 3

Repairs & Capital Improvements

Howell Township New 2025 Improvement Plan Summary Updated 4/14/25

	Active CIP and Significant Repairs In Progress							
No.	Project Description	Contractor	Priortity	Initial Estimate	Actual Cost/Quote	Update		
2	Union at Oak Grove SCADA Integration	Kennedy	High	\$10,000	\$10,000	Punch List Complete, Once SCADA Installed Can Take over Pump Station - PS79		
1	Aeration Basin Diffuser Repair / Replacement	MHOG	High	\$10,000		Use existing stock, may need to order additional after repairs are complete		
2	Aeration DO Probe Installation	MHOG/UIS	High	\$5,000		Using Old from Lake Edgewood to Save Costs		
3	Rebuilt UV Unit	UIS	High	\$15,000		Gave UIS Bulbs to match up wiring, still waiting on quote		
4	Influent Sampler Shed	MHOG	High	\$2,500	< \$1,000	Complete		
5	Lights in Headworks, Blower Building and RAS Building	K&J Electric	Medium	\$7,500		Getting Quote from K&J Electric		
6	Post Aeration By-pass	D'Angelo	Medium	\$15,000		Requires 12-inch and 8-inch Gate Valves		
7	South Clarifier Inspection and Repairs	FHC	High	\$20,000		After successful north clarifier start up		
8	Bldg Temperature Alarms	UIS	Medium	\$5,000		Quote from UIS to integrate into SCADA		
9	Exterior HVAC Unit on Headworks	TBD	High	\$5,000		Need to find a good contractor		
10	Fix Doors on Blower Bldg., RAS Building, and Headworks	Security Lock	High	\$10,000	\$14,225	See Quotes from Security Lock		
11	Fix Screens on Admin Building, Reduce Fall Box Elder Bugs	MHOG	Medium	\$2,000		Hope to do with internal staff		
12	Sand Filter Lift Tubes	MHOG	High	\$2,000		May need a welding sub		
			Total	\$109,000	\$24,225			



Security Lock Service sent you an estimate

Estimate expires on April 17, 2025

We look forward to working with you. Please note, Estimates over \$1000 USD require 50% deposit

Show full details $~\checkmark~$

1222 Packard Building 1

Service Call Building 1- Chemical room 7 doors total for repairs	\$78.00
Max Duty AL 4041 Door Closer DC6816BCAL 416-rwpa-689 (\$328.00 ea.) × 7 Door 1 Door 2 Door 3 Door 4 Door 5 Door 6 Door 7	\$2,296.00
HOLD OPEN ARM FOR DC6816 ALUMINUM 087921 (\$122.94 ea.) × 7 Option to have the door have hold open arms, they do currently.	\$860.58 O Text us

NRP 4.5 x 4.5 hinges (box of 3) (\$60.00 ea.) × 5

108638 5BB1

Door 2 Door 3 Door 4 Door 6 Door 7

Commercial Lever Entrance 300MA 26D

(\$225.00 ea.) × 7 Door 1 Door 2 Door 3 Door 4 Door 5 Door 6 Door 7

Can choose function-Storeroom or Entry

Labor Commercial

(\$145.00/hr) × 14.000 With the amount of corrosion on the doors the labor cost may change, if we don't use the time, we will adjust it. It may take less or more time. Subtotal \$7,139.58

Security Lock Service 401 Washington St Brighton, MI 48116 +1 (810) 227-5153

© 2025 Block, Inc. All rights reserved.

Square Privacy Policy | Security | Cookie preferences

3

\$1,575.00

\$2,030.00

\$7,139.58

Total



Security Lock Service sent you an estimate

Quote is to replace existing door and frame that are difficult to operate. Existing doors have broken welds. New Door and frame will need to be painted after installation.

1222 Packard Dr - Building 2, Door 2. Door / Frame Replacement

Replacement Door Package: Building 2, Door 1. \$3,197.50 2 Doors to fit into existing opening. Frames are fine, and cost savings is worth reusing existing frames. Hardware Package:

2 x Surface bolt 1 x Astragal 1 x Grade 1 Lever, Storeroom function 1 x Cylinder, keyed 6 x NRP Stainless steel hinges

1 x Labor to deliver and install, haul away old doors

Door painting option \$345.00 Option to have doors pre - painted. 2 Coats of Sherwin Williams Exterior Grade Enamel Latex, Color matched

Subtotal

\$3,542.50



Total

Security Lock Service 401 Washington St Brighton, MI 48116 +1 (810) 227-5153

© 2025 Block, Inc. All rights reserved.

Square Privacy Policy | Security | Cookie preferences

Square
Security Lock

Security Lock Service sent you an estimate

Quote is to replace existing door and frame that are difficult to operate. Existing doors have broken welds. New Door and frame will need to be painted after installation.

Show full details \checkmark

1222 Packard Dr - Building 2, Door 1. Door / Frame Replacement

Replacement Door Package: Building 2, Door 1.\$3,197.502 Doors to fit into existing opening. Frames are fine, and cost savings is worth reusing existing frames.

Hardware Package: 2 x Surface bolt 1 x Astragal 1 x Grade 1 Lever, Storeroom function 1 x Cylinder, keyed 6 x NRP Stainless steel hinges

1 x Labor to deliver and install, haul away old doors

Door painting option \$345.00 Option to have doors pre - painted. 2 Coats of Sherwin Williams Exterior Grade Enamel Latex, Color matched

Subtotal

\$3,542.50

ြ Text us

Total

Security Lock Service 401 Washington St Brighton, MI 48116 +1 (810) 227-5153

© 2025 Block, Inc. All rights reserved.

Square Privacy Policy | Security | Cookie preferences

Square



















10K

HOWELL recreation

April Board Meeting

8. Discussion/Approval Item – 2024 HAPRA Audit

Background:

The annual audit for the Howell Area Parks and Recreation Authority (HAPRA) has been completed for the fiscal year 2024. The audit provides a comprehensive overview of the Authority's financial position, including revenues, expenditures, assets, liabilities, and any noted findings or recommendations. A clean audit is essential for transparency, future grant opportunities, and compliance with municipal standards.

Notes from Auditor:

Page

9

- Auditors issued a Clean / Unmodified Opinion on the Financial Statements
 - Auditors reported No Material Weaknesses, Compliance Findings or Questioned Costs
- * \$1.568 million property tax receivable (not \$1.614 million because received \$47,771 in December)
 - \$1.614 million deferred inflow deferred millage receivable because levied for fiscal year 2025
 - Fund Balance (current equity) increased \$36,542 (45%) from prior year
 - Received a \$40k donated van and purchased \$35,000 long-term assets (equipment, computers, etc.)
 - Fund Balance of \$118,200 is 6.5% of current year expenditures
 - 6.5% represents .78 months of operations could operate for just over 3 weeks if there was ever a lengthy disruption in revenue ... obviously the millage could change that picture going forward
- * 1st Column Original budget planned a balanced bedget / no changes to fund balance
 - 2nd Column Amended budget planned a balanced bedget / no changes to fund balance
 - 3rd Column Actual increase in fund balance was \$36,542
 - Revenues came in under budget \$2,049; expenditures were under budget by \$38,591
 - Indicates that HAPRA implemented effective fiscal management procedures nice work!

This is not a required statement; it's something that the Board and management implemented years ago to be used as a tool to identify financial results by Program.

- Provides additional details about revenues, expenditures, and results by program -
- some Programs run at a surplus and some at a deficit. The State is not concerned with Program-level deficits.

23

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve the 2024 HAPRA Audit as presented.

9. Discussion/ Approval Item- Updates to Howell Recreation Financial Policy

The highlighted updates in this document are simple changes but they are needed so we can define the Deputy Director and clean up financial best practices based on our auditor's recommendations and for best practices of the recreation authority. Point of clarification for the board, the Director and Deputy would be able to co-sign checks over \$5,000 once deputy is added to the bank accounts and The Director and Deputy would also sign checks that were written to the other ex: In-Lieu of insurance or travel reimbursement.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve Updates to financial policy as presented

10. Discussion/Approval Item- 2025 First Quarter Amendments

Highlighted areas in each department will show changes- Green are increases and Red would indicate reductions. I will walk us through each department have time for questions.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to Approve Amendments as presented

11. Discussion/Approval Item – Howell Melon Festival Civic Event Application

Background:

Each year, HAPRA is required to submit a Civic Event Application to the City of Howell for the Howell Melon Festival. This application includes critical details such as requested street closures (specific dates, times, and locations), event infrastructure needs (e.g., power and water hookups), proposed activities, live entertainment, and safety planning.

As part of HAPRA's internal accountability process, the Board has requested that this application be presented for review and approval prior to its submission to the City of Howell. This ensures transparency and allows the board to remain informed about festival operations, logistics, and community impact.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve the Howell Melon Festival Civic Event Application as presented.

12. Discussion/Approval Item – Melon Festival Liquor License (Roll Call Vote Required)

Background:

As part of the Howell Melon Festival planning, the event requires a liquor license to serve alcohol in designated areas. The license will be applied for under HAPRA's authority in partnership with approved vendors and follows all regulations from the Michigan Liquor Control Commission. This license is vital to supporting the fundraising and entertainment aspects of the event.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve the Melon Festival Liquor License as presented. *(Roll call vote required.)*

13. Discussion/Approval Item – Bid Policy

Background:

The proposed Bid Policy outlines procedures for competitive bidding to ensure transparency, fiscal responsibility, and consistency in procuring goods and services. The policy aligns with best practices in government purchasing and provides clear thresholds and steps for various procurement levels.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve the Bid Policy as presented.

14. Discussion/Approval Item – Personal Time Off (PTO) Policy

Background:

We had originally amended the PTO policy in 2024 to address the new ESTA rules and we formed a scale back model over the next 3 years for full time staff. Now that ESTA has been established, we have looked at the actual amount annual an employee could earn and it does not equal the amounts we reduced PTO by. I am recommending that we continue with our previous PTO amounts as presented for Full time staff but remove the roll over bank after this year. This will allow employees to bank up to 40 hrs this

year for future use but we will not be eligible to roll over in the future. ESTA will allow employees to career up to 72 hours of banked sick leave. We also will continue to not pay out any PTO.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve the Personal Time Off Policy as presented.

15. Discussion/Approval Item – Parental Leave Policy

Background:

The Parental Leave Policy provides dedicated leave for eligible employees following the birth or adoption of a child. This policy demonstrates HAPRA's commitment to supporting staff during important family transitions and aligns with practices that promote employee retention and well-being.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to allow Director Church to submit the Parental Leave Policy as presented to legal counsel and Human Resources for review.

16. Discussion/Approval Item – Leave Policy

Background:

The Leave Policy consolidates and clarifies the types of leave available to staff, including medical leave, bereavement leave, and other approved absences. It ensures compliance with employment laws and offers consistent guidance across departments.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to allow Director Church to submit the Leave Policy as presented to legal counsel and Human Resources for review.

17. Discussion/Approval Item – Collaborative Network Coaching Agreement

Background:

Director Church and Deputy Director Baca have met with Sally from Collaborative Network Coaching to further define the scope of services, expected deliverables, and timelines. These discussions were in response to prior board feedback requesting additional detail and clarity—particularly regarding overall cost and value to the organization.

Sally has committed to extending the project timeline to 16 months, with an average monthly cost of approximately \$1,400 and a total not to exceed \$23,000. This longer-term plan allows for better budgeting, transparency for taxpayers, and increased flexibility in deliverables. Importantly, this agreement includes not only leadership coaching for the Director and Deputy Director, but also organizational coaching and professional development for up to 10 additional staff members.

As part of this engagement, Sally will also lead the development of HAPRA's next Strategic Plan. For comparison, in 2022, HAPRA worked with Collaborative Network for strategic services and paid \$6,300. Additionally, the original coaching request for the Director and Deputy Director was budgeted at \$7,000. Combined, the additional investment of approximately \$10,000 in this proposal represents a significant value by expanding services to a broader staff team and supporting long-term organizational growth.

Director Church and Deputy Director Baca also noted that some elements of this work will be handled internally with strategic guidance from Sally, potentially further reducing direct coaching hours and costs.

Recommended Motion:

Motion to approve the Collaborative Network Coaching Agreement as presented, in an amount not to exceed \$23,000.

12A

Howell Township Invoice and Check Registers As of 4/30/2025

INVOICE REGISTER FOR HOWELL TOWNSHIP

Inv Ref #	Vendor	Invoice Date	Due Date	Invoice Amount	Amount Due	Status	Posted
00024416	LIVINGSTON COUNTY TREASURER	03/24/2025	04/07/2025	827.50	0.00	Paid	Y
0024430	LIVINGSTON COUNTY TREASURER	04/01/2025	04/01/2025	149.50	0.00	Paid	Y
0024396	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	8,048.54	0.00	Paid	Y
0024397	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	416.97	0.00	Paid	Y
0024398	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	35.58	0.00	Paid	Y
0024399	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	495.83	0.00	Paid	Y
0024400	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	788.49	0.00	Paid	Y
0024401	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	285.05	0.00	Paid	Y
0024402	DTE ENERGY	03/10/2025	04/02/2025	464.82	0.00	Paid	Y
0024403		03/17/2025	04/02/2025	3.882.58	0.00	Paid	Y
024403	ADVANCE AUTO PARTS	03/17/2025	04/02/2025	9.45	0.00	Paid	Y
	ADVANCE AUTO FARTS	03/13/2025	04/13/2025	9,169,89	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024409	PVS TECHNOLOGIES, INC	03/20/2025	04/19/2025	30 00	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024411	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	03/14/2025	04/07/2025	66 74	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024417	DIE ENERGY	03/14/2023	04/07/2025	26 14	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024418	CONSUMERS ENERGY	03/18/2025	04/07/2025	20.14		Paid	Ý
024419	DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY UIS SCADA ADVANCE AUTO PARTS PVS TECHNOLOGIES, INC BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL DTE ENERGY CONSUMERS ENERGY USA BLUEBOOK AT&T GENOA TOWNSHIP DPW CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/18/2025	04/07/2025	1 146 20	0.00		Y
024420	USA BLUEBOOK	03/26/2025	04/25/2025	1,140.30	0.00	Paid	
024421	AT&T	03/19/2025	04/09/2025	128.04	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024431	GENOA TOWNSHIP DPW	04/01/2025	04/01/2025	30,920.92	0.00	Paid	Y
024432	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/06/2025	04/06/2025	1,025.07	0.00	Paid	Y
024433	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/06/2025	04/06/2025	714.04	0.00	Paid	Y
024434	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/07/2025	04/07/2025	577.14	0.00	Paid	Y
024435	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/07/2025	04/07/2025	801.82	0.00	Paid	Y
024436	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/09/2025	04/09/2025	960.98	0.00	Paid	Y
024437	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/09/2025	04/09/2025	577.14	0.00	Paid	Y
024438	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/11/2025	04/11/2025	803.95	0.00	Paid	Y
024439	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	03/21/2025	04/21/2025	1,224.72	0.00	Paid	Y
024423	FIRST NATIONAL BANK	04/04/2025	04/04/2025	5,084.88	0.00	Paid	Y
024424	HOWELL TOWNSHIP	04/04/2025	04/04/2025	123.08	0.00	Paid	Y
024425	AMERICAN FUNDS	04/04/2025	04/04/2025	3,235.05	0.00	Paid	Y
024426	EMPOWER	04/04/2025	04/04/2025	1,449.29	0.00	Paid	Y
024410	NETWORK SERVICES GROUP, LLC	03/17/2025	04/13/2025	275.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024412	CINTAS CORPORATION	03/19/2025	04/19/2025	124.57	0.00	Paid	Y
024413	CONSUMERS ENERGY	03/19/2025	04/15/2025	709.68	0.00	Paid	Y
024414	MICRO WORKS COMPUTING, INC		04/07/2025	423.00	0.00	Paid	Y
	SUSAN DAUS	03/27/2025	04/07/2025	685 30	0.00	Paid	Y
024415	PERFECT MAINTENANCE	03/28/2025	04/09/2025	195.00	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024422	PERFECT MAINTENANCE	03/24/2025	04/09/2025	2 806 00	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024427	SPICER GROUP		04/01/2025	2,800.00	0.00	Paid	Y -
024428	MUTUAL OF OMAHA INSURANCE COMPAN		04/01/2025	782 00	0.00	Paid	Y
024429	ACCIDENT FUND OF AMERICA	03/24/2025	04/01/2025	765.00		Paid	Y
024440	SPICER GROUP	04/01/2025	04/02/2025	2,156.00	0.00		
024441	SPICER GROUP	04/01/2025	04/02/2025	606.50	0.00	Paid	Y
024442	SPICER GROUP	04/01/2025	04/02/2025	1,127.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024443	ABSOPURE	04/01/2025	04/21/2025	43.45	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024445	LCAA	04/02/2025	04/21/2025	10.00	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
024446	SPICER GROUP SPICER GROUP SPICER GROUP ABSOPURE LCAA CITY OF HOWELL LCAA	04/02/2025	04/21/2025	149.50 $8,048.54$ 416.97 35.58 495.83 788.49 285.05 464.82 $3,882.58$ 9.45 $9,169.89$ 30.00 66.74 26.14 148.87 $1,146.30$ 128.04 $30,920.92$ $1,025.07$ 714.04 577.14 801.82 960.98 577.14 803.95 $1,224.72$ $5,084.88$ 123.08 $3,235.05$ $1,449.29$ 275.00 124.57 709.68 423.00 685.30 195.00 $2,806.00$ 219.00 783.00 $2,156.00$ 606.50 $1,127.00$ 43.45 10.00 $2,231.19$ 10.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024447	LCAA		04/21/2023	10.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024449	MICRO WORKS COMPUTING, INC	03/28/2025	04/17/2025	279.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024450	DTE ENERGY	03/31/2025	05/12/2025	804.63	0.00	Paid	Y
0024451	COMCAST	03/31/2025	04/12/2025	436.60	0.00	Paid	Y
024452	ABSOPURE	03/31/2025	04/12/2025	12.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024453	LIVINGSTON CO. SHERIFF DEPARTMEN	and the second in the second second	05/01/2025	1,050.00	0.00	Paid	Y
024476	FIRST NATIONAL BANK	04/18/2025	04/18/2025	5,544.55	0.00	Paid	Y
2	HOWELL TOWNSHIP	04/18/2025	04/18/2025	123.08	0.00	Paid	Y

INVOICE REGISTER FOR HOWELL TOWNSHIP

Inv Ref #	Vendor	Invoice Date	Due Date	Invoice Amount	Amount Due	Status	Posted
00024479	AMERICAN FUNDS	04/18/2025	04/18/2025	3,536.71	0.00	Paid	Y
00024480	TREASURY STATE OF MICHIGAN	04/18/2025	04/18/2025	1,670.53	0.00	Paid	Y
00024481	EMPOWER	04/18/2025	04/18/2025	1,471.53	0.00	Paid	Y
00024444	FIRE PROTECTION PLUS, INC	04/02/2025	04/21/2025	966.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024448	G-0 WWTP VACTOR PAD	04/02/2025	04/21/2025	251.48	0.00	Paid	Y
00024454	DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY REPUBLIC SERVICES DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY	04/02/2025	04/24/2025	600.84	0.00	Paid	Y
00024455	DTE ENERGY	04/02/2025	04/24/2025	223.98	0.00	Paid	Y
00024456	DTE ENERGY	04/02/2025	04/24/2025	252.26	0.00	Paid	Y
00024457		03/31/2025	04/20/2025	128.64	0.00	Paid	Y
	NEFUBLIC SERVICES	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	7,041.78	0.00	Paid	Y
00024482		04/09/2025	05/01/2025	684.71	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024483		04/09/2025	05/01/2025	448.74	0.00	Paid	Y
00024484	DIE ENERGY			34.32	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024485	DTE ENERGY	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	273.17	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024486	DTE ENERGY	04/09/2025	05/01/2025		0.00	Paid	Y
00024487	DTE ENERGY	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	253.55			
00024488	DTE ENERGY	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	417.71	0.00	Paid	Y
00024489	K & J ELECTRIC, INC	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	1,000.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024494	USA BLUEBOOK	04/14/2025	05/01/2025	2,263.97	0.00	Paid	Y
00024496	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	04/15/2025	05/01/2025	657.51	0.00	Paid	Y
00024500	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	05/21/2024	05/10/2025	27.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024501	ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH INS.	04/18/2025	05/10/2025	1,650.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024502	DTE ENERGY	04/14/2025	05/06/2025	56.28	0.00	Paid	Y
00024503	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	09/19/2024	05/06/2025	30.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024504	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	01/25/2024	05/06/2025	30.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024505	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	01/25/2024	05/06/2025	480.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024506	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	02/19/2024	05/06/2025	54.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024458	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES PLC	04/01/2025	05/01/2025	1,744.50	0.00	Paid	Y
00024459	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES PLC	04/01/2025	05/01/2025	2,345.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024460	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES PLC	04/01/2025	05/01/2025	378.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024461	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES PLC	04/01/2025	05/01/2025	315.00	0.00	Paid	Y
	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES PLC	04/01/2025	05/01/2025	137.50	0.00	Paid	Y
00024462	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES PLC	04/01/2025	05/01/2025	348.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024463		03/31/2025	05/01/2025	446.34	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024464	IRON MOUNTAIN		05/01/2025	7.51	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024465	LIVINGSTON COUNTY TREASURER	04/03/2025		2,570.00	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024466	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/03/2025	05/01/2025	-	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024467	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/03/2025	05/01/2025	345.00		Paid	Ŷ
00024468	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/09/2025	04/09/2025	585.00	0.00		
00024469	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/09/2025	04/09/2025	90.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024470	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/09/2025	04/09/2025	90.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024471	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/09/2025	04/09/2025	57.50	0.00	Paid	Y
00024472	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/09/2025	04/09/2025	90.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024473	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.	04/09/2025	04/09/2025	782.50	0.00	Paid	Y
00024474	PURCHASE POWER	04/03/2025	05/08/2025	223.87	0.00	Paid	Y
00024475	KENT COMMUNICATIONS INC	04/14/2025	06/15/2025	1,954.92	0.00	Paid	Y
00024478	BLUE CARE NETWORK	04/18/2025	04/18/2025	4,583.92	0.00	Paid	Y
00024490	DTE ENERGY	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	445.82	0.00	Paid	Y
00024491	GANNETT MICHIGAN LOCALIQ	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	816.97	0.00	Paid	Y
00024492	APPLIED INNOVATION	04/09/2025	05/01/2025	1,454.73	0.00	Paid	Y
00024493	ROCKET ENTERPRISES	03/03/2025	05/01/2025	435.00	0.00	Paid	Y
00024495	JIM MCEVOY	04/15/2025	05/01/2025	125.00	0.00	Paid	Ý
		08/13/2024	05/01/2025	100.00	0.00	Paid	Y Y
00024497	NETWORK SERVICES GROUP, LLC		05/10/2025	124.57	0.00	Paid	Ŷ
00024498	CINTAS CORPORATION	04/16/2025	05/10/2025	549.51	0.00	Paid	Y
00024499	SMART BUSINESS SOURCE, LLC	04/16/2025		415.34	0.00	Paid	Y
00024507	JONATHAN HOHENSTEIN	04/21/2025	05/06/2025	413.34	0.00	Falu	1

INVOICE REGISTER FOR HOWELL TOWNSHIP

Inv Ref # Vendor	Invoice Date Due Date	Invoice Amount Amount Due Status Posted	
# of Invoices: 108 # Due: 0	Totals:	140,339.13 0.00 0.00 0.00	
<pre># of Credit Memos: 0 # Due: 0 Net of Invoices and Credit Memos:</pre>	Totals:	(Assess with Church Residen	
TOTALS BY FUND		BK	
101 GENERAL FUND 592 SWR/WTR		58,697.12 0.00 80,575.01 0.00	
701 TRUST & AGENCY		1,067.00 0.00	
TOTALS BY DEPT/ACTIVITY			
000 OTHER		36,989.04 0.00	
101 TOWNSHIP BOARD		169.80 0.00	
215 CLERK		685.30 0.00	
247 BOARD OF REVIEW		7.51 0.00	
253 TREASURER		443.35 0.00	
257 ASSESSING		20.00 0.00	
262 ELECTIONS		2,231.19 0.00	
265 TOWNSHIP HALL		9,264.52 0.00	
268 TOWNSHIP AT LARGE		4,013.13 0.00	
447 ENGINEERING		2,806.00 0.00	
536 SEWER/WATER		2,263.97 0.00	
538 WWTP		76,661.04 0.00	
701 PLANNING		3,441.33 0.00	
702 ZONING		1,097.11 0.00	
703 ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS		245.84 0.00	

Check Date	Check	Vendor Name	Description	Amount	
Bank GEN GENE	RAL FUND CHECKI	NG			
04/04/2025	101002010(E)	EMPOWER	Remittance Check	1,449.29	
04/04/2025	101002011(E)	FIRST NATIONAL BANK	Remittance Check	5,084.88	
04/04/2025	101002012(E)	HOWELL TOWNSHIP	Remittance Check	123.08	
04/04/2025	101002013(E)	AMERICAN FUNDS	Remittance Check	3,235.05	
• ., • ., =•==					
04/07/2025	19029	ABSOPURE	5 BOTTLES DELIVERED	43.45	
			COOLER RENTAL APRIL 2025	12.00	
				55.45	
04/07/2025	19030	ACCIDENT FUND OF AMERICA	WORKMANS COMP AUDIT FOR 2024	783.00	
04/07/2025	19031	CINTAS CORPORATION	BLUE MATS	124.57	
			CLERK INSTITUTE LODGING & MILEAGE	685.30	
04/07/2025	19032	SUSAN DAUS		804.63	
04/07/2025	19033	DTE ENERGY	STREET LIGHTS		
04/07/2025	19034	CITY OF HOWELL	2024 EARLY VOTING SHARED COSTS	2,231.19	
04/07/2025	19035	LIVINGSTON CO. SHERIFF DEPAR	T BOARD MEETING SECURITY SERVICES (15 HOU	1,050.00	
04/07/2025	19036	LCAA	BRENT KILPELA MEMBERSHIP 2025-2026	10.00	
04/07/2025	19090	2000		20.00	
04/07/2025	10027		DEDUACE UDG DATTERY ON SERVER	423.00	
04/07/2025	19037	MICRO WORKS COMPUTING, INC	REPLACE UPS BATTERY ON SERVER	279.00	
			TREASURER LAPTOP REPAIR , DEP TREAS. DY	702.00	
				702.00	
04/07/2025	19038	MUTUAL OF OMAHA INSURANCE COM		219.00	
04/07/2025	19039	NETWORK SERVICES GROUP, LLC	WEBSITE DESIGN WORK	275.00	
04/07/2025	19040	PERFECT MAINTENANCE	APRIL 2025 CLEANING SERVICES	195.00	
04/07/2025	10041		2024 GENERAL ENGINEERING SERVICES	2,806.00	
04/07/2025	19041	SPICER GROUP			
			Check Request For Bond: BSP24-0009	2,156.00	
			Check Request For Bond: BSP25-0002	606.50	
			Check Request For Bond: BSP20-0003	1,127.00	
				6,695.50	
04/07/2025	101002014(E)	COMCAST	TWP HALL APRIL 2025	436.60	
		CONSUMERS ENERGY	TWP HALL MARCH 2024	709.68	
04/07/2025	101002015(E)		Remittance Check	1,471.53	
04/14/2025	101002016(E)	EMPOWER			
04/14/2025	101002017(E)	FIRST NATIONAL BANK	Remittance Check	5,544.55	
04/14/2025	101002018(E)	HOWELL TOWNSHIP	Remittance Check	123.08	
04/14/2025	101002019(E)	AMERICAN FUNDS	Remittance Check	3,536.71	
04/14/2025	101002020(E)	TREASURY STATE OF MICHIGAN	Remittance Check	1,670.53	
04/24/2025	19042	APPLIED INNOVATION	ANNUAL SERVICE AGREEMENT & CONTRACT COP	1,454.73	
04/24/2025	10042			2,570.00	
04/24/2025	19043	CARLISLE WORTMAN ASSOC, INC.		345.00	
			SEYBURN MARR REZONING		
			Check Request For Bond: BSP25-0002	585.00	
			Check Request For Bond: BP25-0001	90.00	
			Check Request For Bond: BSP25-0005	90.00	
			Check Request For Bond: BSP25-0005	57.50	
			Check Request For Bond: BSP25-0004 Check Request For Bond: BSP25-0003	90.00 782.50	

Check Date	Check	Vendor Name	Description	Amount	
Bank GEN GENE	RAL FUND CHECKI	NG			
				4,610.00	
04/24/2025	19044	CINTAS CORPORATION	BLUE MATS	124.57	
04/24/2025	19045	FAHEY SCHULTZ BURZYCH RHODES	CODE ENFORCEMENT	1,744.50 2,345.00 378.00	
			ZONING PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX COLLECTION BURKHART ROAD ASSOCIATES (22-292-AA)	315.00 137.50 348.00	
		а. С	HOWELL-MASON, LLC (24-350-AA)	5,268.00	
04/24/2025 04/24/2025 04/24/2025	19046 19047 19048	BLUE CARE NETWORK JONATHAN HOHENSTEIN IRON MOUNTAIN	Remittance Check TREASURER/ZONING ADMINISTRATOR EXPENSES MARCH 2025 SHREDDING	4,583.92 415.34 446.34	
04/24/2025 04/24/2025 04/24/2025 04/24/2025	19049 19050 19051 19052	KENT COMMUNICATIONS INC GANNETT MICHIGAN LOCALIQ JIM MCEVOY NETWORK SERVICES GROUP, LLC	2025 SUMMER TAX BILL POSTAGE MARCH PUBLICATIONS ZBA ONLINE CERTIFICATE COURSE WEB DESIGN REQUESTS	1,954.92 816.97 125.00 100.00	
04/24/2025 04/24/2025 04/24/2025 04/24/2025	19053 19054 19055	ROCKET ENTERPRISES SMART BUSINESS SOURCE, LLC LIVINGSTON COUNTY TREASURER	ANNUAL FLAG SERVICE MARCH 2025 - APRIL TONER, POST-IT, TAPE PAPER TOWEL ADMIN FEE CHARGEBACK FOR 2023 PRE	435.00 549.51 7.51	
04/24/2025 04/24/2025 GEN TOTALS:	101002021(E) 101002022(E)	DTE ENERGY PURCHASE POWER	TWP HALL APRIL 2025 POSTAGE SUPPLIES	445.82 223.87	
Total of 40 C Less 0 Void C	hecks:			58,787.12 0.00	
Total of 40 D				58,787.12	
04/01/2025 04/01/2025	T & AGENCY CHEC 3673 3674	KING LIVINGSTON COUNTY TREASURER LIVINGSTON COUNTY TREASURER	MOBILE HOME FEES DOG LICENSES	827.50 149.50	
T&A TOTALS: Total of 2 Ch Less 0 Void C	hecks:			977.00	
Total of 2 Di				977.00	
Bank UTYCK UT 04/02/2025 04/02/2025	ILITY CHECKING 3299 3300	ADVANCE AUTO PARTS BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	AIR FILTER FOR STATION 72 ANIONS	9.45 30.00	
04/02/2025	3301	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE	ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025	1,025.07 714.04 577.14	
			ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025	801.82 960.98 577.14 803.95	
			ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2023 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MARCH 2025	1,224.72	

Check Date	Check	Vendor Name	Description	Amount	
Bank UTYCK UT	ILITY CHECKING				
				6,684.86	
04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025 04/02/2025	3302 3303 3304 3305 59004119(E) 59004120(E) 59004122(E) 59004123(E) 59004123(E) 59004125(E) 59004125(E) 59004127(E) 59004128(E)	GENOA TOWNSHIP DPW PVS TECHNOLOGIES, INC UIS SCADA USA BLUEBOOK AT&T CONSUMERS ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY	APRIL 2025 PLANT OPERATIONS FERRIC CHLORIDE 50,000 LBS SERVICE CALL ON PLC FOR INFLUENT POLE MOUNT KIT APRIL 2025 391 N BURKHART MARCH 2025 1222 PACKARD DR MARCH 2025 1222 PACKARD DR MARCH 2025 1216 PACKARD DR MARCH 2025 1034 AUSTIN CT MARCH 2025 1575 N BURKHART MARCH 2025 3888 OAKGROVE MARCH 2025 2700 TOOLEY RD MARCH 2025	30,920.92 9,169.89 3,882.58 1,146.30 128.04 26.14 148.87 8,048.54 416.97 35.58 495.83 788.49 285.05 464.82	
04/02/2025	59004129(E)	DTE ENERGY	271 E HIGHLAND MARCH 2025	66.74	
04/23/2025	3306	BRIGHTON ANALYTICAL	ANIONS TESTING ANIONS ANIONS LOW LEVEL MERCURY TESTING ANIONS	27.00 30.00 30.00 480.00 54.00 621.00	
04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025 04/23/2025	3307 3308 3309 3310 3311 3312 3313 59004130(E) 59004131(E) 59004132(E) 59004133(E) 59004135(E) 59004136(E) 59004138(E) 59004138(E) 59004139(E) 59004140(E)	CUMMINS SALES AND SERVICE ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS RESEAR FIRE PROTECTION PLUS, INC G-O WWTP VACTOR PAD K & J ELECTRIC, INC REPUBLIC SERVICES USA BLUEBOOK DTE ENERGY DTE ENERGY	FUEL PRIMER PUMP REPLACEMENT ON GENERAT CC ARCGIS ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION JULY 25 - JU FIRE EXTINQUISHER INSPECTION AT WWTP SANITARY DISPOSAL SERVICE CALL MARCH WASTE PICKUP SUBMERSIBLE PUMP 115 VAC 2571 OAKGROVE RD APRIL 2025 1009 N BURKHART APRIL 2025 1222 PACKARD DR APRIL 2025 1222 PACKARD DR APRIL 2025 1575 N BURKHART RD 1034 AUSTIN CT APRIL 2025 1216 PACKARD DR APRIL 2025 2559 W GRAND RIVER AVE APRIL 2025 3888 OAKGROVE RD APRIL 2025 2700 TOOLEY RD APRIL 2025 271 E HIGHLAND APRIL 2024	$\begin{array}{c} 657.51\\ 1,650.00\\ 966.00\\ 251.48\\ 1,000.00\\ 128.64\\ 2,263.97\\ 600.84\\ 223.98\\ 252.26\\ 7,041.78\\ 684.71\\ 448.74\\ 34.32\\ 273.17\\ 253.55\\ 417.71\\ 56.28\end{array}$	
Total of 37 C Less 0 Void C Total of 37 D	hecks:			80,575.01 0.00 80,575.01	
REPORT TOTALS	:				

05/05/2025 08:23 AM

Check Date	Check	Vendor Name	Description	Amount	
Total of 79 o Less 0 Void o Total of 79 r	Checks: Checks: Disbursements:			140,339.13 0.00 140,339.13 (Ropers will Invoice Residen BK	

CHECK REGISTER FOR HOWELL TOWNSHIP For Check Dates 04/01/2025 to 04/30/2025

Check Date	Bank	Check Number	Name	Check Gross	Physical Check Amount	Direct Deposit	Status
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6146	BRENT J. KILPELA	5,304.95	0.00	3,973.29	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6147	CAROL A. MAKUSHIK	2,769.61	0.00	1,829.84	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6148	SUSAN K. DAUS	1,601.65	0.00	1,257.55	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6149	TANYA L. DAVIDSON	2,077.65	0.00	1,534.60	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6150	MICHAEL CODDINGTON	1,409.33	0.00	934.16	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6151	JONATHAN C. HOHENSTEIN	4,178.94	0.00	2,692.11	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6152	TERESA M. MURRISH	2,098.36	0.00	1,548.29	Cleared
04/04/2025	GEN	DD6153	MARNIE E. HEBERT	2,126.53	0.00	1,775.09	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6154	BRENT J. KILPELA	5,304.95	0.00	3,973.29	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6155	CAROL A. MAKUSHIK	2,752.29	0.00	1,816.65	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6156	MATTHEW E. COUNTS	588.92	0.00	518.84	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6157	SHANE FAGAN	588.92	0.00	518.84	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6158	ROBERT K. WILSON	588.92	0.00	518.84	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6159	SUSAN K. DAUS	1,681.65	0.00	1,318.45	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6160	TANYA L. DAVIDSON	1,994.82	0.00	1,479.84	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6161	TIMOTHY C. BOAL	588.92	0.00	518.84	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6162	CHARLES J. FRANTJESKOS JR	80.00	0.00	70.48	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6163	MARTHA M. HAGLUND	80.00	0.00	73.88	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6164	SHARON LOLLIO	80.00	0.00	70.48	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6165	MICHAEL W. NEWSTEAD	80.00	0.00	70.48	Cleared
05/05/2025 08	5:47 AM					Page: 1	/2

CHECK REGISTER FOR HOWELL TOWNSHIP For Check Dates 04/01/2025 to 04/30/2025

Check Date	Bank	Check Number	Name	Check Gross	Physical Check Amount	Direct Deposit	Status
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6166	ROBERT A. SPAULDING	80.00	0.00	70.48	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6167	WAYNE R. WILLIAMS JR	80.00	0.00	73.88	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6168	MICHAEL CODDINGTON	1,489.33	0.00	985.00	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6169	JONATHAN C. HOHENSTEIN	4,281.26	0.00	2,759.73	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6170	TERESA M. MURRISH	2,084.56	0.00	1,539.17	Cleared
04/18/2025	GEN	DD6171	MARNIE E. HEBERT	2,113.51	0.00	1,765.20	Cleared
Report Total:				46,105.07	0.00	33,687.30	
			Number of Checks Total Physical Checks Total Check Stubs	26 0 26			